

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, September 5, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Jon Netts, Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts, Tameka McDowell, Kenneth Carruth, Larry Pulos

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Snead

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Officers Hadden, Romeo, Festa, Shelley, Risch, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with six (6) members present.

C. Approval of the August 1, 2018 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

E. Swearing in of Respondents and staff: The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Snead, Counsel for the Code Board.

F. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 2	CASE NO. 2018050443 - 42 Lloyd Trail
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2018040273 - 58 Pin Oak Drive
AI# 5	CASE NO. 2018040318 - 84 Raemoor Drive
AI# 8	CASE NO. 2018050690 - 188 Ryan Drive
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2018030888 - 559 Brewster Lane
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2018050218 - 2 Warner Place
AI# 16	CASE NO. 2018050723 - 6 Warwick Place
AI# 21	CASE NO. 2018041504 - 7 Faith Lane
AI# 29	CASE NO. 2018050372 - 6 Katrina Place
AI# 31	CASE NO. 2018020201 - 7 Pine Hill Lane
AI# 34	CASE NO. 2018011060 - 1 Plumtree Place (B)
AI# 35	CASE NO. 2018040048 - 26 Post Tree
AI# 36	CASE NO. 2017100985 - 33 Prince Anthony Lane
AI# 41	CASE NO. 2018051184 - 7 Birchwood Place
AI# 47	CASE NO. 2018041464 - 22 Long Place
AI# 53	CASE NO. 2018021095 - 45 Collingwood Lane
AI# 55	CASE NO. 2018050944 - 28 Coral Reef Court South
AI# 56	CASE NO. 2018050943 - 28 Coral Reef Court South

G. Continued Cases:

AI #1	CASE NO. 2018051375 - 19 Ehrling Lane
AI #60	CASE NO. 2018041294 - 1070 Palm Coast Pkwy NW - STE #1
AI #61	CASE NO. 2018041295 - 101 Palm Harbor Pkwy A103
AI #62	CASE NO. 2018041296 - 101 Palm Harbor Pkwy A107
AI #63	CASE NO. 2018041297 - 101 Palm Harbor Pkwy A109

1. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2018050622 REPEAT (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Larry Marquez & Sandra M. Hechavarria
8 Pittson Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Augusta Brown, representative for Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Netts moved to dismiss this case. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – No
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts- Yes

Mr. Mugford - No
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion carried 4/2.

2. AI# 57

CASE NO. 2018040331 MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Rafael F. & Jeanmarie V. Santiago
146 Persimmon Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Rafael Santiago, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2018061031

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. The Br & Family Limited Partnership

2 Columbia Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Eric McGill, representative of Respondent, presented his side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 65

CASE NO. 2018051681

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. The Br & Family Limited Partnership

2 Columbia Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Eric McGill, representative of Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in

writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2018041512 RECURRING (5) CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Albert A. & Lise E. McDougal

21 Waterford Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Robert McDougal and Lise McDougal presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2018050232 RECURRING (2) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Sam Lisowski

9 Pine Cottage Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Sam Lisowski, Respondent, presented his side.

Ms. McDowell moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Not present

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2018010185 MASSEY BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Leonard J. Fries

50 La Mancha Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Leonard Fries, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Carruth motioned to continue this case until the December 5, 2018 Code Enforcement Board. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell - No

Motion carried 5/1.

8. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2018010186 MASSEY BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Leonard J. Fries

50 La Mancha Drive

(Land Development Code 13.03.03(d)(2) Hurricane Shutters)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Leonard Fries, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Netts motioned to continue this case until the December 5, 2018 Code Enforcement Board.
Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2018010187 MASSEY BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Leonard J. Fries

50 La Mancha Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(A)(6) Siding Damage)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Leonard Fries, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Netts motioned to continue this case until the December 5, 2018 Code Enforcement Board.
Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2018050124 REPEAT (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence

24 Pine Hill Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Kurt Lawrence, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Netts moved to dismiss this case. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2018050911 REPEAT (2) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J. & Christina Sapienza
37 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(a) Rubbish/Trash/Garbage)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Philip Sapienza, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 16, 2018; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 15, 2018 to May 16, 2018; totaling \$50.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2018050914 REPEAT (2) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J. & Christina Sapienza
37 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Philip Sapienza, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 16, 2018; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 15, 2018 to May 16, 2018; totaling \$100.00. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – No
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion carried 5/1.

Mr. Mugford asked the City Attorney about security and safety measures at the Code Enforcement Board meetings. Mr. Reischmann, City Attorney advised the Flagler County Sheriff's Office provides a deputy to attend the meetings to ensure safety of the Board members and attendees. A deputy was not available earlier in the meeting due to an emergency, but attended the meeting as quickly as possible. Ms. Grossman acknowledged there was no deputy available earlier in the meeting however she would inquire as to whether two deputies could be available for future meetings.

13. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2018060960 CSR
City of Palm Coast vs. Cassandra Realty Inc.
33 Wellwater Drive (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Thomas Ventura, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2018060235 REPEAT (3) CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. William J. & Barbara Ann Mulvey

197 Frankford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Shaun Mulvey, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 2, 2018; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 1, 2018; totaling \$250.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – No

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion carried 5/1.

15. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2018020409 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Douglas A. Pacheco

39 Buffalo Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2018030735

JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Green Living LLC

18 Regina Lane (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Blue Tarp)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2018050921

RECURRING

JF

City of Palm Coast vs. Richard C. Wilber

9 Rolling Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Festa presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Festa testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2018011137 MASSEY SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Michael A. & LauraH. Larocca
52 Barkwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a fine of \$25.00 per day is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 16, 2018 to September 4, 2018; totaling \$2,800.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2017121125 MASSEY SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Angela & Scott Lapete
140 Beechwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a fine of \$50.00 per day is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 16, 2018 to September 4, 2018; totaling \$5,600.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2018060391 REPEAT (1) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Monica T. Mers

36 Bressler Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 13, 2018; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 5, 2018 to June 12, 2018; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2018051420 REPEAT (3) CSR

City of Palm Coast vs. Rui M. & Jenevieve M. Ferreira

90 Woodside Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 27, 2018; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 24, 2018 to May 26, 2018; totaling \$750.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Not present
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2018050967 REPEAT (1) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jamie L. & Thomas Hickey

31 Flanders Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 20, 2018; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 16, 2018 to May 19, 2018; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Not present
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 23

CASE NO. 2017120390 MASSEY BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Johns F. Sedlesky, Jr. & Nicole F. cassaro
79 Florida Park Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 19, 2018 to September 4, 2018; totaling \$3,475.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 24

CASE NO. 2017120386 MASSEY BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Johns F. Sedlesky, Jr. & Nicole F. cassaro
79 Florida Park Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 19, 2018 to September 4, 2018; totaling \$6,950.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of

Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2018050915 REPEAT (2) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L, Jr. & Trivett S. Gray
43 Fort Caroline Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 16, 2017; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 15, 2018; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2018050986 RECURRING (3) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. James J. & Suzanne M. Lynch
62 Frankford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2018050340 REPEAT (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Jorge & Cecilia Telxeira
6 Blakefield Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 5, 20178; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 4, 2018; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2018040590 BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Lyudmyla Treile & Sofia Korneeva
99 Prince Eric Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2018041156

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank National Trust c/o Ocwen Loan
7 Birchwood Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2018041157

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank National Trust c/o Ocwen Loan

7 Birchwood Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2018041158

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank National Trust c/o Ocwen Loan

7 Birchwood Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Macdonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2018051185

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank National Trust c/o Ocwen Loan

7 Birchwood Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Macdonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2018051187

BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Deutsche Bank National Trust c/o Ocwen Loan

7 Birchwood Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – No
Mr. Carruth – No
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion carried 4/2.

34. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2018070050

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Fernando S. & Olgo Picado

54 Christopher Court South

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Roof in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 49

CASE NO. 2018070057

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Fernando S. & Olgo Picado

54 Christopher Court South

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Exterior Walls in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date;

that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2018070062

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Fernando S. & Olgo Picado

54 Christopher Court South

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Deck in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2018070066

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Fernando S. & Olgo Picado

54 Christopher Court South

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Fascia Boards in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in

writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 52

CASE NO. 2018070075

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Fernando S. & Olgo Picado

54 Christopher Court South

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Rotted Decorative Beams)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2018030201

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Kyle & Holly R. Caccavale

2 Sledding Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC 105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2018020772

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Pc Parkway Investments Holdings LLC

4895 Belle Terre Pkwy.

(Land Development Code 2.05.04(G) Development Order Modification)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends as Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$150.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. McDowell seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Ms. McDowell - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

None to report.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on Wednesday, October 3, 2018 at 10:00am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:21 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Wendy Cullen, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.