

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, February 5, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcomone, Kenneth Carruth, Gerard Chagnon, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford, Jon Netts, Dean Roberts

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Larry Pulos

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Mary Snead

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, Burton, Risch, Nunez, Stafford, Kocorowski, Sagala, MacDonald, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, Zoning Supervisor Doak, City Counsel Reischmann

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**
The meeting was called to order at 10:05 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**
Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.
- C. Approval of the January 6, 2020 Meeting Minutes**
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**
None to report.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases:**

AI# 2	CASE NO. 2019110737 – 96 Black Bear Lane
AI# 4	CASE NO. 2019091203 – 45 Blairsville Drive
AI# 7	CASE NO. 2017120886 – 8 Farmsworth Drive
AI# 11	CASE NO. 2019061362 – 87 Royal Oak Drive
AI# 14	CASE NO. 2019100877 – 22 Ulster Court
AI# 15	CASE NO. 2019100879 – 22 Ulster Court
AI# 18	CASE NO. 2019100178 – 26 Rickenbacker Drive
AI# 19	CASE NO. 2019090229 – 23 Riddle Drive (A)
AI# 22	CASE NO. 2019090654 – 11 Ryarbor Drive
AI# 23	CASE NO. 2019100451 – 1 Ryecast Place
AI# 24	CASE NO. 2019100456 – 1 Ryecast Place
AI# 30	CASE NO. 2019080855 – 16 Cherokee Court East
AI# 32	CASE NO. 2019081292 – 25 Crompton Place
AI# 38	CASE NO. 2019101243 – 74 Boston Lane
AI# 39	CASE NO. 2019050376 – 129 Bridgehaven Drive

AI# 43	CASE NO. 2019090560 – 27 Ryarbor Drive (A)
AI #42	CASE NO. 2019090556 – 27 Ranwood Lane
AI# 44	CASE NO. 2019100018 – 13 Zeppo Court
AI# 46	CASE NO. 2019081499 – 13 Waywood Place
AI# 47	CASE NO. 2019100036 – 36 Wellford Lane
AI# 49	CASE NO. 2019101011 – 81 Wellshire Lane
AI# 53	CASE NO. 2019091328 – 157 Westhampton Drive
AI# 60	CASE NO. 2019090759 – 16 Plateau Lane (A)

G. Continued Cases

AI# 3	CASE NO. 2019120073 – 55 Blaine Drive
AI# 6	CASE NO. 2019091337 – 19 Fairview Lane
AI# 73	CASE NO. 2018041296 – 101 Palm Harbor Pkwy A107
AI# 75	CASE NO. 2019110748 – 295 Pine Lakes Pkwy A

1. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2019090872 RECURRING (2) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Martin D. & Stacia Likens
18 Blare Castle Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Martin Likens, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes	Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes	Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes	Mr. Arcamone
Mr. Chagnon - Yes	

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2019090953 REPEAT (2) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. James C. Borgmann
5 Pine Hall Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. James Borgmann, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 27, 2019; that a \$75.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from September 23, 2019 to September 26, 2019 totaling \$300.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone**

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2019100726 RECURRING (5) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Edna Dy
99 Beachway Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Kermit Alison, Respondent on behalf of owner, presented his side.

Mr. Chagnon moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2019090442 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Deborah Ann & Walter Roger Mabus
10 Pilgrim Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Deborah Mabus, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2019070917 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Igor & Natalia Zintchenko
63 Leaver Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114 Rubbish & Garbage)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Natalia Zintchenko, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code

Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Not Present
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2019090457 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Linval L. Buchanan
7 Buttonwell Lane (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Malinda Buchanan, sister of Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 16

CASE NO. 2019101566 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Piotr Rowicki
44 Raemoor Drive

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Piotr Rowicki, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2019101417

MH

City of Palm Coast vs. Robin B. Peterson & Robert M. Young III

66 Smith Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Hadden testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Robert Young III, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2019091327 REPEAT (2) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Christopher L. Wallace

71 Whispering Pine Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Christopher Wallace, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 28, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 27, 2019 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Chagnon – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 71

CASE NO. 2019100897 RECURRING (3) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Radek Krejci

22 Powder Horn Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Radek Krejci, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order.

Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2019080609 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Leske & Leske William Graham
20 Faith Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Andrew Houser, nephew of Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2019110734 BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Chandrika & Aswarie Singh
29 Buttonwood Lane (A)
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence.

The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Karl Brown & Samantha Lilly, tenants of Respondent, presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2019100501

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. John Santomenno Jr. Life Estate

26 Coolidge Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Screens in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Ron Santomano, friend of Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ninety (90) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 69

CASE NO. 2019110012 REPEAT (2) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Eyvonne M. Green

13 Pine Grove Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Ronld Roscoe, fiancé of Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 5, 2019 to February 4, 2020; totaling \$13,800.00; that a fine of \$150.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2019061445 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Armando & Maria Gomez

87 Royal Oak Drive

(Land Development Code 13.02.06(C)(1)(a) Not Approved House Color)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Zoning Supervisor Tracey Doak provided information regarding Land Development Code requirements pertaining to house colors. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than twenty (20) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00

will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2019101491 REPEAT (2) RS
City of Palm Coast vs. Jorge & Cecilia Teixeira
6 Blakefield Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 27, 2019; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 26, 2019 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 6 **

CASE NO. 2019091337 RECURRING (4) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Nicholas Walker
19 Fairview Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

**** This Order has been rescinded, as the case is to be continued to a future hearing, which will require the need for an interpreter for the Respondents. Case re-opened by Board and continued to April Code Board Hearing.**

18. AI# 8

CASE NO. 2019100744 REPEAT (1) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Frank K. Jonas

8 Farragut Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on January 25, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 10, 2019 to January 24, 2020; totaling \$10,700.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 9

CASE NO. 2019100430 REPEAT (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Reynold & Margaret Bascombe
25 Farrington Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 5, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 4, 2019; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2019100938 REPEAT (3) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L. Jr. & Juanita S. Trivett Gray
43 Fort Caroline Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 1, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 15, 2019 to January 31, 2020; totaling \$54,500.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00 Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2019110024 REPEAT (1) MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Shandra P. Maddox
14 Secretary Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 2, 2019; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on November 1, 2019; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2019110107 RECURRING (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Jesse Jr. & Shawna Adams
86 Red Mill Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

24. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2019090861 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Lee Paulsen

60 Black Alder Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2019081443 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Larry D. Sr. & Pamela J. Chatterton

2 Blaine Tree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2019100687 RECURRING (3) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Benjamin L. & Denise L. Massie
2 Blare Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Stafford testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2019080704 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Pranav & Bhumika Shah
10 Ludlow Lane East
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent

within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2019101037 RECURRING (5) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. James E. Erickson
116 Berkshire Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2019081154 SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Edward J. & Debra L. Hopper
2 Beverly Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76 Nuisance-Screen Enclosure Maintenance)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fifteen (15) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2019090751 RECURRING (1) SB
City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey C. Sr. & Barbara Parthemore
25 Ramblewood Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2019090119

SB

City of Palm Coast vs. Cordia E. Kellam

27 Ranwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Netts – Yes

Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2019071096 MASSEY CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Jean Alice McMorro

70 Rae Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(3) No House Number)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondents were not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondents were in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondents failed to correct the violation by the time specified by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondents brought the property into compliance on November 14, 2019; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 15, 2019 to November 13, 2019; totaling \$750.00. Respondents shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2019100867 REPEAT CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas P. & Carole A. Cook
34 Wellhaven Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 1, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 14, 2019 to January 31, 2020; totaling \$5,500.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2018071142 REQ. FORECLOSURE CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Harold R. & Jacqueline A. Browne
63 Wellstream Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos. The Respondent was not present. Mr. Risch testified the property has remained in violation for a total of 195 days. The City is requesting authorization from the Code Enforcement Board to start the foreclosure process.

Mr. Netts moved to impose an Order to start the foreclosure process, as requested by the City. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2019100574 REPEAT (1) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Misael Santiago
137 Wellwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 19, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 8, 2019 to October 18, 2019; totaling \$550.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 52

CASE NO. 2019100578 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Misael Santiago
137 Wellwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 57

CASE NO. 2019090422 RECURRING (4) JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Laurie & Ernest Mikos
23 Pickcane Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 62

CASE NO. 2019090443 RECURRING (2) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Miximilliano Daniel & Daniel Sage c/o Frank Sage
114 Point of Woods Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 63

CASE NO. 2019081026 RECURRING (2) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Nicole Desriviere

20 Porter Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Netts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 66

CASE NO. 2019100759 REPEAT (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. James T. & Eleanore J. Miller CO-Trustees
25 Panorama Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 16, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 10, 2019 and October 15, 2019; totaling \$200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 68

CASE NO. 2019100428 RECURRING (3) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Laurie & Ernest Mikos
23 Pickcane Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed.

Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 70

CASE NO. 2019100784 REPEAT (4) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. John & Sherry Blevins

17 Porwyn Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 14, 2019; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 10, 2019 to November 13, 2019; totaling \$11,250.00 Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Carruth – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Netts – Yes
Mr. Chagnon - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

None to report.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on March 4, 2020 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:35 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

**Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.