

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, December 2, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Gennaro Arcamone, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford, Jon Netts, Larry Pulos, Dean Roberts, Alternate Geraldine Wright

**BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED:** Vincent Sullivan

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** Scott George

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, MacDonald, Nunez, Kocorowski, Risch, Sagala, Shelley, Stafford, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with (6) members present.

**C. Swearing in of newly appointed Board members.**

Board Attorney Scott George swore in the new members of the Code Enforcement Board.

**D. Approval of the November 4, 2020 Meeting Minutes**

The Minutes were unanimously approved

**E. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

Chairman, Mr. Mugford recused himself from presiding over Case # 2020020890 – 21 Filbert Lane, with Vice Chairman Mr. Roberts announcing and presiding over this case.

**F. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Scott George, Counsel for the Code Board.

**G. Withdrawn Cases**

**AI# 3 CASE NO. 2020090853 - 84 Webster Lane**  
**AI# 7 CASE NO. 2020091212 - 57 Blare Castle Drive**  
**AI# 10 CASE NO. 2020090926 - 76 Farragut Drive**  
**AI#15 CASE NO. 2020081492 - 35 Ballard Lane**  
**AI# 19 CASE NO. 2020071742 - 14 Winterberry Place**  
**AI# 22 CASE NO. 2019090542 - 31 Point of Woods Drive**  
**AI# 29 CASE NO. 2020070797 - 14 Ethel Lane**  
**AI# 32 CASE NO. 2020071367 - 17 Smollett Place**  
**AI# 37 CASE NO. 2020071396 - 40 Kathleen Trail**  
**AI# 42 CASE NO. 2020080659 - 5 Llobell Place**  
**AI# 45 CASE NO. 2020080629 - 14 Zenoble Place**  
**AI# 46 CASE NO. 2020090250 - 2 Blane Tree Place**

AI# 53 CASE NO. 2020100128 - 4 Cher Place  
AI# 54 CASE NO. 2020100624 - 4 Cherrytree Court  
AI# 56 CASE NO. 2020120410 - 6 Cimmaron Drive  
AI# 57 CASE NO. 2020040740 - 108 Cimmaron Drive  
AI# 58 CASE NO. 2020060402 - 3 Clarendon Court South  
AI# 62 CASE NO. 2020070367 - 7 Cooper Lane  
AI# 63 CASE NO. 2020061410 - 20 Cooper Lane  
AI# 65 CASE NO. 2020020195 - 4 Crazy Horse Court  
AI# 69 CASE NO. 2020081648 - 8 Farragut Drive  
AI# 71 CASE NO. 2020090246 - 31 Firtree Lane  
AI# 81 CASE NO. 2019111072 - 9 Plateau Lane (B)  
AI# 87 CASE NO. 2020101142 - 389 Palm Coast Parkway #3

#### H. Continued Cases

AI# 47 CASE NO. 2020061098 - 21 Blairmore Place  
AI# 48 CASE NO. 2020061099 - 21 Blairmore Place  
AI# 49 CASE NO. 2020020712 - 12 Brian Lane  
AI# 51 CASE NO. 2020090258 - 12 Brian Lane  
AI# 52 CASE NO. 2020060139 - 7 Cedardale Court  
AI# 55 CASE NO. 2020060926 - 31 Christopher Court South  
AI# 59 CASE NO. 2019120240 - 16 Clearview Court South  
AI# 66 CASE NO. 2020020470 - 2 Creek Court  
AI# 67 CASE NO. 2020080002 - 9 Cute Court  
AI# 70 CASE NO. 2020090893 - 4 Fernham Lane  
AI# 72 CASE NO. 2020080871 - 48 Fischer Lane  
AI# 73 CASE NO. 2020020565 - 61 Florida Park Drive  
AI# 74 CASE NO. 2020020254 - 61 Florida Park Drive  
AI# 75 CASE NO. 2020080436 - 43 Leaver Drive  
AI# 76 CASE NO. 2020070984 - 71 Leaver Drive  
AI# 77 CASE NO. 2020021070 - 22 Perkins Lane  
AI# 78 CASE NO. 2020081115 - 28 Pillory Lane  
AI# 82 CASE NO. 2020081109 - 11 Porpoise Lane  
AI# 83 CASE NO. 2020081110 - 11 Porpoise Lane  
AI# 86 CASE NO. 2020060682 - 8 Providence Lane

##### 1. AI# 11

**CASE NO. 2020020890 RECURRING** (5) BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Judith A Mascenic & Donald G Kutter Jtwros*

**21 Filbert Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Judith Mascenic, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Abstained**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**2. AI# 9**

**CASE NO. 2020021214** BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Debra Susan Robinson*

**38 Faith Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(c) Driveway in Disrepair)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Debra Robinson, Respondent presented her side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than forty five days (45) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**3. AI# 41**

**CASE NO. 2020081219 REPEAT** AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Sergio & Marisol Rodriguez*

**29 Llama Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Marisol Rodriguez, Respondent presented her side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 21, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 20, 2020; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 28**

**CASE NO. 2020070515 RECURRING** (5) MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mike Levit*

**14 Ethel Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Mike Levit, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than forty (40) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

5. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2020070797 RECURRING MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Mike Levit

14 Ethel Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d) Nuisance - Torn Tarp on Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Mike Levit, Respondent presented his side.

After questions were posed by the Board to City staff regarding this case, it was determined this case would be withdrawn. Mr. Netts made a motion to withdraw this case. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 79

CASE NO. 2020070712 BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Shane & Melissa Flegel

88 Pin Oak Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Shane Flegel, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**7. AI# 84**

**CASE NO. 2020061142 REPEAT** (1) BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Radek Kreici*

**22 Powder Horn Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Radek Kreici, Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 3rd, 2020; that a \$5.05 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 17, 2020 to October 31, 2020; totaling \$500.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**8. AI# 50**

**CASE NO. 2020070439 RECURRING** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Patricia Panek*

**20 Bruce Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Property Manager, Kathleen Donaghy & Tenant, Brian Moll presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day**

may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**9. AI# 68**

**CASE NO. 2020060596 REPEAT** (1) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gary E Cox Jr*

**98 Farmsworth Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Gary Cox Jr., Respondent presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 17, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 10, 2020; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**10. AI# 31**

**CASE NO. 2020090045 RECURRING** (1) MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Robin B Peterson & Robert M Young III Jtwros*

**66 Smith Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 36**

**CASE NO. 2020090381 RECURRING** (4) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Eduard S & Liudmila V Reut*  
**94 Edward Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Eduard Reut, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**



**12. AI# 85**

**CASE NO. 2020061020** BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Yaroslav Kotlovskiy & Gennady Verba*

**5 Princess Mari Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Jake Balmer presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent Is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**13. AI# 38**

**CASE NO. 2020100091 REPEAT** AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mikhail Braslavskiy*

**11 Kathryn Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Zach Rimmer presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 1, 2020; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 30, 2020; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 64**

**CASE NO. 2020060283 RECURRING** (2) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Americo De Jesus Costa*  
**9 Crabtree Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Property Manager, Yoel Marrero, Virtual Homes Realty and Tenant, Hugh McIntyre presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 24**

**CASE NO. 2020070927 RECURRING** (2) JK  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Yoel Marrero & Yudit Pereira*  
**61 Robinson Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Yoel Marrero, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any**

violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**16. AI# 61**

**CASE NO. 2020060280** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Sarah J Kranz*  
**15 Collins Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Sarah Ent, Respondent presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent Is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**17. AI# 60**

**CASE NO. 2019110482 REDUCTION** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Philip Danza*  
**8 Colleen Court**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history and stated the Respondent was present to request a Reduction of Fine. Respondent, Philip Danza presented his request for reduction.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to reduce the fine in this case from \$2,000.00 to \$500.00. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 5**

**CASE NO. 2020070962**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Edward C & Danielle R Thompson*

***151 Bird of Paradise Drive***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Edward Thompson, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 40**

**CASE NO. 2020080782**

AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Gary & Tammy Seeley*

***11 Kaufman Place***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 Right-of-Way Obstruction)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Property Manager Diane Edwards

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**20. AI# 39**

**CASE NO. 2020081286 REPEAT AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Craig M & Cheryl L Griswold*  
**1 Katrina Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Craig Griswold, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 29, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 21, 2020 to August 28, 2020; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**21. AI# 34**

**CASE NO. 2020021031**

MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Leon D Jr & Maria Cousin*

**11 Undershire Path**

*(Land Development Code 4.01.02 Fencing Requirements)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Leon Cousin, Jr., Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**22. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2020070690**

MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Betty Ann Roy*

**11 Ullman Court**

*(Land Development Code 4.01.08(2) Pool Requires an Enclosure)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Betty Ann Roy, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fifteen (15) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$250.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – No**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion carried 5 to 1.**

**23. AI# 17**

**CASE NO. 2020030892** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Rosando Peter Horsford Jr*  
**65 White Hall Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Unsecured Pool)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Rosando Horsford Jr., Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than twenty (20) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 1**

**CASE NO. 2019110507 MASSEY** JB  
*City of Palm Coast vs. John F Gomes*  
**4 Coral Reef Court North**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Hazard Tree)*

Code Enforcement Landscape Specialist Beudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Landscape Specialist testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on August 4, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of**

non-compliance from March 19, 2020 to August 3, 2020; totaling \$6,900.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**25. AI# 6**

**CASE NO. 2020080026**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Nezamodeen Ali & Claire Persad Jtwros*

**14 Bunker Knolls Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Landscape Specialist Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Landscape Specialist testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 4, 2020 to December 1, 2020; totaling \$10,600.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – No  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion carried 5 to 1.

**26. AI# 6**

**CASE NO. 2020080026**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Nezamodeen Ali & Claire Persad Jtwros*

**14 Bunker Knolls Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*



Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**27. AI# 46**

**CASE NO. 2020060425**

PA

*City of Palm Coast vs. Ronna Gilmer Solomon c/o Charles Stephen Gilmer*

**43 Westmill Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Hazard Tree)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**28. AI# 8**

**CASE NO. 2020010343 RECURRING** (1) RS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews*

**59 Blare Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Edward Thompson, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**29. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2020091036 RECURRING** (4) BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Kelly N Paterson*

**3 Fillmore Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**30. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2020061593 RECURRING** (4) BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. David A Blank*

**63 Fort Caroline Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**31. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2020071059 RECURRING** (4) BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Denhouse LLC*

**69 Fortress Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat**

violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**32. AI# 16**

**CASE NO. 2020030325 RECURRING** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Theresa Butcher & Michael Macchi*  
**32 Westfalls Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**33. AI# 18**

**CASE NO. 2019071562 MASSEY** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Lorell E Lowe*  
**46 Whittington Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 27, 2020 to December 1, 2020; totaling \$6,250.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

#### **34. AI# 20**

**CASE NO. 2019101490 MASSEY CR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Edward Christopher Turner*

**32 Woodlawn Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from March 1, 2020 to December 1, 2020; totaling \$6,350.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**35. AI# 21**

**CASE NO. 2020070175 RECURRING** (4) JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Carmen Baeza*

**31 East Diamond Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**36. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2020070925 RECURRING** (2) JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Snag & Bao Ngoc Tran Jtwros*

**59 Robinson Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat**

violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**37. AI# 25**

**CASE NO. 2020081104 RECURRING** (5) JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Johnathan Dias*

**17 Rollins Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**38. AI# 26**

**CASE NO. 2020070471** JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Frank & Theresa Porta & David Ward Jtwros*

**6 Rolls Royce Court South**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 Right-of-Way Obstruction)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**39. AI# 27**

**CASE NO. 2020070174 RECURRING** (4) JK  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Tracy Lee & Jennifer Faye Willford*  
**96 Zephyr Lily Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**



**40. AI# 30**

**CASE NO. 2020060988**

MH

*City of Palm Coast vs. Frances Whitfield*

**4 Slate Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Netts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**41. AI# 35**

**CASE NO. 2020091389 REPEAT** AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Harbor Federal Savings Bank c/o National Tax Search LLC*

**746 Belle Terre Parkway**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Craig Griswold, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 29, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 28, 2020; totaling \$50.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**42. AI# 43**

**CASE NO. 2020080756** AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Cynthia Dianne Tackett & Michael Hourahan  
8 Zanzibar Balsan Court  
(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 Right-of-Way Obstruction)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**43. AI# 44**

**CASE NO. 2020091388 RECURRING** (3) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Cynthia Dianne Tackett & Michael Hourahan  
8 Zanzibar Balsan Court  
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed.**

**Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**44. AI# 80**

**CASE NO. 2020060793 REPEAT (7) BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen Trustee*

**8 Pine Haven Drive (A)**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 13, 2020; that a \$1,200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 11, 2020 to June 12, 2020; totaling \$2,400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Netts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Ballots were distributed to the Board members to appoint a Chairman and Vice Chairman to the Code Enforcement Board for the year 2021. Code Board Attorney Mr. George tallied the ballots and the results were as follows:

- Chairman – Norman Mugford
- Vice Chairman – Dean Roberts

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on January 6, 2021 at 10:00 am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:05 pm

Respectfully submitted,

*Yvonne Robinson*

**Yvonne Robinson  
Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*