

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, June 4, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.**  
*Palm Coast Community Center*  
*City Council Meeting Room*  
**305 Palm Coast Parkway NE, Palm Coast, Florida**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Robert Branin, Kenneth Klinkenberg, Norman Mugford,  
Dean Roberts

**EXCUSED:** Gerry Chagnon, Neil Copeland, Tameka McDowell

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Supervisor Donovan,  
Code Enforcement Officers Ballard, Fitzgerald, Hadden,  
MacDonald, Mendez, Ragazzo, Staff Asst. Wry and Debra  
Babb-Nutcher, Counsel

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with four (4) members present.

**C. Approval of the April 2, 2014 Meeting Minutes.**

The minutes were unanimously approved.

**D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

None to report.

**E. Swearing-in of Staff. Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers Ballard, Fitzgerald, Hadden, MacDonald, Mendez, Ragazzo and Staff Asst. Wry were sworn in by Debra Babb-Nutcher, Counsel.**

**F. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by Debra Babb-Nutcher, Counsel for City of Palm Coast.**

**G. Withdrawn Cases**

**AI# 1** CASE NO. 2014030571 - 25 Blairton Court  
**AI# 2** CASE NO. 2014011006 - 39 Longfellow Drive  
**AI# 4** CASE NO. 2014020492 - 26 Carlson lane  
**AI# 7** CASE NO. 2014010190 - 75 Brunswick Lane  
**AI# 8** CASE NO. 2014020424 - 101 Brookside Lane  
**AI# 9** CASE NO. 2013040401 - 10 Katsura Tree Place  
**AI# 11B** CASE NO. 2014030294 - 46 Zonal Geranium Trail  
**AI# 12** CASE NO. 2014010214 - 107 Parkview Drive  
**AI# 17** CASE NO. 2014020164 - 60 Pheasant Drive  
**AI# 24** CASE NO. 2014020379 - 15 Eastgate Lane  
**AI# 25** CASE NO. 2014030713 - 37 Eton Lane  
**AI# 26** CASE NO. 2014030331 - 21 Palm Leaf Lane

1. **AI# 10A**

**CASE NO. 2014020432 RECURRING** LF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gerald Cudney & Nancy Greene*

**19 Karat Path**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Gerald Cudney, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

2. **AI# 10B**

**CASE NO. 2014020433 RECURRING** LF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gerald Cudney & Nancy Greene*

**19 Karat Path**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Gerald Cudney, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Mugford - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**3. AI# 3A**

**CASE NO. 2014021085** BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Yakov Belkin*

**36 Bunker View Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Dmitriy Belkin, Property Manager presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Mugford - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 3B**

**CASE NO. 2014021085** BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Yakov Belkin*

**36 Bunker View Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Dmitriy Belkin, Property Manager presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed.**

**The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 21A**

**CASE NO. 2014030205 RECURRING BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Petr & Raisa Miroshink*

**33 Westminster Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108 (h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Ragazzo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Son of Petr Mirioshink interpreted for Respondent and presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Klinkenberg seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**6. AI# 21B**

**CASE NO. 2014030206 RECURRING BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Petr & Raisa Miroshink*

**33 Westminster Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Son of Petr Mirioshink interpreted for Respondent and presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Klinkenberg seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**7. AI# 18**

**CASE NO. 2014030339      RECURRING      BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Lynn T. & Eri A. Shirley*

***5 Point Place***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Veh. in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Rhonda Garner, Property Manager presented her side.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

8. **AI# 6**  
**CASE NO. 2014030457 RECURRING** LM  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Cassio N. & Patrocinia Gomes*  
**232 Bird of Paradise Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Veh. in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Cassio Gomes, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

<b>Mr. Branin - Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Roberts - Yes</b>
<b>Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Mugford - Yes</b>

**Motion unanimously carried.**

9. **AI# 5A**  
**CASE NO. 2014030323 REPEAT** MB  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Leslie & Brenda Posner*  
**41 Fort Caroline Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Ballard testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that the Respondents brought the property into compliance on March 11, 2014; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 9, 2014 to March 11, 2014; totaling \$150.00. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**  
**Mr. Klinkenberg – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 5B**

**CASE NO. 2014030266 RECURRING** MB

*City of Palm Coast vs. Leslie & Brenda Posner*

**41 Fort Caroline Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Ballard testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 11A**

**CASE NO. 2014021054** LF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Carol Joan Costello*

**46 Zonal Geranium Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d-1) Nuisance- Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed.**

**The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**12. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2014030412 REPEAT MH**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Tamara E. Hartman*

**13 Patric Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on March 11, 2014; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 10, 2014 totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**13. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2013120270 RECURRING MH**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Tamara E. Hartman*

**13 Patric Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Fence)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the**

**Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg- Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 15**

**CASE NO. 2014020034** MH  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Henryk Blazejowski*  
**129 Pine Grove Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Rental Registration Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Klinkenberg moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg- Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 16**

**CASE NO. 2014020619** MH  
*City of Palm Coast vs. David & Haroula Yoshikane*  
**1 Pythian Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Rental Registration Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg- Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 19**

**CASE NO. 2014010820** BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Todd L. & Donna Brown*

**51 Waters Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34 (d-2) Parking of a Jet Ski & Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The Respondents were not present. Officer Ragazzo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 20**

**CASE NO. 2014030035** **RECURRING** BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Annello & Helen M. Cretella, Life Estate*

**19 Wedge Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The Respondents were not present. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 22**

**CASE NO. 2014010065 MASSEY** BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph A. & Kathleen E. Kelemen*  
**45 Woodlyn Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Rental Registration Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Ragazzo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence, on behalf of Officer Romeo. The Respondents were not present. Officer Ragazzo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondents have not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from February 17, 2014 to June 3, 2014; totaling \$5,350.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. If the Respondents do not pay the fine or request a hearing within twenty (20) days from the date of the Affidavit, an additional amount of \$33.40 will be added for Administrative Costs. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2013031795 MASSEY MD**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Milos Kohut*  
**10 Eagle Harbor Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39 (a) Rental Registration Program)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 16, 2013 to June 3, 2014; totaling \$9,575.00; and that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. If the Respondent does not pay the fine or request a hearing within twenty (20) days from the date of the Affidavit, an additional amount of \$33.40 will be added for Administrative Costs. Mr. Klinkenberg seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Branin - Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Klinkenberg - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Klinkenberg advised that the New Jersey Social Club made positive remarks to him about Manager Grossman, who was a speaker at one of their meetings. The members expressed to Mr. Klinkenberg that Manager Grossman did an excellent job and they learned a lot from her, as she was very informative about the City codes.

Supervisor Donovan advised the Board that Manager Grossman checked with City Council on the Board's question as to whether Inoperable Vehicle violations and Unlicensed Vehicle violations are one in the same. The City Attorney confirmed they are two separate violations and should continue to be treated as such. Counsel Babb-Nutcher asked why violation notices for the same address are mailed in separate envelopes. Sr. Staff Assistant Wry explained it is more expedient to do so.

Mr. Klinkenberg wished Counsel Babb-Nutcher a Happy Birthday.

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

**Next Code Board Meeting – July 2, 2014.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:30 a.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,**

**Yvonne Robinson  
Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Cypress Point Parkway, Suite B-106, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*