

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, July 11, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Richard LaPadula, Jon Netts, Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts

**MEMBERS ABSRENT:** Kenneth Carruth, Larry Pulos, Tameka McDowell

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** Mary Sneed

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Officers Hadden, Romeo, MacDonald, Festa, Shelley, Risch, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 10:30 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with four (4) members present.

**C. Approval of the June 6, 2018 Meeting Minutes**

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

**D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

None to report.

**E. Swearing in of Respondents and staff: The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.**

**F. Withdrawn Cases:**

<b>AI# 1</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017121137 - 11 Lloret Place</b>
<b>AI# 2</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030176 - 4 Llosee Court</b>
<b>AI# 8</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018031172 - 86 Farragut Drive</b>
<b>AI# 9</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018031026 - 23 Furness Place</b>
<b>AI# 10</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017061843 - 41 Club House Drive</b>
<b>AI# 11</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030178 - 55 Perrotti Lane</b>
<b>AI# 12</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030177 - 55 Perrotti Lane</b>
<b>AI# 15</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017100877 - 38 Folcroft Lane</b>
<b>AI# 18</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030314 - 21 Welling Lane</b>
<b>AI# 21</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2017100506 - 50 Riddle Drive (A)</b>
<b>AI# 22</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018040505 - 20 Rolling Sands Drive</b>
<b>AI# 24</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030417 - 29 Ryder Drive</b>
<b>AI# 25</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030616 - 42 Ryecliffe Drive</b>
<b>AI# 26</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018011206 - 37 Pine Circle Drive</b>
<b>AI# 28</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018020212 - 31 Point of Woods Drive</b>
<b>AI# 29</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030805 - 10 Pointer Place</b>
<b>AI# 30</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030806 - 10 Pointer Place</b>
<b>AI# 32</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030543 - 6 Pony Lane (A)</b>
<b>AI# 37</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030776 - 32 Brian Lane</b>

<b>AI# 38</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030897 - 12 Cherry Court</b>
<b>AI# 39</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018031301 - 12 Cherry Court</b>
<b>AI# 41</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030465 - 19 Cloverdale Court South</b>
<b>AI# 42</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018020698 - 41 Club House Drive</b>
<b>AI# 43</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018010163 - 41 Club House Drive</b>
<b>AI# 46</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018040160 - 8 Collins Lane</b>
<b>AI# 47</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018030029 - 4 Coral Reef Court North</b>
<b>AI# 48</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018010569 - 10 Cormorant Court</b>
<b>AI# 49</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018020063 - 18 Country Club Harbor Circle</b>
<b>AI# 52</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018020831 - 21 Lysander Lane</b>
<b>AI# 55</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2018040103 - 11 Cedar Hollow Court</b>

**1. AI# 50**

**CASE NO. 2018040155      RECURRING** (10) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Santa I. Rivera*  
**41 Crompton Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Santa Rivera-Valezquez, son-in-law of Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

<b>Mr. LaPadula – Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Mugford - Yes</b>
<b>Mr. Netts – Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Roberts – Yes</b>

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**2. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2018021258** MH  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Goebel M. & Judith A. Ratliff*  
**175 Ullian Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM*

This case was withdrawn.

**3. AI# 36**

**CASE NO. 2017120564**

BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph John III & Georgie L. Cipolla*

**80 Utah Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. George Ciopolla, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to continue this case until the next Code Enforcement Board meeting.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 3**

**CASE NO. 2018040833      RECURRING** (3) BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. George V. & Gloria A. Nielsen*

**1 Birdseye Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. George Nielsen, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

5. AI# 4

**CASE NO. 2018020366      RECURRING** (3) BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard E. Viarengo & Kandy K. Screws*

**74 Leaver Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Thomas Selfridge, tenant, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

6. AI# 5

**CASE NO. 2018020662      RECURRING** (2) BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard E. Viarengo & Kandy K. Screws*

**74 Leaver Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Thomas & Susan Selfridge, tenants, presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**7. AI# 27**

**CASE NO. 2017120064**

BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gershen & Vera Melnik*

**40 Pitt Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Tatiana Mixon, property manager, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**8. AI# 20**

**CASE NO. 2017081835**

**RECURRING**

JF

*City of Palm Coast vs. Danielle L. Williams & David J. & Kim A. Riker*

**9 Regina Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 14-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. David Riker, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine I the amount of \$250.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**9. AI# 45**

**CASE NO. 2018021095**

JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Joshua Carl Forbes & Kimberlie Lyn Howe*

**45 Collingwood Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Joshua Forbes, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 51**

**CASE NO. 2018021010**

**RECURRING (6)**

JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mary G. Kudak-Young*

**91 Florida Park Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. William Barrow, tenant, presented his side.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 6**

**CASE NO. 2018040037      RECURRING** (4) BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Ariane Bernard c/o Irma Bernard*  
**4 Blake Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**12. AI# 7**

**CASE NO. 2018040041      REPEAT** (1) BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Alan W. & Lynn M. Proud*  
**32 Blakemore Dire**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on March 30, 2018; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 29, 2018 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**13. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2018030538**

MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Fred Wohlfarth*

**22 Pillory Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 16**

**CASE NO. 2018040578**

CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Stanley B. Schneider, Trustee & Richard A. & Diane C. Kiwan, Trustees*

**500 Palm Coast Parkway SW**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine of \$250.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**



**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 17**

**CASE NO. 2018041262      RECURRING** (3) CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Sergejus Pylkovas*

**6 Wainbush Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Mugford seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**  
**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 19**

**CASE NO. 2018040205      REPEAT** (2) CSR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Rui M. & Jenevieve M. Ferreira*

**90 Woodside Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 9, 2018; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 3, 2018 to April 8, 2018;**

**totaling \$900.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2018020560** JF  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jessie James Mitchell*  
**19 Roxboro Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 31**

**CASE NO. 2017081672** MASSEY BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph Alisca & Evelyn M. Benjamin*  
**5 Ponderosa Lane (A)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent**

has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from February 23, 2018 to July 10, 2018; totaling \$6,900.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**19. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2018020335** BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Josephine Villaverde Camiquel*  
**3 Powder Horn Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine- Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**20. AI# 34**

**CASE NO. 2018020329** BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Josephine Villaverde Camiquel*  
**3 Powder Horn Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1.FBC 105.1 No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine- Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dean moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**21. AI# 35**

**CASE NO. 2018020331**

BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Josephine Villaverde Camiquel*

***3 Powder Horn Drive***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(i) Defacing Property - Graffiti)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine- Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. LaPadula moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**22. AI# 40**

**CASE NO. 2018020702**

JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Ricky & Wendy Moore*

***9 Claymont Court South***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine- Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**23. AI# 44**

**CASE NO. 2018020643**

JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Linda M. & Jeffrey C. Sr. Niday*

**70 Club House Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine- Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 53**

**CASE NO. 2017110318      MASSEY      JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Zoia Ivanova-Cardella*

**28 Pillory Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 20, 2018; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 26, 2018 to April 19, 2018; totaling \$1,250.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 54**

**CASE NO. 2018030635      LM**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gary Metzger*

**72 Farragut Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Broken Elements - Roof, Structure)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$150.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. LaPadula seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. LaPadula – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Supervisor Mendez introduced Alan Nunez as a new hire Code Enforcement Officer.

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, August 1, 2018 at 10:00am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:00 pm.

**Respectfully submitted,**

***Yvonne Robinson***

**Yvonne Robinson**

**Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*