CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD

Wednesday, July 1, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.

Palm Coast Community Center City Council Meeting Room

305 Palm Coast Parkway NE, Palm Coast, Florida

MEMBERS PRESENT: Robert Branin, Kimble Medley, Norman Mugford, Dean

Roberts

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Neil Copeland, Tameka McDowell

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement

Officers Ballard, Festa, Fitzgerald, Hadden, Mendez, Risch, Romeo, Sagala, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Sr. Staff Asst.

Wry, Bill Reischmann, Counsel

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 9:25 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with four (4) members present.

C. Approval of the June 3, 2015 Meeting Minutes.

The minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

- E. Swearing-in of Staff. Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan, Code Enforcement Officers Ballard, Festa, Fitzgerald, Hadden, Mendez, Risch, Romeo, Sagala, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, and Sr. Staff Asst. Wry were sworn in by Bill Reischmann, Counsel
- F. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by Bill Reischmann, Counsel for the City of Palm Coast.
- G. Withdrawn Cases

AI# 2	CASE NO. 2015050317 - 5925 State Hwy 100 East
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2014110873 - 32 Emerson Drive
AI#8	CASE NO. 2015041705 - 7 Blakefield Drive
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2015041362 - 51 Llewellyn Trail - DUPLICATE OF 13
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2015041363 - 46 Zonal Geranium Trail
AI# 16	CASE NO. 2015040807 - 46 Zonal Geranium Trail
AI# 21	CASE NO. 2015041737 - 13 Collins Lane
AI# 22	CASE NO. 2014091628 - 39 Farraday Lane
AI# 23	CASE NO. 2015030273 - 24 Old Kings Road North
AI# 28	CASE NO. 2015040298 - 17 Flaxton Lane
AI# 29	CASE NO. 2015041321 - 17 Flaxton Lane
AI# 43	CASE NO. 2015040292 - 34 Raeland Lane

```
AI# 44
             CASE NO. 2015041496 - 9 Reidel Lane
AI#45
             CASE NO. 2015040102 - 30 Richland Lane
AI# 46
             CASE NO. 2015031683 - 8 Riviera Estates Drive
AI# 47
             CASE NO. 2015040109 - 6 Rodger Court
AI#48
             CASE NO. 2015041123 - 9 Rolling Fern Place
AI# 49
             CASE NO. 2015041217 - 63 Rollins Lane
             CASE NO. 2015041174 - 36 Royal Oak Drive
AI# 50
AI#57
             CASE NO. 2015020687 - 26 Pleasant Lane (B)
AI# 58
             CASE NO. 2015020688 - 26 Pleasant Lane (B)
AI#61
             CASE NO. 2015030451 - 3 Squirrel Place
AI# 62
             CASE NO. 2015031912 - 68 Sea Breeze Trail
AI# 63
             CASE NO. 2015021270 - 53 Seattle Trail
             CASE NO. 2015040463 - 5 Seaward Trail
AI# 64
AI#65
             CASE NO. 2015040159 - 46 Sedgwick Trail
             CASE NO. 2015030985 - 20 Squash Blossom Trail
AI# 66
             CASE NO. 2015030465 - 17 Squirrel Place
AI# 67
             CASE NO. 2015040453 - 15 Squirrel Place (A)
AI# 68
AI#78
             CASE NO. 2015020156 - 14 Central Place
```

H. Continued Cases

AI# 5	CASE NO. 2015031751 – 140 Westgrill Drive
AI#6	CASE NO. 2015031758 – 140 Westgrill Drive
AI#70	CASE NO. 2014100051 – 19 Woodglen Place

1. AI# 37

CASE 2015042015 RECURRING LM

City of Palm Coast vs. John F. & Laurie L. Kenney

91 Blare Castle Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c)) Parking of Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Office Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, John Kenney presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI#10

CASE NO.2015040267 RECURRING LF

City of Palm Coast vs. Richard A. Bancroft Jr.

15 Katrina Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Richard Bancroft Jr. presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2015040721

City of Palm Coast vs. Andrea & Ray Mitchell

17 Cerrudo Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Boat & Trailer)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Office Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Ray Mitchell Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2015042027 REPEAT LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Tracy & Jo Jean Larson

62 Blare Castle Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Jo Larson presented her side.

No one would make a motion for fine recommendation made by the Code Officer.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 13, 2015; fine is imposed for the period from April 27, 2015 to May 12, 2015 totaling \$500.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2015040981 RECURRING LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Charles T. Coulter

48 Russo Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c)) Parking of A Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Office Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Charles Coulter and Laurel Fentanes (daughter) presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code

Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2015031938 REPEAT LM

City of Palm Coast vs. John P. & Laura M. Gilvary

25 Columbus Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c)) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs Respondent, John Gilvary presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 3, 2015; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period from April 1, 2015 to April 2, 2015 totaling \$200.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2015031679 J

City of Palm Coast vs. Desiderio & Nancy Carreiro

13 Radius Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Porch & Slab)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff

recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Desiderio Carreiro presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2015031738

JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Desiderio & Nancy Carreiro

13 Radius Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Driveway Slab)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Desiderio Carreiro presented his side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

LM

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI#35

CASE NO. 2015040986

City of Palm Coast vs. Amaral Custom Homes Inc.

54 Bennett Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Antonio Amaral Jr. presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2015041738 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Rebecca C. Arnold, Life Estate

3 Cole Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Rebecca Arnold presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI#52

CASE NO. 2015040285 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir Murye

97 Pebble Beach Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Simon Katz (tenant) presented his side.

Ms. Medley moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2015040282 RECURRING LM

City of Palm Coast vs. James E. Atkins

16 Edge Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, James Atkins presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2015031574 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76 (d)(1) Nuisance Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Kurt Lawrence presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2015031355 JB

City of Palm Coast vs. Elzhieta Wolecka & Franciszek Wolecki

35 Briarvue Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1)(2)(3) Nuisance Accumulations)

Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Bogdan Stefanovicz presented his side on behalf of the property owners, who were not present.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation

continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI#25

CASE NO. 2015041551 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Salvador & Ivonne M. Camacho

35 Farmbrook Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork, and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Ivonne Camacho presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2014120120 RECURRING MB

City of Palm Coast vs. Arelene Colon-Garcia

58 Eagle Harbor Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Ballard presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Ballard testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI#7

CASE NO. 2015050536 REPEAT RS
City of Palm Coast vs. Winifred H. Campbell
64 Blaine Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified this is a Repeat Violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 12, 2015; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 9, 2015 to May 11, 2015 totaling \$150.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2015042125 RECURRING RS

City of Palm Coast vs. Lewis P. & Marilyn M. Parker

13 Blakefield Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2015040990 REPEAT LF
City of Palm Coast vs. Matthew R. & Nicole R. Carlock
33 Llewelyn Trail
(Land Development Code 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 14, 2015; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 13, 2015 totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2015040819 REPEAT LF City of Palm Coast vs. Todd A. Gomez 46 Llewelyn Trail (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 10, 2015; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 10, 2015; April 16, 2015, May 6, 2015 and June 9, 2015 totaling \$400.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2015041362 REPEAT LF

City of Palm Coast vs. Justin Jackson

51 Llewelyn Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 18, 2015; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 17, 2015 totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2015030626

LF

City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond & Susan Clabby

2 Zinc Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than seven (7) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2015041160 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Mark Douglass & Katherine Irene Mitchell **2 Clark Lane**

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried

24. AI# 24

CASE NO. 2015041684 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Cory Lee Favorite & Shayna Rene Constantino 15 Fairbank Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2015041805 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. William C. Jr. & Bonnie M. Manning 44 Federal Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2015031705 REPEAT CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Paul G. & Susan Marie Jones

2 Ferdinand Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on March, 27 2015; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 26, 2015 totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2014081165 MASSEY CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Angela Devito & Gordon Todd Knaeble

25 Freneau Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Plumbing)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondents were in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondents have not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 9, 2014 to June 30, 2015; totaling \$11,650.00 and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondents shall pay

Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 31

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Edward Marvel

36 Bannerwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Pop Up Camper in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2015031755 LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Reynaldo M. Punsalan, Life Estate

46 Belleaire Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2015031780

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Reynaldo M. Punsalan, Life Estate 46 Belleaire Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2015040983 RECURRING LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Lyle P. Carmony Jr. % Carol & Terry Hall

34 Bennett Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c)) Parking of Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr, Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2015040817 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Richard H. & Christina M. Taylor

294 Parkview Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Medley moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Ms. Medley – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 53

CASE NO. 2015031931 RECURRING BR City of Palm Coast vs. Rosalia & Girolamo Pugliesi

35 Phoenix Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 55

City of Palm Coast vs. Norlando Jackson & Malvina & Gerald Jackson

47 Pine Hill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 2, 2015; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 30, 2015 to April 1, 2015 totaling \$150.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2015040945 RECURRING BI

City of Palm Coast vs. Alexander Permyakov & Elena Kruglova 15 Pineland Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2015031928 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. James Patrick Beredyn, Trustee

24 Prestwick Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

BR

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 60

CASE NO. 2015041140 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Antonia M. Martinez

25 Princess Kim Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Medley moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

JF

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Mugford - Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 69

CASE NO. 2015031726 REPEAT

RS

City of Palm Coast vs. Serghei & Zinaida Potorac 92 Ulysses Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d)Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 16, 2015; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 30, 2015 to April 15, 2015 totaling \$800.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Medley - Yes

Mr. Roberts -Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 71

CASE NO. 2015041386 MD
City of Palm Coast vs. Monica T. Mers
36 Bressler Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 72

CASE NO. 2015041388 MD
City of Palm Coast vs. Monica T. Mers
36 Bressler Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 73

CASE NO. 2015041389

City of Palm Coast vs. Monica T. Mers
36 Bressler Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 41-17(a)(5) Trash Containers Out Too Early/Long)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 74

CASE NO. 2015041391 REPEAT MD

City of Palm Coast vs. Monica Mers

36 Bressler Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 15, 2015, April 21, 2015,

April 25, 2015, April 29, 2015, May 13, 2015, June 3, 2015 and June 24, 2015 totaling \$1,600.00; and that a fine of \$200.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. If the Respondent does not pay the fine or request a hearing within twenty (20) days from the date of the Affidavit, an additional amount of \$33.40 will be added for Administrative Costs. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

43. AI# 75

CASE NO. 2015040712 RECURRING MD

City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond & Toby Jean Stepp

30 Brice Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

44. AI# 76

CASE NO. 2015040713 MD

City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond & Toby Jean Stepp

30 Brice Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

45. AI# 77

CASE NO. 2015040714 MD
City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond & Toby Jean Stepp
30 Brice Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-17(a)(5) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Donovan presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Donovan testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Medley seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Ms. Medley – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

NEW BUSINESS

None to Report

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, August 5, 2015.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Cypress Point Parkway, Suite B-106, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.