CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD

Wednesday, September 7, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Robert Branin, Kenneth Carruth, Neil Copeland, Norman

Mugford, Dean Roberts

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Tameka McDowell, Kimble Medley

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Snead

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Supervisor Mendez,

Officers Burton, Festa, Fitzgerald, Hadden, MacDonald, Risch, Romeo, Shelley, Stafford, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry and

City Counsel Bill Reischmann

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:05 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with five (5) members present.

C. Approval of the August 3, 2016 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

- E. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases:

Tavii Cascs.	
AI# 1	CASE NO. 2016050068 - 21 Clarendon Court South
AI# 3	CASE NO. 2016061372 - 51 Blakemore Drive
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2016050660 - 80 Bickford Drive
AI#8	CASE NO. 2016060102 - 4 Coral Reef Court North
AI# 11	CASE NO. 2016051691 - 39 Beechwood Lane
AI# 13	CASE NO. 2016060130 - 29 Eastlake Drive
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2016051103 - 104 Karas Trail
AI# 16	CASE NO. 2016060747 - 5 Kathleen Trail
AI# 22	CASE NO. 2016060187 - 14 Wood Arbor Lane
AI# 24	CASE NO. 2016041625 - 43 Sedgwick Trail

AI# 25	CASE NO. 2016041209 - 21 Sentinel Trail
AI# 32	CASE NO. 2016051658 - 100 Reidsville Drive
AI# 34	CASE NO. 2016051230 - 3 Rockne Lane
AI# 36	CASE NO. 2016051490 - 86 Ryan Drive
AI# 42	CASE NO. 2016061579 - 13 Farragut Drive
AI# 52	CASE NO. 2016061072 - 1 Pierce Lane
AI# 53	CASE NO. 2016061069 - 1 Pierce Lane
AI# 57	CASE NO. 2016030792 - 74 Pine Circle Drive
AI# 64	CASE NO. 2016061612 - 4 Edgely Place
AI# 68	CASE NO. 2016061616 - 4 Edgely Place
AI# 69	CASE NO. 2016050648 - 300 Palm Coast Pkwy SW
AI# 70	CASE NO. 2016050851 - 645 Palm Coast Pkwy SW
AI# 71	CASE NO. 2016050647 - 1212 SW Palm Coast Pkwy

G. Continued Cases:

AI# 72 CASE NO. 2016050640 - 4490 U.S. Hwy. 1, Ste. 111

1. AI# 62

CASE NO. 2016060268 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Hua Liu & Dian Lian Lin

156 Point Pleasant Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34 (f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent, Mr. Hua Lin presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 49

CASE NO. 2016051492 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Leona Nuss **22 Freeland Lane**(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent Ann Marselac (niece of owners) presented her side.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2016051168 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Louis Nguyen & Hollie Purdy

8 Ripley Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent Louis Nguyen presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2016050682 MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Maureen E. Pecukonis
1 Chesney Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Shed)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Andrew Trotter, Property Manager, presented his side.

Mr. Copeland moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2016060547 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Jorge A. & Julia M. Fuentes

93 Raemoor Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent Jorge Fuentes presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code

Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2016051668 LF
City of Palm Coast vs. Edul Malesra
8 Zelda Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Respondent James Schroeder (tenant) presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 2

CASE NO. 2016061376 RECURRING RS
City of Palm Coast vs. Kathryn B. Turner
14 Blackfoot Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2016060947 REPEAT BMD City of Palm Coast vs. Cesare & Lydia Spagnuolo

23 Leidel Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 15, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 14, 2016; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2016061172 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Jeremy Lott aka Jeremiah A. Lott 13 Collins Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2016060892 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. John Gomes

40 Coral Reef Court North

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Copeland seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 10

City of Palm Coast vs. Eric Schlapak

49 Beauford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes

Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

BS

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2016051498 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Douglas A. & John P. Casulli

102 Beechwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per

day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2016051479 RECURRING LF

City of Palm Coast vs. Cassandra Witkowski

75 Karas Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2016051475 RECURRING LF

City of Palm Coast vs. Annette C. Ruggiero

16 Ziegler Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of Boat & Trailer)

Code Enforcement Officer Fitzgerald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Fitzgerald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2016051423 RECURRING SB

City of Palm Coast vs. James Jay III & Rebecca Lee McDougall 45 Whitcock Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2016051291

SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Larry Warf

23 Wood Acre lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes

Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2016051579 RECURRING

IS

City of Palm Coast vs. Matthew S. Benton & Rachelle A. Chenault

6 Sled Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin - Yes

Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 28

City of Palm Coast vs. Musset Denisard & Mearrha Apollon

1 Slocum Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes

Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

JS

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2016061243 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Sandra Parsley

149 Ulaturn Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00

per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2016051403 REPEAT JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Serghei & Zinaida Potorac

92 Ulysses Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 25, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 24, 2016 totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 35

City of Palm Coast vs. Herbert P. Jr. & Mercedes Rothermel

12 Round Mill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2016051153 REPEAT JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Aussilia & Cherilus Simon

10 Ryder Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Festa testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 21, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 20, 2016; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2016051214 REPEAT JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Aussilia & Cherilus Simon

10 Ryder Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(b) Swale Parking Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Festa testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 21, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 20, 2016; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2016051050 CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Kiziukiewicz

19 Faith Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 No Permit for Windows)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2016051051 CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Kiziukiewicz

19 Faith Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in a Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2016060564 CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Marcus K. Butts

16 Farmbrook Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

CSR

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 43

RECURRING CASE NO. 2016061346

City of Palm Coast vs. Reynold & Margaret Bascombe

25 Farrington Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes

Mr. Mugford- Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2016060358 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Reynold & Margaret Bascombe

25 Farrington Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00

per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI # 45

CASE NO. 2016060357 RECURRING CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Reynold & Margaret Bascombe

25 Farrington Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2016060905 CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Niel & Florence Libera

7 Ferdinand Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2016020446 REPEAT CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Gabriel P. & Genevieve M. Scarnato 23 Fort Caroline Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 19, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from February 11, 2016 to February 18; totaling \$800.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2016060986 RECURRING

City of Palm Coast vs. Wilfredo & Maritza Velazquez

22 Freeland Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

CSR

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2016051666 REPEAT BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Gino G. & Carmelina Impellizzeri

8 Piedmont Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(b) Swale Parking Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 27, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 28, 2016; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2016060330 REPEAT BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Rudolf Wohlfarth

22 Pillory Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Branin moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 11, 2016; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 3, 2016 to June 10, 2016; totaling \$800.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 55

City of Palm Coast vs. Rudolf Wohlfarth 22 Pillory Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

BR

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2016051191 REPEAT BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Zoia Ivanova-Cardella

28 Pillory Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of September 6, 2016; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 9, 2016 to September 6, 2016; totaling \$5,550.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2016060781 RECURRING

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Anatoliy Brstrov & Nadezhda Bystrova

58 Pine Haven Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of A Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall

be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2016020625 REDUCTION BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

The Respondent was not present. Therefore, the reduction case was not heard and the original Fine - Violation Order was upheld.

39. AI# 60

CASE NO. 2016020386 REDUCTION BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

The Respondent was not present. Therefore, the reduction case was not heard and the original Fine - Violation Order was upheld.

40. AI# 63

CASE NO. 2016060924 REPEAT BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir & Svetlana Gorenburgov

34 Pony Express Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a Fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 17, 2016; that a \$500.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 14, 2016 to June 16, 2016 totaling \$150.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 65

CASE NO. 2016061613 LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas %Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC 4 Edgely Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 66

CASE NO. 2016061614 LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas %Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC 4 Edgely Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine

in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Branin seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

43. AI# 67

CASE NO. 2016061615 LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas %Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC 4 Edgely Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find that the Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Branin – Yes Mr. Mugford- Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Copeland - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS:

None to report.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, October 5, 2016 at 10:00am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.