

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, November 1, 2017, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Dean Roberts, Norman Mugford, Kenneth Carruth, Richard LaPadula, Jon Netts, Larry Pulos, Andrew Dodzik, Tameka Maccherone

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Snead

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Officers Romeo, Risch, Stafford, Festa, Hadden, Shelley, MacDonald, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, Building Official R. Lee, Zoning Supervisor T. Doak, City Counsel Reischmann

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with eight (8) members present. Mr. Dodzik will not be a voting member as he serves as an alternate and a quorum is met with seven (7) regular members present.

C. Approval of the October 4, 2017 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

E. Swearing in of Respondents: The respondents who were present were sworn in by Mary Snead, Counsel for the Code Board.

F. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 1	CASE NO. 2017071656 - 5 Cedar Point Court
AI# 2	CASE NO. 2017080781 - 21 Wentworth Lane
AI# 3	CASE NO. 2017081261 - 10 White Oak Lane
AI# 6	CASE NO. 2017081439 - 52 Lee Drive
AI# 9	CASE NO. 2017060820 - 28 Clearview Court South
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2017071705 - 33 Rolling Sands Drive
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2017071551 - 6 Russkin Lane
AI# 16	CASE NO. 2017061847 - 32 Ryecliffe Drive
AI# 18	CASE NO. 2017061597 - 31 Beaverdam Lane
AI# 19	CASE NO. 2017080087 - 128 Berkshire Lane
AI# 22	CASE NO. 2017080637 - 57 Brushwood Lane
AI# 23	CASE NO. 2017080199 - 26 Fairview Lane
AI# 26	CASE NO. 2017070524 - 52 Filbert Lane
AI# 31	CASE NO. 2017061307 - 14 Patchogue Lane
AI# 32	CASE NO. 2017061309 - 14 Patchogue Lane
AI# 35	CASE NO. 2017020798 - 16 Pineash Lane
AI# 44	CASE NO. 2017061882 - 7 Poinsettia Lane

AI# 48 CASE NO. 2017071666 - 2 *Senor Place*
AI# 50 CASE NO. 2017061764 - 2 *Squash Blossom Trail*
AI# 56 CASE NO. 2017051424 - 429 *Underwood Trail*

1. AI# 12
CASE NO. 2015081493 REDUCTION MH
City of Palm Coast vs. RM 5 Fifth Avenue LLC
4 Office Park Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit for Work)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history to the Board members. Jay Pinnick, Attorney and representative for the Respondent, presented his request for reduction.

Mr. Roberts moved that the fine be reduced in this case from \$17,350.00 to \$2,000.00, and that Respondent has until thirty (30) days to pay the reduced amount and if not paid by that date, the fine shall revert to the amount now due and all requested relief shall be deemed denied. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – No	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes	Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes	

Motion carried 6/1.

2. AI# 24
CASE NO. 2017070805 RECURRING (3) CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Salvatore Salamone
25 Felter Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Salvatore Salamone, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes	Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2017080387 RECURRING JF

City of Palm Coast vs. John P. & Diane C. Karman

17 Rymen Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. John Karman, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. LaPadula – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2017011207 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Cynthia Dianne & Michael Hourban Tackett

8 Zanzibar Balsan Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit for Shed)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Cynthia Tackett and Michael Hourban, Respondents, presented their side. They provided additional photos that were placed into evidence.

Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2017081319 RECURRING (3) CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Jeff International Inc.
57 Fordham Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 57

CASE NO. 2017080825 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey Warren Nelson
35 Utica Path
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Jeff Nelson, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Pulos moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 7, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 10, 2017 to August 31, 2017; totaling \$400.00.

The motion was amended to assess a \$25.00 per day fine for the period of non-compliance from August 10, 2017 to August 31, 2017; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2017061786 RECURING (3) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Larry Marquez & Sandra M. Hechavarría

8 Pittson Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Augusta Brown, tenant, presented his side.

Ms. Maccherone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2017070830 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Michelle Ann & Christopher J. Morton

2 Poinbury Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Michelle Morton, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to

\$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – No
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion carried 6/1.

9. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2017071616 REPEAT (1) BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mario & Erma Castro & Ernesto Rivera DE Jesus & Emma Morales Rivera
171 Plain View Drive (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Erma Castro, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 28, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 26, 2017 to July 27, 2017; totaling \$100.00.

The motion was amended by Mr. Netts to assess a \$25.00 per day fine for the period of non-compliance from July 26, 2017 to July 27, 2017; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2017061112 CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Wilfredo & Maritza Velazquez
22 Freeland Lane
(Land Development Code 13.02.06B(36) Non-Approved House Color)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Maritza Velazquez, Respondent, presented her side. Mr. Reischmann, Attorney for the City of

Palm Coast elaborated on the Code regarding home colors. Tracy Doak, Zoning Supervisor, also testified on behalf of the City.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - No
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion carried 6/1.

11. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2017081617 REPEAT (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J. Christina Sapienza
37 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Philip Sapienza, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 30, 2017; that a \$50.00 fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 29, 2017 totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2017070731 RECURRING (3) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Vadim Zalagin
15 Potterville Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Representative for Vadim Zalogin presented his side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2017080763 REPEAT (1) IS
City of Palm Coast vs. Fred C. Jr. & Lynn A. Schrecengost
58 Raleigh Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Lynn Schrecengost, Respondent presented her side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 26, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 10, 2017 to October 26, 2017; totaling \$1,200.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order.

The motion was amended by Mr. Carruth moving that a \$100.00 fine is assessed and the property is required to come into compliance by December 1, 2017. If the property does not come into compliance by December 1, 2017, a \$50.00 per day fine shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. LaPadula – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2017020563 REDUCTION JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Nancy Rebecca Divirgillo

18 Radford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history into evidence. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Nancy Divirgillo, Respondent, was sworn in and presented her request for reduction of fine.

Ms. Maccherone moved that the fine be reduced in this case from \$2,450.00 to \$0. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. LaPadula – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

Mr. LaPadula left the meeting, therefore Mr. Dodzik will now act as a voting member for the remainder of the cases.

15. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2017060695 BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Leonard J. Fries

50 La Mancha Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Fence Maintenance)

Code Enforcement MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2017080449

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Leonard J. Fries

50 La Mancha Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2017080123

RECURRING (3)

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Hung Hoange Nguyen

20 Lema Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Maccherone - Yes

Mr. Dodzik– Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2017040625 MASSEY MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Guillermo Perez
28 Clearview Court South
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d) Nuisance-Boat House Roof)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 11, 2017 to October 31, 2017; totaling \$4,100.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2017030461 MASSEY MH
City of Palm Coast vs. John Barrett
44 Cooper Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit for Structure)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 17, 2017 that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 11, 2017 to October 18, 2017; totaling \$3,450.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2017080176 RECURRING (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Christina Rose Fredricks
30 Brice Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2017071068 CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Douglas & Deividy Da Silva Costa
146 Fenimore Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further

ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2017051438 CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Amy M. Vinson
87 Karas Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2017080262 REPEAT (2) CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Matthew R. Carlock
33 Llewellyn Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends as fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that

Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 3, 2017; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 2, 2017; totaling \$150.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2017071032 REPEAT (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen, Trustee
8 Pine Haven Drive (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative costs.

Ms. Maccherone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 16, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 15, 2017; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2017071036 REPEAT (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen, Trustee
8 Pine Haven Drive (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 16, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 15, 2017; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2017070448 REPEAT (5) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. John & Sherry Blevins

17 Porwyn Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 9, 2017; that a \$650.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 8, 2017; totaling \$650.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.50. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth - No
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion carried 6/1.

27. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2017070102 BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Josephine Villaverde Camiquel

3 Powder Horn Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$150.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2017060651

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Klaus Borchardt

4 Prairie Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Commercial Vehicle in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$150.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2017070801

RECURRING (3)

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir & Regina Zadorognuk

15 Promenade Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Vehicle Improperly Parked)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik- Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2017071818 REPEAT (2) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Sokhom & Thida Kim Neou
9 Russo Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Maccherone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 31, 2017; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 27, 2017 to August 24, 2017; totaling \$750.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2017080284 REPEAT (4) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Sokhom & Thida Kim Neou
9 Russo Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of a Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Maccherone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 31, 2017; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 3, 2017 to August 24, 2017; totaling \$1,800.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 49

CASE NO. 2017071876 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Musset Denisard & Mearrha Apollon
1 Slocum Path
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 2, 2017; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 1, 2017; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2017071123 REPEAT (3) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer

8 Squirrel Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 24, 2017; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 18, 2017 to July 23, 2017; totaling \$1,500.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 52

CASE NO. 2017050303

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jennifer K. Doyle

39 Uhl Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 53

CASE NO. 2017060302

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Grados
143 Ulturn Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2017060301 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Grados
143 Ulturn Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit for Shed)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Maccherone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 55

CASE NO. 2017060656 RECURRING (1) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jacqueline Wihbey

17 Ulbright Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Maccherone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2017071814 RECURRING (4) LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 60

CASE NO. 2017080066 RECURRING (3) LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 61

CASE NO. 2017080067 REPEAT (2) LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Supervisor presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 8, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 1, 2017 to August 7, 2017; totaling \$700.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth -Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Ms. Maccherone - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

Supervisor Mendez introduced the new Code Enforcement Officer, Carlos Duran. He advised Douglas Bell was also hired as an Urban Forestry/Code Enforcement Officer and will be introduced at the next meeting.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, December 6, 2017 at 10:00am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.