

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, October 4, 2017, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Dean Roberts, Norman Mugford, Kenneth Carruth, Richard LaPadula, Jon Netts, Larry Pulos, Andrew Dodzik

**BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED:** Tameka Maccherone

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** Mary Snead

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Officers Romeo, Risch, Stafford, Festa, Hadden, Shelley, Sagala, MacDonald, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**  
The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**  
Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.
- C. Swearing in of New Member**  
The new members of the Code Enforcement Board were sworn in by Mary Snead, Attorney for Code Enforcement Board.
- D. Approval of the September 6, 2017 Meeting Minutes**  
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**  
None to report.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.**
- F. Withdrawn Cases:**
- |        |  |
|--------|--|
| AI# 2  | CASE NO. 2017060683 - 110 White Hall Drive |
| AI# 7  | CASE NO. 2017061314 - 12 Bradmore Lane (A) |
| AI# 9  | CASE NO. 2017071875 - 57 Brushwood Lane    |
| AI# 11 | CASE NO. 2017060044 - 4 Ranbay Place       |
| AI# 16 | CASE NO. 2017050920 - 33 Bunker Lane       |
| AI# 17 | CASE NO. 2017031344 - 10 Cardwell Court    |
| AI# 18 | CASE NO. 2017070244 - 1 Chesney Court      |
| AI# 19 | CASE NO. 2016051549 - 12 Cleveland Court   |

<b>AI# 20</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017031041 - 38 Cottonwood Court</b>
<b>AI# 21</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017051089 - 9 Curtis Court</b>
<b>AI# 22</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017030997 - 10 Flametree Court</b>
<b>AI# 23</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2016120493 - 22 Flamingo Drive</b>
<b>AI# 24</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017070073 - 1 Lynton Place</b>
<b>AI# 27</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017041148 - 2 Flaxton Lane</b>
<b>AI# 28</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017061105 - 26 Florida Park Drive</b>
<b>AI# 36</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017060750 - 16 Pennypacker Lane</b>
<b>AI# 37</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017051365 - 43 Pepperdine Drive</b>
<b>AI# 40</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017050983 - 14 Porcupine Drive</b>
<b>AI# 48</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017061689 - 20 Squash Blossom Trail</b>
<b>AI# 57</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017061067 - 398 Lakeview Blvd.</b>
<b>AI# 58</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017050273 - 220 Palm Coast Parkway SW</b>
<b>AI# 59</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017050275 - 220 Palm Coast Parkway SW</b>

**G. Continued Cases:**

**AI# 56 CASE NO. 2017070806 – 300 Bella Harbor Court 101**

**1. AI# 30**

**CASE NO. 2017040405 REDUCTION CSR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Frances Souza*

**17 Fort Caroline Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history. The fine for this violation is \$100.00. Frances Souza, Respondent, presented her side and requested a reduction in fine.

**Mr. Roberts moved to reduce the fine from \$100.00 to \$25.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**2. AI# 35**

**CASE NO. 2016071205 REDUCTION BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Levorose Trust*

**29 Patchogue Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history. The fine for this violation is \$350.00. Rosaliya Malerchuk, Rental Agent, presented her side and requested a reduction in fine.

**Mr. Roberts moved to reduce the fine from \$350.00 to \$150.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**3. AI# 34**

**CASE NO. 2017060340 REPEAT** (1) BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Robert M. & Eileen R. Logue*  
**364 Parkview Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Mike Smith, Representative for Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 7, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 6, 2017; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 43**

**CASE NO. 2017051164** BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Darryl C. & Chance N. Backert*  
**31 Princess Ruth Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Darryl Backert, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 26**

**CASE NO. 2017070246      RECURRING** (6) CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Afflick & Birdie Hanson & Errol Lloyd*

**9 Fieldstone Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Richard Afflick, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**6. AI# 1**

**CASE NO. 2017060763**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Tahsin Hassan & Ismat Ibrahim*

**74 Luther Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**7. AI# 3**

**CASE NO. 2016110560**

**REDUCTION**

SB

*City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Mkrtchyan*

**21 Wood Crest Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Fence Maintenance)*

The Respondent was not present. Therefore, the case was not heard.

**8. AI# 4**

**CASE NO. 2017070869**

**REPEAT**

SB

*City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Greene*

**27 Woodlawn Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 3, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 17, 2017 to October 2, 2017; totaling \$3,950.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**9. AI# 5**

**CASE NO. 2017060119**

BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Elizabeth S. Ernie*

***14 Ballard Lane***

*Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Roof in Disrepair)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 6**

**CASE NO. 2017061062      RECURRING** (3) BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jill E. & Robert L. Moyers*

**84 Berkshire Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 8**

**CASE NO. 2017040981      MASSEY** ( BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard D. Legall*

**157 Brittany Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent**

brought the property into compliance on September 29, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 17, 2017 to September 28, 2017; totaling \$3,750.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**12. AI# 10**

**CASE NO. 2017061225**

JF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Caliber Home Loans Inc.*

**4 Radford Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Not present  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**13. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2017051582**

JF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Kenneth J. Eady*

**7 Rolland Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*



Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2017070800** JF  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Kenneth J. Eady*  
**7 Rolland Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2017061231 REPEAT** JF  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Aussillia & Cherilus Simon*  
**10 Ryder Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 17, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 16, 2017; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 15**

**CASE NO. 2017070560 REPEAT** JF  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Aussillia & Cherilus Simon*  
**10 Ryder Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 11, 2017; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 10, 2017; totaling \$150.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 25**

**CASE NO. 2017070566** CSR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Donald & Marie E. Corcoran*  
**9 Fariston Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 FBC 105.1 No Permit for Fence)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to receive a building permit, and ten (10) days to receive a final inspection, after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 29**

**CASE NO. 2017071058 REPEAT (1)** CSR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Lisa Riccardi*  
**57 Forrester Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 18, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 17, 2017; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 60**

**CASE NO. 2017031322**

LM

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mandela Holdings LLC & The Lending House Inc. c/o Stoll & Associates  
1 Palm Harbor Parkway  
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$150.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**20. AI# 61**

**CASE NO. 2017031323**

LM

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mandela Holdings LLC & The Lending House Inc. c/o Stoll & Associates  
1 Palm Harbor Parkway  
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$150.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**21. AI# 62**

**CASE NO. 2017031325**

LM

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mandela Holdings LLC & The Lending House Inc. c/o Stoll & Associates  
1 Palm Harbor Parkway  
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Fence in Disrepair)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$150.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**22. AI# 31**

**CASE NO. 2017040420**

CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L. Jr. & Juanita S. Trivett Gray*

**43 Fort Caroline Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of 69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**23. AI# 32**

**CASE NO. 2017061807**

**REPEAT (2)**

CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L. Jr. & Juanita S. Trivett Gray*

**43 Fort Caroline Lane**

*Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 10, 2017; that a \$200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 6, 2017 to July 9, 2017; totaling \$800.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2017070724 REPEAT (1) CSR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Mary Kathryn Hicks*  
**26 Frenora Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 17, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 12, 2017 to July 16, 2017; totaling \$250.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 38**

**CASE NO. 2016120205 MASSEY BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Evert & Cheryl Witteveen*

**31 Pine Haven Drive (A)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 11, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 22, 2017 to July 10, 2017; totaling \$950.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**26. AI# 39**

**CASE NO. 2017010284      MASSEY      BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Edwin D. & Carmen S. Nazario*  
**31 Point of Woods Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 7, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 22, 2017 to July 6, 2017; totaling \$750.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**



Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**27. AI# 41**

**CASE NO. 2017040086**

BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Josephine Villaverde Camiquel*

**3 Powder Horn Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**28. AI# 42**

**CASE NO. 2017061798      RECURRING** (2) BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Yaroslav Kotiovskiy & Gennady Verba*

**5 Princess Mari Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat**

violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**29. AI# 44**

**CASE NO. 2017051096 REPEAT (1) JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence*

**24 Pine Hill Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in the Swale between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 17, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between May 18, 2017 and August 10, 2017 (11 days); totaling \$1,100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**30. AI# 45**

**CASE NO. 2017011304 MASSEY JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Sokhom & Thida Kim Neou*

**9 Russo Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent in this case was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 27, 2017 to October 3, 2017; totaling \$2,475.00; and that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**31. AI# 46**

**CASE NO. 2017061456 REPEAT (3) JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Sokhom & Thida Kim Neou*

**9 Russo Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 30, 2017; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 22, 2017 and June 29, 2017; totaling \$500.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**32. AI# 47**

**CASE NO. 2017070077      RECURRING** (3) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mitko Dimitrov*

**13 Seckel Court**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 115-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**33. AI# 49**

**CASE NO. 2017070191      REPEAT** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michelle Whalen*

**20 Squash Blossom Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 23, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day find is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 5, 2017 to August 22,**

2017; totaling \$2,450.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**34. AI# 50**

**CASE NO. 2017061673 REPEAT (3)** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Michelle Whalen*  
**20 Squash Blossom Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 6, 2017; that a \$200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 5, 2017; totaling \$200.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**35. AI# 51**

**CASE NO. 2017061204 REPEAT (2)** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer*  
**8 Squirrel Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 29, 2017; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 20, 2017 to June 28, 2017; totaling \$1,350.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**36. AI# 52**

**CASE NO. 2017061201 REPEAT (3) JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer*

**8 Squirrel Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 3, 2017; that as \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 20, 2017 to October 3, 2017; totaling \$26,500; that a fine of \$250.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**37. AI# 53**

**CASE NO. 2017061615      REPEAT** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard D. Belhumeur*

**17 Underwick Path**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in the Swale between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 13, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day find is imposed for the periods of non-compliance from June 22, 2017 to July 6, 2017 (3 days); totaling \$300.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**38. AI# 54**

**CASE NO. 2017060777      RECURRING** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Tina M. Eddy*

**8 Upland Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-17(a)(5) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a**

fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**39. AI# 55**

**CASE NO. 2017060775      RECURRING** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Tina M. Eddy*

**8 Upland Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 R.O.W. Obstruction)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS**

City Attorney Bill Reischmann presented a short educational session for the new members of the Code Enforcement Board. (See attached presentation).



Mary Snead, Code Board Attorney, advised that a Vice Chairman position was currently vacant and the Board members will be casting their vote today for a new Vice Chairman. Ballots were distributed and Ms. Snead tallied the votes. The new Vice Chairman will be Kenneth Carruth.

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, November 1, 2017 at 10:00am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

**Respectfully submitted,**

***Yvonne Robinson***

**Yvonne Robinson**

**Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*