

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, November 5, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.
Palm Coast Community Center
City Council Meeting Room
305 Palm Coast Parkway NE, Palm Coast, Florida

MEMBERS PRESENT: Robert Branin, Neil Copeland, Gerry Chagnon, Tameka McDowell, Kimble Medley, Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers, Ballard, Festa, Hadden, Mendez, MacDonald, Ragazzo, Risch, Romeo, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Sr. Staff Asst. Wry, Robin McKinney, Counsel

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**
The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**
Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.
- C. Approval of the October 1, 2014 Meeting Minutes.**
The minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**
None to report.
- E. Swearing-in of Staff.** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers Ballard, Festa, Hadden, Mendez, Ragazzo, Risch, Romeo, Landscape Specialist Beaudet and Sr. Staff Asst. Wry were sworn in by Robin McKinney, Counsel.
- F. Swearing in of Respondents:** The respondents who were present were sworn in by Robin McKinney, Counsel for the City of Palm Coast.

G. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 1	CASE NO. 2014051442-101 Palm Harbor Parkway C-132
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2014082007 - 34 Bay Spring Place
AI# 5	CASE NO. 2014082005 - 35 Black Bear Lane
AI# 6	CASE NO. 2014080023 - 81 Black Bear Lane
AI# 8	CASE NO. 2014061394 - 15 Wellford Lane
AI# 12	CASE NO. 2014081916 - 23 Butternut Drive
AI# 13	CASE NO. 2014041420 - 23 Leaver Drive
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2014081664 - 31 Fairview Lane
AI# 19A	CASE NO. 2014080097 - 12 Zebra Court
AI# 19B	CASE NO. 2014080098 - 12 Zebra Court

AI# 19C	CASE NO. 2014080100 - 12 Zebra Court
AI# 25	CASE NO. 2014071109 - 33 Ryland Drive
AI# 26	CASE NO. 2014061381 - 10 Campbell Court
AI# 27	CASE NO. 2014080019 - 89 Covington Lane
AI# 28	CASE NO. 2014070050 - 53 Farraday Lane
AI# 30	CASE NO. 2014070616 - 4 Fernham Lane
AI# 33	CASE NO. 2014030984 - 135 Pine Grove Drive
AI# 34	CASE NO. 2014071679 - 8 Sergeant Court
AI# 35	CASE NO. 2014080057 - 39 Uhl Path
AI# 36B	CASE NO. 2014071033 - 17 Union Run Court
AI# 37A	CASE NO. 2014080473 - 80 Upshire Path
AI# 37B	CASE NO. 2014080474 - 80 Upshire Path
AI# 43	CASE NO. 2014071046 - 38 Porter Lane
AI# 52C	CASE NO. 2014080183 - 15 Ellwood Lane
AI# 55	CASE NO. 2014080222- 10 Brownstone Lane
AI# 56	CASE NO. 2014051610 - 52 Brunswick Lane
AI# 57	CASE NO. 2014070675 - 398 Lakeview Blvd.

1. AI# 51

CASE NO. 201400600371 RECURRING LM
City of Palm Coast vs. John Lee & Victor & Linda Anagnostis
4 Carr Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Veh. in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine – Standing Order for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Victor Anagnostis presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

2. **AI# 49A**
CASE NO. 2014080424 RECURRING LM
City of Palm Coast vs. Heidi M. Mers
25 Breeze Hill Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine – Standing Order for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Heidi Mers presented her side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

3. **AI# 49B**
CASE NO. 2014080679 RECURRING LM
City of Palm Coast vs. Heidi M. Mers
25 Breeze Hill Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Trailer Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent Respondent, Heidi Mers presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Another discussion took place before Roll was called. Mr. Branin proposed that the Administrative Cost should be waived. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2014081865 RECURRING JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Kevin L & Natercia C. Fair

25 Rockwell Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondents. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Kevin Fair presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 48A

CASE NO. 2014080419 LM

City of Palm Coast vs Robert L. Wolski

129 Beechwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance – Overgrown Lawn)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Kevin Fair presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. **AI# 48B**
CASE NO. 2014080420 LM
City of Palm Coast vs. Robert L. Wolski
129 Beechwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Robert Wolski presented his side.

Mr. Copeland moved to dismiss this case. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - No**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion carried 6 to 1.

7. **AI# 9**
CASE NO. 2014081530 RECURRING MB
City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir & Tatiana Maidenco

80 Wellshire Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Ballard testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Lana Dey, Property Manager presented her side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

8. **AI# 36A**
CASE NO. 2014071032 RECURRING CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Daniel S. & Charles A. Craft
17 Union Run Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Ragazzo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Daniel Craft Tenant presented her side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
-------------------------	-------------------------

Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. **AI# 52A**

CASE NO. 2014071968

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Patrick & Margaret Irwin

15 Ellwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Brandee Jackson, Tenant presented her side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. **AI# 52B**

CASE NO. 2014080181

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Patrick & Margaret Irwin

15 Ellwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Brandee Jackson, Tenant presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to dismiss this case. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2014071681 RECURRING LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Alicia V. Da-Anoy

72 Brockton Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2014080386 RECURRING JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Ignacio Luis Rodriguez

13 Reidel Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Amanda Foster (Tenant) presented her side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2014071634 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Peter Melnikov & Tanna Gorbaneva

115 Pritchard Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds Overgrowth

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Darren Delgado Property Manager presented his side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2014080661 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Yan Cao

15 Llestone Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Ragazzo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Yan Cao presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes

Mr. Copeland – Yes

Mr. Chagnon – Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2014071617 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Terence Sumptner

73 Providence Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Yan Cao presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16.

AI# 2

CASE NO. 2014071114 JB

City of Palm Coast vs. Jackie Tolley

7 Belleaire Drive

(Land Development Code 11.03.02(H) General Landscaping-Screening)

Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Landscape Specialist Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2014071525 JB

City of Palm Coast vs. Donald & Joan M. Dumond

28 Fitzgerald Lane

(Land Development Code 11.03.02(H) General Landscaping-Screening)

Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Landscape Specialist Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents are in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2014081753 REPEAT MB
City of Palm Coast vs. Jason Hambrick
18 Emmons Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Boat)

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Ballard testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 1, 2014 that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 25, 2014 to August 29, 2014 totaling \$1,250.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$73.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2014010950 BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Walter H. & Ligia G. Uzcategui

5 Buffalo Grove Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Fence Maintenance)

Code Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Code Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents are in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes

Mr. Copeland – Yes

Mr. Chagnon – Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20.

AI# 11

CASE NO. 2014080043

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Lance L. Thate, Trustee

42 Bunker View Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes

Mr. Copeland – Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 15B

CASE NO. 2014071498 REPEAT MB
City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L. Gray Jr. & Juanita S. Trivett-Gray
43 Fort Caroline Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence on behalf of Code Officer Fitzgerald. The Respondent was not present Officer Ballard testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that the Respondents brought the property into compliance on July 25, 2014 that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 22, 2014 to July 24, 2014 totaling \$75.00. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2014081165 MB
City of Palm Coast vs. Angelina Devito & Gordon Todd Knaeble
25 Freneau Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Plumbing)

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence on behalf of Officer Fitzgerald. The Respondents were not present. The Respondents were not present. Officer Ballard testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents are in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues

past the aforesated date; that the Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2014071560 CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Janet B. Tillman
7 Llovera Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2014040912 MASSEY JF
City of Palm Coast vs. Abel A. & Rosa & Jacqueline L. Castillo
23 Reidsville Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Festa testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondents brought the property into compliance on October 21, 2014 that a \$25.00 per day fine imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 18, 2014 to October 20, 2014; totaling \$1,600.00. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

25.

AI# 23

CASE NO. 2014082042 RECURRING JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Gengsheing Zhang

20 Rolling Sands Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26.

AI# 24

CASE NO. 2014080681 REPEAT JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Hansaben R. Patel

19 Rosepetal lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 10, 2014 that a \$3,000.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 8, 2014 to August 9, 2014 totaling \$6,000.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$73.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27.

AI# 29

CASE NO. 2014081786 REPEAT MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Marek Lesczynski

2 Fernham Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of the City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of November 4, 2014; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 23, 2014 to November 4, 2014; totaling \$3,700.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

28.

AI# 31

CASE NO. 2014080605 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Rui Leite

67 Florida Park Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

29.

AI# 32

CASE NO. 2013120270 MASSEY BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Tamara E. Hartman

13 Patric Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Fence)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 16, 2014 to November 4, 2014; totaling \$7,100.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30.

AI# 38A

CASE NO. 2014071334

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph McCabe

95 Beauford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. **AI# 38B**
CASE NO. 2014071061 RECURRING BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph McCabe
95 Beauford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Boat & Trailer)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

32. **AI# 39**
CASE NO. 2014071912 RECURRING BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Irina Trudova
45 Pebble Beach Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 40
CASE NO. 2014080845 REPEAT BR
City of Palm Coast vs. William J. Holmes
22 Piermount Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 20, 2014 that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 11, 2014 to August 19, 2014 totaling \$900.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 41
CASE NO. 2014072104 RECURRING BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Chageyev Vyascheslav
51 Pine Circle Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that

any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 42
CASE NO. 2014072102 RECURRING BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Aleksey Deyneko
51 Pine Haven Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 44
CASE NO. 2014071184 RECURRING BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Lynette M. Will
34 Powder Hill Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37.

AI# 47A

CASE NO. 2014080995 REPEAT LM

City of Palm Coast vs Dale A. Cooley

3 Barrister Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 14, 2014 that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 11, 2014 to August 13, 2014 totaling \$300.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

**Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 47B
CASE NO. 2014080997 REPEAT LM
City of Palm Coast vs Dale A. Cooley
3 Barrister Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 14, 2014 that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 11, 2014 to August 13, 2014 totaling \$300.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 53
CASE NO. 2014081281 RECURRING MD
City of Palm Coast vs. Charles Robert & Ruth Carol Stock, Trustees
120 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 54
CASE NO. 2014081278 MD
City of Palm Coast vs. Lisa Lamont
101 Brookside Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Litter)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes
Mr. Copeland – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Mugford advised the Board that elections for Chairperson and Vice Chairperson will be take place at the next Code Board Meeting in December.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

Next Code Board Meeting – December 3, 2014.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Yvonne Robinson
Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Cypress Point Parkway, Suite B-106, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.