

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, December 4, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcomone, Kenneth Carruth, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford, Jon Netts, Dean Roberts , Alternates Vincent Sullivan , Gerard Chagnon

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Larry Pulos

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Snead

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, Burton, Risch, MacDonald, Nunez, Stafford, Kocorowski, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Code Enforcement Clerk Bill Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**
The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**
Roll was called. A quorum was met with six (6) members present.
- C. Approval of the November 6, 2019 Meeting Minutes**
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**
None to report.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases:**
- | | |
|--------|--|
| AI# 6 | CASE NO. 2019080233 - 21 Blairmore Place |
| AI# 15 | CASE NO. 2019071599 - 5 Princess Dolores Lane |
| AI# 20 | CASE NO. 2019030964 - 19 Plain View Drive (A) |
| AI# 21 | CASE NO. 2019060071 - 10 Potterville Lane |
| AI# 23 | CASE NO. 2019061668 - 24 Princess Dolores Lane |
| AI# 40 | CASE NO. 2019040916 - 14 Courtney Place |
| AI# 41 | CASE NO. 2019071175 - 12 Crompton Place |
| AI# 42 | CASE NO. 2019071625 - 22 Felter Lane |
| AI# 50 | CASE NO. 2019050376 - 129 Bridgehaven Drive |
| AI# 52 | CASE NO. 2019010771 - 134 Point Pleasant Drive |
| AI# 53 | CASE NO. 2019011041 - 21 Port Royal Drive |
| AI# 55 | CASE NO. 2019070236 - 10 Riddle Drive |
| AI# 56 | CASE NO. 2019070802 - 30 Riviera Estates Drive |
- G. Continued Cases:**
- | | |
|--------|--|
| AI# 47 | CASE NO. 2019071516 - 175 Boulder Rock Drive |
| AI# 49 | CASE NO. 2019050375 - 129 Bridgehaven Drive |

1. **AI# 24**

CASE NO. 2019081101 RECURRING (4) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Ryan W. Ackerman, Life Estate
23 Radium Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Ryan Ackerman, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. **AI# 51**

CASE NO. 2019070282 REPEAT (2) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. John & Doreen Lazzano
241 Pine Grove Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Burton testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. John Lazzano, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 16, 2019; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 10, 2019 to July 15, 2019; totaling \$750.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2019070349 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. William B. & Carol Earl
6 Cimmaron Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Shawn Casadevall, Tenant, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2019071185 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Natalie P. Bryant
146 London Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance.

Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Natalie Bryant, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2019060970

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Benjamin L. Sr. & Sarah C. Whedbee

8 Burgundy Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Benjamin & Sarah Whedbee, Respondents, presented their side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2019080087 RECURRING (3) MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy Soellner

3 Service Tree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Terry Went, daughter of Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Not Present

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2019080088 RECURRING (4) MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy Soellner

3 Service Tree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Terry Went, daughter of Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2019071604 REPEAT (3) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy M. Glover

23 Philox Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocoroski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocoroski testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Barbara Glover, daughter of Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 26, 2019; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 25, 2019; totaling \$250.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2019080889 RECURRING (4) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Rosando Peter Horsford Jr.

65 White Hall Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Rosando Horsford Jr., Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat

violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2019080945 RECURRING (2) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Basrija & Iwona Adzemovic

56 Raintree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Dawn Estrella, Tenant, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2019020588 JB

City of Palm Coast vs. Juran D Moore Sr & Mildred M Clark

44 Faith Lane

(Land Development Code 11.03.01(A) General Landscaping Requirements)

Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Landscape Specialist Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff

recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Juran Moore Sr., Respondent, presented his side. .

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents are in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents correct the violation no later than May 4, 2020 to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 2

CASE NO. 2019080886 REPEAT (2) BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Hung Hoange Nguyen
20 Lema Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 15, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 14, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2019081301 REPEAT (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Michael & Sonia P. Atkinson
13 Evanston Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-17(a)(5) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on August 21, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 20, 2019; totaling \$50.00 Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2019080537 RECURRING (4) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Delisa L. Odomes
12 Kaufman Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2019060024 MASSEY BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Marissa A. & Michael Duran
59 Blaine Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on October 26, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 19, 2019 to October 25, 2019; totaling \$3,400.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2019080229 REPEAT (3) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Charles & Anita Blum
18 Felter Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 4, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 3, 2019; totaling \$250.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 8

CASE NO. 2019081268 RECURRING (4) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Devon S. Taylor & Luke A. Somerville
36 Frontier Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2019080217 RECURRING (8) MH
City of Palm Coast vs. George & Evelyn I. MacDonald
50 Rolling Fern Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the

same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2019081603 RECURRING (4) MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Peter Ruiz & Jessica Bacon
52 Sea Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a recurring violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondents are in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2019070358 MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Marc Sammelman
25 Seattle Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2019080807 REPEAT (8) MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph G. Casanova

53 Seattle Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 17, 2019; that a \$1,250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 13, 2019 to August 16, 2019; totaling \$5,000.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$73.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Not present
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2019060476 REPEAT (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Eyvonne M. Green

13 Pine Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of December 3, 2019; that a \$17, 100.00 fine is imposed; that a fine in the amount of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Not present

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2019070284 RECURRING BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Piotr & Adriana Lesniewski

17 Pine Grove Drive (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondents within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2019070083 REPEAT (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. James C. Borgmann

5 Pine Hall Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 10, 2019; that a \$100 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 29, 2019 to July 9, 2019; totaling \$1,100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$73.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2019071599 REPEAT (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Fannette B. Thomas

5 Princess Dolores Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 16-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 20, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 25, 2019 to November 19, 2019; totaling \$5,900.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2019080943 RECURRING (4) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Charlene A. McDuff

32 Rainbow Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2019080941 RECURRING (2) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Wendy D. Tunnickliff

75 Raintree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2019080942 RECURRING (3) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Wendy D. Tunnickliff
75 Raintree Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2019080892 RECURRING (3) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Cathie L. Violano
19 Webelo Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2019080216 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Petr & Raisa Miroshnik
33 Westminster Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents are in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2019071622 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews
59 Blare Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on July 26, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 25, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2019071618 REPEAT (1) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Janette Loftin

136 Bud Hollow Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on July 26, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 25, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2019071206 RECURRING (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Luis Claudio M. & Karin Nova

43 Burning Sands Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Stafford testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2019071602 RECURRING (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Luis Claudio M. & Karin Nova

43 Burning Sands Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Stafford testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2019051637 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Steve & Christine Hardigree
28 Classic Court South
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2019051157 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. James E. Jr. & Sheil A. Kepple, Trustees
10 Cormorant Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a recurring violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents are in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondents do not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondents are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify

compliance with this Order. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2019071348 REPEAT (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Alton Dixon

61 Florida Park Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on July 25, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 24, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2019070450 SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Sean B. & Martina Adams

30 Boulder Rock Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2019071504 SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Sean B. & Martina Adams
30 Boulder Rock Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondents for the same violation; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on June 28, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 27, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2019080450 RECURRING (6) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Julia Volnov
7 Bressler Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2019061754

SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Christopher E. Olearczyk

3 Ranbay Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 57

CASE NO. 2019070791 RECURRING (2) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Kristen L. Yakovich

53 Sea Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

43. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2019070888 SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Rs Flagler Company, LLC

74 Slumber Meadow Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

Ballots were distributed to appoint a Chairman in 2020, for the Code Enforcement Board. Ms. Snead tallied the ballots and results are as follows:

Chairman – Norman Mugford
Vice Chairman – Dean Roberts

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on January 8, 2019 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:59 am

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.