

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, January 8, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcomone, Kenneth Carruth, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford, Jon Netts, Dean Roberts

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Larry Pulos

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Sneed

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, Burton, Risch, Nunez, Stafford, Kocorowski, Code Enforcement Clerk Bill Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with six (6) members present.

C. Approval of the December 4, 2019 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.

F. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 7	CASE NO. 2019080437 - 37 Kaywood Place
AI# 2	CASE NO. 2019090573 - 2 Seagull Place
AI# 17	CASE NO. 2019090640 - 18 Radford Lane
AI# 18	CASE NO. 2019040324 - 46 Riviere Lane
AI# 19	CASE NO. 2019090643 - 11 Rosecroft Lane (A)
AI# 22	CASE NO. 2019090808 - 11 Russo Drive
AI# 23	CASE NO. 2019071861 - 13 Russo Drive
AI# 24	CASE NO. 2019080299 - 6 Zeolite Place
AI# 31	CASE NO. 2019050071 - 27 Prince John Lane
AI# 39	CASE NO. 2019071288 - 11 Pine Hurst Lane (A)
AI# 40	CASE NO. 2019070299 - 41 Pineland Lane
AI# 41	CASE NO. 2019050335 - 14 Poinsettia Lane
AI# 42	CASE NO. 2019080359 - 76 Ponce Deleon Drive
AI# 48	CASE NO. 2019080253 - 5 Wendover Lane
AI# 49	CASE NO. 2019091060 - 26 Westmoreland Drive
AI# 57	CASE NO. 2019070240 - 4 Chadwick Court

AI# 64 CASE NO. 2019080886 - 20 Lema Lane
AI# 65 CASE NO. 2019050193 - 226 London Drive
AI# 66 CASE NO. 2019051030 - 1 New Leatherwood Drive

1. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2019071292 BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph J. & Margaret K. Buckley
43 Pebble Wood Lane
(Land Development Code 11.03.01(H) HVAC Screening)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Margaret Buckley, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than forty five (45) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent are further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2019081670 RECURRING CR
City of Palm Coast vs. David W. Gillean & Kelly S. Albaugh
12 Whippoorwill Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. David Gillean & Kelly Albaugh, Respondents, presented their side.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the

same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2019081566

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Chiante Monique Cabble

173 Parkview Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Ciante Cabble Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 55

CASE NO. 2019080616 RECURRING (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. J. W. Troxler, Jr.

60 Braddock Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. L. Derek Karnath, Tenant, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2019081490

JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Carl & Janice Henderson

46 Riviere Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez informed the Board that a Respondent was present, but left the meeting, as a result of some confusion on his part.

Mr. Dodzik moved to continue this case to the next meeting. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Not Present
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2019071193

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jill E. & Robert L. Moyers

84 Berkshire Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Jill Moyers, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2019071898

JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Blaine & Vanessa James

1 Pine Branch Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Vanessa Blaine, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 8

CASE NO. 2019060346

SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Donald R & Jeanne A. & Steven L. Beard

33 Pony Express Drive (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Steven Beard, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2019011278

AN

City of Palm Coast vs. F. Jason Taylor

4 Rosepetal Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Jason Taylor, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - No**

Motion carried 5/1.

10. AI# 52

CASE NO. 2019090821 RECURRING (3) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Miguel Arredondo

7 Wood Ash Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Miguel Arredondo, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2019071893 RECURRING (2) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. John & Dorota Collingwood

38 Pine Croft Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Derek Case, property manager, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2019090559 RECURRING (1) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Lydia E. Minor

92 Kalamazoo Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Alana Martinez, daughter of property owner, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 61

CASE NO. 2019020357 MASSEY JS

City of Palm Coast vs. George Whelan
9 Crompton Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. George Whelan, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 27, 2019 to January 7, 2020; totaling \$3,350.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – No
Mr. Netts – No**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion carried 4/2.

14. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2019090495 BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Desiree Delonna Brown & Keith Lamar Neal
2 Felter Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Desiree Brown, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

After all cases with respondents present at the meeting were heard, Mr. Reischmann conducted a short presentation, to apprise members of the code board of their duty to adhere to requirements, as set forth by FL State Statute 162.

15. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2019081404 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Henry & Marina A. Ledesma
48 Westover Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-58(a) Abandoned Property Registration)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2019050391 MASSEY JB
City of Palm Coast vs. 72 Farragut Drive Trust
72 Farragut Drive
(Land Development Code 11.02.05(A) Removal of Trees w/o Permit/Authorization)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 26, 2019 to January 7, 2020; totaling \$6,750.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2019081583 REPEAT (7) MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph G. Casanova

53 Seattle Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 27, 2019; that a \$1,050.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 26, 2019 totaling \$1,050.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$72.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2019080841 REPEAT (1) MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Shandra P. Maddox

14 Secretary Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 16, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 15, 2019 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2019091265 RECURRING (3) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Eric J. Rohde

74 Boston Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2019070152 SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Galina Bogdan
47 Regency Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2019090605 RECURRING (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. Rhodes
6 Fleetwood Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be

imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2019090606 RECURRING (6) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. Rhodes

6 Fleetwood Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2019091115 REPEAT (1) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Pid Group LLC

36 Florida Park Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 25, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 24, 2019 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2019090971 RECURRING (3) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. William J. & Barbara Ann Mulvey
197 Frankford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 15

CASE NO. 2019090601 RECURRING (5) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Wilfredo & Maritza Velazquez
22 Freeland Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2019090001 RECURRING AN

City of Palm Coast vs. James Michael Sr. & Christine Marie Brannigan

21 Eastwood Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2019090807 RECURRING (5) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Valeriy Romer

11 Russo Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Nunez testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2019031123 JK

City of Palm Coast vs. John E. & Geraldine T. Blackwell

230 Parkview Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-58(a) Abandoned Property Registration)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2019071057 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Eyvonne M. Green
13 Pine Grove Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2019081370 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Christopher Mack
85 Pine Haven Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by

State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2019070215 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Narona LLC
26 Ponderosa Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2019070731 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Rafael Manuel Rojas Iglesias & Nydia Elise Reyes Cruz
35 Prairie Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2019070207 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Robert W. & Marzena Kiernan
8 Providence Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2019091329 REPEAT (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Eyvonne M. Green
13 Pine Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 29, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 28, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2019081019 REPEAT (5) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen
8 Pine Haven Drive (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 16, 2019; that a \$600.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 15, 2019; totaling \$600.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 43 **

CASE NO. 2019051388

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Murna Hanson & Tanya Muir

9 Ponderosa Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a recurring violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**** The above case was withdrawn after the fact, as the property owner entered in to a contract with another party, who is purchasing the house.**

37. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2019081890 RECURRING

CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Carmelita DE Miranda

81 Raintree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2019081418 RECURRING CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Angela H. Gould

2 Warner Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2019090399 REPEAT CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Todd L. & Donna Brown

51 Waters Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same

violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 18, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from September 11, 2019 to September 17, 2019; totaling \$350.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2019090403 RECURRING CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. & Carole Cook
34 Wellhaven Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash/Recycle Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 53

CASE NO. 2019081679 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Neil R. Grannum
21 Woodfair Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2019090860 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Mannix Leach
181 Bridgehaven Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 20, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 19, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

43. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2019081587 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. John Gomes

9 Chesney Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2)(f) Nuisance-Stagnant Pool)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

44. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2019081585 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. John Gomes

9 Chesney Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(3) No House Number)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

45. AI# 60

CASE NO. 2019081234 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. German & Lilia Cubillos
14 Courtney Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 27, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 26, 2019; totaling \$50.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

46. AI# 62

CASE NO. 2019080419 RECURRING (3) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Sandra J. Kozich
2 Crompton Place (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance

with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

47. AI# 63

CASE NO. 2019081639 REPEAT (1) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Todd A. & Theresa B. Law
21 Leidel Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 26, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 25, 2019; totaling \$100.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

None to report.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on February 5, 2020 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:47 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.