## **CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**

# Wednesday, November 4, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. *Intracoastal Room*

Palm Coast City Hall 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcomone, Kenneth Carruth, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Gerard Chagnon

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** Scott George

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor

Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, Risch, Nunez,

Kocorowski, Shelley, Stafford, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Code

**Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann** 

## A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

## B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with four (4) members present.

# C. Approval of the March 4, 2020 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

#### D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None to report.

## E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Scott George, Counsel for the Code Board.

#### F. Withdrawn Cases:

AI# 5	CASE NO. 2019120239 - 73 Fountain Gate Lane
AI# 7	CASE NO. 2020010471 - 6 Fleetwood Drive
<b>AI#8</b>	CASE NO. 2019081404 - 48 Westover Lane
<b>AI#12</b>	CASE NO. 2020010919 - 67 Westrobin Lane
<b>AI# 13</b>	CASE NO. 2019120115 - 2 Rocket Lane
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2020010224 - 53 Raintree Place (A)
AI# 17	CASE NO. 2020020229 - 15 Roxanne Lane
<b>AI# 18</b>	CASE NO. 2020011245 - 21 Royal Leaf Lane
AI# 19	CASE NO. 2020021188 - 7 Ryecorn Place
<b>AI# 20</b>	CASE NO. 2019111197 - 70 Boulder Rock Drive
<b>AI# 23</b>	CASE NO. 2020010346 - 56 Bracken Lane
<b>AI# 26</b>	CASE NO. 2019120805 - 12 Rydell Lane
<b>AI# 30</b>	CASE NO. 2019120474 - 15 Llobell Place
<b>AI# 34</b>	CASE NO. 2019120297 - 70 Town Court
<b>AI# 35</b>	CASE NO. 2019110214 - 4 Coral Reef Court North

AI# 44 CASE NO. 2020011082 - 49 Coral Reef Court North

AI# 47 CASE NO. 2020010877 - 32 Langdon Drive

## AI# 49 CASE NO. 2019111196 - 36 Pony Express Drive

## **G.** Continued Cases

AI# 1 CASE NO.2019120509 - 40 Colechester Lane

AI# 39 CASE NO. 2020010741 - 12 Brian Lane

AI# 51 CASE NO. 2019120643 - 40 Colechester Lane

#### 1. AI# 2

CASE NO. 2019120318

IB

City of Palm Coast vs. Louis M & Rosaria Barletta

15 Farmdale Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1)(2) Nuisance - Hazard Trees)

Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Landscape Specialist Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Louis Barletta, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than forty five (45) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

## Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

# 2. AI# 11

**CASE NO. 2019100867 REDUCTION** 

City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. & Carole Cook

34 Wellhaven Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history and stated the Respondent was present to request a Reduction of Fine. Courtney Daigle, daughter of Respondents presented her request for reduction.

Mr. Carruth moved to reduce the fine in this case from \$5,500.00 to \$500.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

CR

#### Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 3. AI# 21

## CASE NO. 2019070282 REDUCTION

City of Palm Coast vs. John & Doreen Lazzano

241 Pine Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history and stated the Respondent was present to request a Reduction of Fine. John Lazzano, Respondent presented his request for reduction.

Mr. Dodzik moved to reduce the fine in this case from \$750.00 to \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 4. AI# 38

**CASE NO. 2020010549 RECURRING** (1) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Brian Capobianco

9 Bickshire Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Brian Capobianco, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

# Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

# Motion unanimously carried.

## 5. AI# 37

**CASE NO. 2020011263 RECURRING** (3) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Brian Capobianco

9 Bickshire Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Brian Capobianco, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

# 6. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2019110488 RECURRING (2) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Daniel & Sean Farrell

13 Fernwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Daniel Farrell, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with

this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 7. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2020020296 RECURRING (5) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jonathan O. & Vicky Haley

32 Brian Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Jonathan Haley, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 8. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2020020294 RECURRING (5) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Jonathan O. & Vicky Haley

32 Brian Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Jonathan Haley, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 9. AI# 14

**CASE NO. 2020011034 RECURRING** (5) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. M Weber LLC

26 Rymer Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Pavel Tagintsev, Respondent, presented his side

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

# 10. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2019120043

City of Palm Coast vs. Richard & Vickie Patrick

35 Fanshawe Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1 (FBC [A]105.1) No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 11. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2019090605 MASSEY BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. Rhodes

6 Fleetwood Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on September 26, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 22, 2020 to September 25, 2020; totaling \$12,400.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 12. AI# 9

# **CASE NO. 2019081679 MASSEY** CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Neil R Grannum

21 Woodfair Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 27, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$7,050.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2020011248 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Edna Allison
13 Waywood Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 14. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2020020053 RECURRING (2) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Roopnarine & Subrena M. Khadoo

71 Randolph Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

**Roll was called:** 

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 15. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2020010615 RECURRING (1) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Dylan Patrick Reilly

67 Beechwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent

is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 16. AI# 24

**CASE NO. 2019120977 REPEAT** (4) SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J. & Christina Sapienza

37 Braddock Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 16, 2020; that a \$400.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 2, 2020 to June 15, 2020; totaling \$66,400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00 Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

# 17. AI# 25

**CASE NO. 2019061754 MASSEY** SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Christopher E. Olearczyk

3 Ranbay Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 27, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$7,900.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2019081490

JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Carl & Janice Henderson

46 Riviere Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2019100893 RECURRING (3) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Lydia E. Minor

## 92 Kalamazoo Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2019071057 MASSEY

City of Palm Coast vs. Eyvonne M. Green

13 Pine Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 27, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$14,100.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 21. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2019070215 MASSEY JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Narona LLC

26 Ponderosa Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 28, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$7,000.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## 22. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2019070207 MASSEY JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert W. & Marzena B. KierNan

8 Providence Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has

not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 28, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$7,000.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 36

**CASE NO. 2019051157 MASSEY** JS

City of Palm Coast vs. James E Jr & Sheli A Kepple Trustees 10 Cormorant Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 23, 2019 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$7,925.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

#### 24. AI# 42

#### CASE NO. 2019081585 MASSEY IS

City of Palm Coast vs. John Gomes

9 Chesney Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(3) No House Number)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on March 10, 2020; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 27, 2020 to March 9, 2020; totaling \$1,075.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2019081587 MASSEY

City of Palm Coast vs. John Gomes

9 Chesney Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Stagnant Pool)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on November 2, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 27, 2020 to November 1, 2020; totaling \$14,050.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

# Motion unanimously carried.

## 26. AI# 45

**CASE NO. 2019120855 REPEAT** (1) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert R. Meide

8 Curry Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on January 7, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 30, 2019 to January 6, 2020; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 46

**CASE NO. 2020020035 REPEAT** (1)

City of Palm Coast vs. Frank K. Jonas

8 Farragut Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 6, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on January 30, 2020; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

JS

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes Mr. Carruth – Yes Mr. Mugford – Yes Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 48

**CASE NO. 2020010800 REPEAT** (6) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen

8 Pine Haven Drive (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on January 28 2020; that a \$1,000.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from January 17, 2020 to January 27; totaling \$11,000.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$72.50 Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 50

**CASE NO. 2020011063 RECURRING** (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Narona LLC

26 Ponderosa Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76-(d)(1) Nuisance-Broken Elements)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

## **OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

# **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Mugford advised the board the election of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson will take place at the December 2020 meeting.

## ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on December 2, 2020 at 10:00 am.

## **ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:40 pm

# Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson Yvonne Robinson Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.