# CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD Wednesday, June 3, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. Palm Coast Community Center City Council Meeting Room 305 Palm Coast Parkway NE, Palm Coast, Florida

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Edward Branin, Gerard Chagnon, Neil Copeland, Tameka McDowell, Kimble Medley, Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts
STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers Festa, Fitzgerald, MacDonald, Mendez, Romeo, Sagala, Sr. Staff Asst. Wry, Counsel Thu Pham

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance. The meeting was called to order at 9:15 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- **B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.** Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.
- C. Approval of the May 6, 2015 Meeting Minutes. The minutes were unanimously approved.
- **D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.** None to report.
- E. Swearing-in of Staff. Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers Festa, Fitzgerald, MacDonald, Mendez, Romeo, Sagala and Sr. Staff Asst. Wry were sworn in by Thu Pham, Counsel
- F. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by, Thu Pham, Counsel for the City of Palm Coast.
- G. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 2	CASE NO. 2015010232 - 11 Wood Amber Lane
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2015031190 - 73 Zaun Trail
AI# 5	CASE NO. 2015030600 - 27 Bay Spring Place
AI# 6	CASE NO. 2015031300 - 64 Blakemore Drive
AI# 9	CASE NO. 2015030879 - 53 Seattle Trail
AI# 11	CASE NO. 2015010672 - 20 Clark Lane
AI# 12	CASE NO. 2014110580 - 40 Coral Reef Court North
AI# 13	CASE NO. 2015021013 - 10 Fern Court
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2015030917 - 2 Fernham Lane
AI# 16	CASE NO. 2015030739 - 15 Farmbrook Lane
AI# 19	CASE NO. 2015031510 - 60 Flamingo Drive
AI# 20	CASE NO. 2014110257 - 3 Pineland Lane

AI# 21	CASE NO. 2014110724 - 35 Reidsville Drive
AI# 23	CASE NO. 2014120876 - 19 Ryecliffe Drive
AI# 24	CASE NO. 2015030838 - 24 Rymen Lane
AI# 25	CASE NO. 2015030907 - 13 Rymer Lane
AI# 28	CASE NO. 2015020373 - 37 Buffalo Grove Drive
AI# 31	CASE NO. 2015030603 - 27 Londonderry Drive
AI# 32	CASE NO. 2015020171 - 204 Beachway Drive
AI# 39	CASE NO. 2015020399 - 1 Pacific Drive
AI# 40	CASE NO. 2014090989 - 229 Parkview Drive
AI# 43	CASE NO. 2014090814 - 7 Pine Haven Drive (A)
AI# 45	CASE NO. 2014080477 - 21 Prattwood Lane

#### H. Continued Cases:

AI #1	CASE NO. 2015020079 – 2 Eagle Place
AI #8	CASE NO. 2015021051 – 12 Sea Flower Path
AI #10	CASE NO. 2015030986 – 20 Squash Blossom Trail

1. AI# 18

#### CASE NO. 2015031513 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Benjamin J. Faircloth **3 Federal Lane** (Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Richard Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence on behalf of Officer Risch. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Benjamin Faircloth presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion carried unanimously.

# 2. AI# 17 CASE NO. 2015030938 RECURRING CSR City of Palm Coast vs. Teresa Ann Oliver 96 Farmsworth Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence on behalf of Officer Risch. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Theresa Oliver presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 33

# CASE NO. 2015031448RECURRINGLMCity of Palm Coast vs. Alfred E. Fong & Kathleen R. Johnson60 Brushwood Lane(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in a Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Alfred Fong presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion. **Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2015030602RECURRINGBMDCity of Palm Coast vs. Ada Colon & Benito Garcia2727 Londonderry Drive(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Ada Colon presented her side.

Mr. Copeland moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2015031173 RECURRING LF City of Palm Coast vs Nadezhda & Anatoliy Sapunkova 3 Kathleen Trail (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Chagnon seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 6. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2015031582 RECURRING RS City of Palm Coast vs Adrienne Forte Myers 31 Blare Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

BR

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2015030874

City of Palm Coast vs. Rebecca J. & Robert W. Selph 4 Princeton Lane (Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Ralph Selph presented his side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 8. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2015030090 RECURRING BR City of Palm Coast vs. Carl W. Laundrie III 87 Princeton Lane (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent Carl Laundrie III presented his side.

Mr. Copeland moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 22

#### CASE NO. 2015030162 **REPEAT**

JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Gengsheing Zhang 20 Rolling Sands Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 13, 2015; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 3, 2015, April 6, 2015, April 7, 2015 and May 12, 2015 totaling \$200.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 10. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2015031406RECURRINGВМDCity of Palm Coast vs. Inga Sydorenko & Michael Ouvarov20 Biscayne Drive(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2015030519 REPEAT BMD City of Palm Coast vs. Inga Sydorenko & Michael Ouvarov 20 Biscayne Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle/Trailer Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that the Respondents brought the property into compliance on March 31, 2015 that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 23, 2015 to March 30, 2015 totaling \$400.00. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2014010950 MASSEY BMD City of Palm Coast vs. Walter H. & Ligia Uzacategui 5 Buffalo Grove Place (Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Fence Maintenance) Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondents have not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 17, 2014 to June 2, 2015; totaling \$4,950.00 and that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 13. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2015030739RECURRINGRSCity of Palm Coast vs. Edward R. Colllins Jr.1515 Farmbrook Lane(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence on behalf of Officer Sagala. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 14. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2015030362 RECURRING LM City of Palm Coast vs. William Martin & Kelly M. Simonsen 28 Columbus Court (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 15. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2015030076 RECURRING LM City of Palm Coast vs. Patrick & Lisa Giordano Thomas 27 Eastwood Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2015020559 LM City of Palm Coast vs. Jailson Monteiro 33 Farmsworth Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 17. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2015020481REPEATLMCity of Palm Coast vs. Michelle Ann & Christopher J. Morton2 Poinbury Drive(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that the Respondents brought the property into compliance on February 19, 2015 that a \$500.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from February 11, 2015 to February 18, 2015 totaling \$4,000.00. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – YesMs. Medley - YesMr. Chagnon - YesMr. Mugford – YesMr. Copeland - YesMr. Roberts – YesMs. McDowell - YesMr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 18. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2014101119 *BR City of Palm Coast vs. Flagler County Land Trust #24-11-30-3020-00000-0910) 11 Cedar Point Court (Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)* 

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation Staff recommends a Fine Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents are in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 19. AI# 41 CASE NO. 2015020398 RECURRING BR City of Palm Coast vs. Richard H. & Christina M. Taylor 294 Parkview Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2015030905RECURRINGBRCity of Palm Coast vs. Marc & Amy SamuelmanBR30 Philmont Lane(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Boat & Trailer)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Medley moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion. **Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2015010911 RECURRING BR City of Palm Coast vs. Brent Smith 27 Port Royal Drive (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation Staff recommends a Fine Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondents are in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents correct the violation no later than two (2) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes	Ms. Medley - Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Copeland - Yes	Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2015040331 ME City of Palm Coast vs. The Group Golf of Palm Coast LLC 398 Lakeview Blvd. (Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Manager Grossman requested that this case be continued to the August Code Board Hearing.

Mr. Roberts moved to continue this case to the August Code Board Hearing. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Chagnon - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes Ms. McDowell - Yes Ms. Medley - Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Chagnon advised due to work obligations, he would need to resign from the Board effective immediately. Both Mr. Mugford and Manager Grossman expressed their appreciation and thanks to Mr. Chagnon for his service to the Board.

## **ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

Next Code Board Meeting – July 1, 2015.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

Respectfully submitted, *Yvonne Robinson* Yvonne Robinson Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Cypress Point Parkway, Suite B-106, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.