

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, March 4, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Gennaro Arcomone, Kenneth Carruth, Gerard Chagnon, Norman Mugford, Larry Pulos

**BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED:** Andrew Dodzik, Jon Netts, Dean Roberts

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** Mary Sneed

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, Burton, Risch, Nunez, Stafford, Kocorowski, MacDonald, Landscape Specialist Beaudet, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with five (5) members present.

**C. Approval of the February 5, 2020 Meeting Minutes**

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

**D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

None to report.

**E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Mary Sneed, Counsel for the Code Board.

**F. Withdrawn Cases:**

<b>AI# 4</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019060939 - 14 Secretary Trail</b>
<b>AI# 6</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110546 - 34 Slocum Path</b>
<b>AI# 5</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019101348 – 22 Slipper Orchid Trail East</b>
<b>AI# 7</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019100927 - 8 Slogan Place</b>
<b>AI# 17</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110570 - 8 Farragut Drive</b>
<b>AI# 18</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110730 - 8 Farragut Drive</b>
<b>AI# 19</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110491 - 2 Felter Lane</b>
<b>AI# 21</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019101617 - 43 Fort Caroline Lane</b>
<b>AI# 24</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110120 - 45 Llama Trail</b>
<b>AI# 29</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019090544 - 41 Point of Woods Drive</b>
<b>AI# 32</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110170 - 42 Raemoor Drive</b>
<b>AI# 33</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110173 - 42 Raemoor Drive</b>
<b>AI# 34</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019101187 -13 Ranshire Lane</b>
<b>AI# 35</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019110877 -7 Ranstone Place</b>
<b>AI# 37</b>	<b>CASE NO. 2019101060 - 62 Rolling Fern Drive</b>

**AI# 39**            **CASE NO. 2019111138 - 57 Ryecliffe Drive**  
**AI# 40**            **CASE NO. 2019111141 - 7 Ryerton Place**  
**AI# 41**            **CASE NO. 2019101591 - 146 Barrington Drive**  
**AI# 42**            **CASE NO. 2019101605 - 146 Barrington Drive**  
**AI# 46**            **CASE NO. 2019100818 - 16 Belvedere Lane**  
**AI# 47**            **CASE NO. 2019071516 - 175 Boulder Rock Drive**  
**AI# 49**            **CASE NO. 2019080451 - 46 Brigadoon Lane**  
**AI# 51**            **CASE NO. 2019080994 - 22 Richmond Drive**  
**AI# 52**            **CASE NO. 2019041133 - 31 Westmoreland Drive**  
**AI# 60**            **CASE NO. 2019081389 - 2 Creek Court**  
**AI# 61**            **CASE NO. 2019101295 - 8 Farragut Drive**  
**AI# 63**            **CASE NO. 2019090554 - 15 Lake Charles Lane**  
**AI# 66**            **CASE NO. 2019090148 - 32 Langdon Drive**  
**AI# 69**            **CASE NO. 2019090946 -18 Pine Hill Drive**  
**AI# 70**            **CASE NO. 2019100536 - 14 Pineland Lane**  
**AI# 73**            **CASE NO. 2019100666 - 18 Prospect Lane (A)**  
**AI# 75**            **CASE NO. 2019101427 - 55 Putter Drive**

**G. Continued Cases**

**AI# 22**            **CASE NO.2019101439 – 1 Katsura Tree Place**  
**AI# 45**            **CASE NO. 2019120739 – 4920 Belle Terre Pkwy.**

**1. AI# 43**

**CASE NO. 2019101614      **RECURRING** (4)      SB**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Kimberley Kangos*

**10 Bayside Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Burton testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Curtis Corbett, tenant, presented his side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**2. AI# 31**

**CASE NO. 2019120068      RECURRING      (1)      AN**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mark W. Culp, Jr.*

**152 Rae Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Tabitha Howe, occupant, presented her side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**3. AI# 11**

**CASE NO. 2019110077      CR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Glenn M. & Marie Seeley*

**2 White Holly Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Marie Seeley, Respondent and Donna Racusa, daughter of Respondent, presented their side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order.**

**Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2019110078** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Glenn M. & Marie Seeley*  
**2 White Holly Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Marie Seeley, Respondent and Donna Racusa, daughter of Respondent, presented their side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 74**

**CASE NO. 2019120075** BR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Joe Colmone*  
**49 Providence Lane (A)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section `44-34( c Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance.

Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Joseph Colmone, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**6. AI# 72**

**CASE NO. 2019120506 RECURRING (3) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Aae Holdings LLC*  
**21 Preston Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Brien Bosch, tenant, presented his side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**7. AI# 53**

**CASE NO. 2019091242 JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey C. & Lisa C. Rose*  
**11 Beth Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Jeffrey Rose, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**8. AI# 55**

**CASE NO. 2019100441** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Chris Howard*  
**15 Burning Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Sunken Boats Located at 13 Crossway Court East)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Chris Howard, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**9. AI# 58**

**CASE NO. 2019070560** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Steven A. Mastriacovo*  
**12 Collingwood Lane (A)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulation*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Chagnon moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 57**

**CASE NO. 2019110482 REPEAT (1)** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Philip Danza*  
**8 Colleen Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Phillip Danza, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 10, 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 20, 2019 to December 9, 2019 totaling \$2,000.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Not Present**  
**Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 1**

**CASE NO. 2019110507** JB  
*City of Palm Coast vs. John F. Gomes*  
**4 Coral Reef Court North**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Hazard Tree)*

Code Enforcement Officer Beaudet presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Beaudet testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. John Gomes, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**  
**Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**12. AI# 3**

**CASE NO. 2019120577** BMD  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Tara Leigh Brown & Bryan M. Crawley*  
**25 Lytton Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Brian Crawley, Respondent, presented his side.



**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes  
Mr. Chagnon – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**Mr. Chagnon was not present for the remainder of the hearing.**

**13. AI# 38**

**CASE NO. 2019110819      RECURRING (2)      AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Mary W. Lavigne & Wayne A. Nadeau*  
**4 Rybark Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Wayne Nadeau & Mary Lavigne, Respondent, presented their side.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 2**

**CASE NO. 2019120204**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Ignacio Luis Rodriguez & Ygcelys Luis Guerra  
65 Butterfield Drive  
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 8**

**CASE NO. 2019120201**

**REPEAT (4) MH**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer  
8 Squirrel Place  
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)*

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 6, 2019; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on December 5, 2019 totaling \$450.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 9**

**CASE NO. 2019110938 REPEAT (2) CR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Petr & Raisa Miroshnik*  
**33 Westminster Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 25 2019; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 21, 2019 to November 24, 2019; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 10**

**CASE NO. 2019080216 MASSEY CR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Petr & Raisa Miroshnik*  
**33 Westminster Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 13, 2019 to March 3, 2020; totaling \$2,050.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2019071562** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Lorell E. Lowe*  
**46 Whittington Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fifteen (15) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2019101490** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Edward Christopher Turner*  
**32 Woodlawn Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that**

**in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**20. AI# 15**

**CASE NO. 2019101078** BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Kevin Brent Carter*  
**32 Fairview Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**21. AI# 16**

**CASE NO. 2019101357** BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Kevin Brent Carter*  
**32 Fairview Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**22. AI# 20**

**CASE NO. 2019110686** BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Joanna Reeley*

**55 Flemingwood Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114 Rubbish and Garbage)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**23. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2019101583      RECURRING** (4) JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Shawn & Christina York*

**18 Llama Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 25**

**CASE NO. 2019090421** JK

*City of Palm Coast vs. Laurie & Ernest Milkos*

**23 Pickcane Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 26**

**CASE NO. 2019030126 MASSEY JK**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Johnny Delahoz*

**48 Plain View Drive (A)**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 20, 2019 to March 3, 2020; totaling \$2,625.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**26. AI# 27**

**CASE NO. 2019110328 REPEAT (2) JK**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mark Scott & Beth Ann Shuman*

**24 Poindexter Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 15, 2019; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 10, 2019 to**



November 14, 2019; totaling \$750.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**27. AI# 28**

**CASE NO. 2019090542** JK  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Edwin & Carmen Nazario*  
**31 Point of Woods Drive**  
*(Land Development Code 11.03.01(H) General Landscaping Required-HVAC Screening)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamonesecoded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**28. AI# 30**

**CASE NO. 2019110388** **RECURRING** (1) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Andrew W. & Amanda Atkserson*  
**37 Radford Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamonesecoded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**29. AI# 36**

**CASE NO. 2019110889      RECURRING (5)      AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Anton A. Lorenzo*  
**171 Ryan Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Nunez testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**30. AI# 44**

**CASE NO. 2019081486      RECURRING (2) SB**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Gianapalo Battaglia & Solange Cabral Fernandez*  
**178 Bayside Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Code Officer Burton testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**31. AI# 48**

**CASE NO. 2019090358 REPEAT (1) SB**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Christina Rose Fredricks*

**30 Brice lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from September 10, 2019 to March 3, 2020; totaling \$8,800.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**32. AI# 50**

**CASE NO. 2019100206** SB  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Robert S. Hubbs*  
**80 Burroughs Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Burton presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Burton testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**33. AI# 54**

**CASE NO. 2019090859** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Mannix Leach*  
**181 Bridgehaven Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than seven (7) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**34. AI# 56**

**CASE NO. 2019080261** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. M. Pannir & Leela P. Sivan, Trustees*  
**16 Cherry Tree Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-162(b) Culvert Pipe in Disrepair)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than sixty (60) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Carruth seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**35. AI# 59**

**CASE NO. 2019110476 REPEAT** (2) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. German & Lilia Cubillos*  
**14 Courtney Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 4, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 13, 2019 to February 3, 2020; totaling \$8,300.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**36. AI# 62**

**CASE NO. 2019101027      RECURRING** (5) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Raymond L. Jr. & Juanita S. Trivett Gray*  
**43 Fort Caroline Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent were not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**37. AI# 64**

**CASE NO. 2019120274      REPEAT** (1) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Mikhail & Maria Litvak*  
**32 Langdon Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 17, 2019; that a**

**\$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 15, 2019 to December 16, 2019; totaling \$1,200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**38. AI# 65**

**CASE NO. 2019090390 RECURRING (2) JS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Mikhail & Maria Litvak*  
**32 Langdon Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**39. AI# 67**

**CASE NO. 2019120466 REPEAT (1) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Fred Wohlfarth*  
**22 Pillory Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance-Accumulation)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 10, 2019 to March 3, 2020; totaling \$8,500.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**40. AI# 68**

**CASE NO. 2019120556      RECURRING (4)      BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Fred Wohlfarth*  
**22 Pillory Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**41. AI# 71**

**CASE NO. 2019110940      REPEAT (1)      BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Igor & Lidiya Bondar*



**60 Plain View Drive (A)**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34( c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Carruth moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 21, 2019 to February 29, 2020; totaling \$1,100.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. When the property comes into compliance, an Affidavit of Compliance will be issued. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Carruth – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS**

None to report.

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on April 1, 2020 at 10:00 am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:09 pm

**Respectfully submitted,**

*Yvonne Robinson*

**Yvonne Robinson  
Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit*

*Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*