

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, January 6, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcamone, Andrew Dodzik, Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts, Vincent Sullivan, Geraldine Wright

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Larry Pulos, Jon Netts

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: J. Giffin Chumley

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Romeo, Officers Hadden, MacDonald, Nunez, Kocorowski, Risch, Shelley, Stafford, Permitting Supervisor Bemby, Code Enforcement Clerk Wry, City Counsel Reischmann

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**
The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**
Roll was called. A quorum was met with (6) members present.
- C. Approval of the December 2, 2020 Meeting Minutes**
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**
None to report.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases**
- | | |
|---------------|---|
| AI# 3 | CASE NO. 2020091215 - 36 Fariston Place |
| AI# 6 | CASE NO. 2020080059 - 83 Forest Hill Drive |
| AI# 8 | CASE NO. 2020100211 - 14 Secretary Trail |
| AI# 9 | CASE NO. 2020100522 - 14 Secretary Trail |
| AI# 10 | CASE NO. 2020110105 - 14 Secretary Trail |
| AI# 11 | CASE NO. 2020090629 - 9 Slumber Meadow Trail |
| AI# 15 | CASE NO. 2020090144 - 15 Renshaw Drive |
| AI# 16 | CASE NO. 2020070245 - 18 Riviere Lane |
| AI# 18 | CASE NO. 2020021344 - 65 Radcliffe Drive |
| AI# 20 | CASE NO. 2020100067 - 230 Bird of Paradise Drive |
| AI# 23 | CASE NO. 2020101242 - 24 Wellshire Lane |
| AI# 32 | CASE NO. 2020080633 - 30 Llestone Path |
| AI# 34 | CASE NO. 2020100529 - 39 Richardson Drive |
| AI# 40 | CASE NO. 2020060139 - 7 Cedardale Court |
| AI# 42 | CASE NO. 2020080192 - 9 Chesney Court |
| AI# 43 | CASE NO. 2020070795 - 29 Cloverdale Court North |

AI# 50	CASE NO. 2020061254 - 99 Persimmon Drive
AI# 51	CASE NO. 2020061255 - 99 Persimmon Drive
AI# 52	CASE NO. 2020061651 - 90 Pilgrim Drive
AI# 54	CASE NO. 2020030754 - 172 Pine Grove Drive
AI# 57	CASE NO. 2020010287 - 13 Pope Lane
AI# 58	CASE NO. 2020020901 - 15 Port Echo Lane
AI# 60	CASE NO. 2020030256 - 57 Port Royal Drive
AI# 65	CASE NO. 2020030355 - 3 Boulder Rock Drive 100

H. Continued Cases

AI# 47 CASE NO. 2020081061 - 34 Bennett Lane

1. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2020070763 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Vera Kuzmin
15 Kaiser Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Yevgeniy Kuzmin, husband of Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than forty five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes	Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes	Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes	Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2020070764 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Vera Kuzmin
15 Kaiser Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Yevgeniy Kuzmin, husband of Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five days (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 12

CASE NO. 2020110040 MH
City of Palm Coast vs. Model Home Btc 1 Lp
100 Vireo Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Hadden presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Hadden testified the property remains in violation. Permitting Supervisor Bembry also provided testimony on behalf of the City. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2020100279 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Carlos Lopez

13 Wood Ash Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Carlos Lopez, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 49

CASE NO. 2020090891 REPEAT (3) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Alton Dixon

61 Florida Park Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Dale Dixon, son of the Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 16, 2020; that a \$200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 15, 2020; totaling \$200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50 Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2020101062 RECURRING BMD

City of Palm Coast vs. Carlos Deleon

28 Biscay Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Carlos & Jellita DeLeon, Respondent presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 55

CASE NO. 2020080686 REPEAT (9) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Franzen

8 Pine Haven Drive (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Property Manager, Charles Umpenhauer presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 12, 2020; that a \$200.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 11, 2020; totaling \$200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$73.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2020100143 RECURRING (5) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Brian T. & Stefanie A. Crisp
7 Bud Hollow Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Brian Crisp, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2020100786 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Stanley B. Schneider, Trustee & Richard A. & Diane C. Kiwan, Trustees
500 Palm Coast Pkwy SW

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Roger Perry, Respondent presented his side.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 3, 2020; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 12, 2020 to November 3, 2020; totaling \$1,100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2020090567 JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Jason Taylor-F
4 Rosepetal Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Code Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Jason Taylor, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 62

CASE NO. 2020010087

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Monica Irigoyen

1 Bennett Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1-FBC[A]105.1 No Permit)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Monica Irigoyen, Respondent presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than twenty (20) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 63

CASE NO. 2020110206

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Monica Irigoyen

1 Bennett Lane

(Land Development Code 4.01.07 Too Many Sheds)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Monica Irigoyen, Respondent presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than twenty (20) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with

this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 64

CASE NO. 2020110229 LM
City of Palm Coast vs. Monica Irigoyen
1 Bennett Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Monica Irigoyen, Respondent presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2020071526 RECURRING (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Erika L. Clark & Coleman L. Robinson
68 Smith Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Coleman Robinson, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 2

CASE NO. 2020071298 BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Emily Allen
71 Leaver Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2020030740

BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Francesca Mignano

126 Ferndale Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2020101120 REPEAT (1)

BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Pid Group LLC

36 Florida Park Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 18, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 17, 2020; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2020030959 BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Brandt & Tien Pasco
11 Frankford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 R.O.W. Obstruction)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2020100534 RECURRING (1) JK
City of Palm Coast vs. American Homes 4 Rent Properties Six LLC
49 Raintree Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order.

Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2020100741 REPEAT (1) JK
*City of Palm Coast vs. American Homes 4 Rent Properties Six LLC
49 Raintree Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 21, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 10, 2020 to October 20, 2020; totaling \$1,100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 21

CASE NO. 2020080199 CR
*City of Palm Coast vs. Snow Enterprise LLC
40 Brewster Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 24

CASE NO. 2020100786

CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Stanley B. Schneider, Trustee & Richard A. & Diane C. Kiwan, Trustees
500 Palm Coast Pkwy SW*

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2020070221

CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Charlene Nicholson

26 Westland Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2020100721

CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Margaret Charlene Nicholson

26 Westland Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(13) Garage Door in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2020100983 RECURRING (3) AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. James Michael , Sr. & Christine Marie Brannigan
21 Eastwood Drive*

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2020101252 RECURRING (3) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert M. Valdez

8 Edison Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2020100985 REPEAT AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Cody J. Mansell

30 Kathleen Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 15, 2020; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 14, 2020; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2020080908 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Duane Kevyn Freeman & Kindra D. Klindtworth

1 Pennypacker Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all

pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2020080089 RECURRING (3) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Steve E. & Lisa Barneski

7 Zachary Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2020101047 REPEAT (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews

59 Blare Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 22, 2020; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on October 15, 2020; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2020080702 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Jason & Kari Quinn
1 Fletcher Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2020070300

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Craig R. Killman

18 Flintstone Court

(Land Development Code 11.03.01 General Landscaping Requirements - AC Screening)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2020091278 RECURRING (2) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Emily Allen

71 Leaver Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2020091279 REPEAT (2) JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Emily Allen
71 Leaver Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 22, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 24, 2020, October 1, 2020 and October 8, 2020; totaling \$450.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00 Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2020020998 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Eugene & Julia Blumin
25 Louisville Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with

this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 53

CASE NO. 2020061569 REPEAT (4) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Fred Wohlfarth

22 Pillory Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 7, 2020; that a \$400.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 24, 2020 to August 6, 2020; totaling \$17,600.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00 Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2020030974 BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Vuthy Try & Mony Chhun

33 Poindexter Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 42-56 R.O.W. Obstruction)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2020020207

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Halyna Mrochko

15 Port Echo Lane

(Land Development Code 11.03.01 General Landscaping Requirements - AC Screening)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days to comply with this Order; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 61

CASE NO. 2020091051

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Frederick O. Jr. & Roxie Harris

97 Presidential lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Comm. Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 66

CASE NO. 2020030755 RECURRING (1) LM
City of Palm Coast vs. Jesus Adams & Mary Grace Hunter
46 Brigadoon Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a recurring violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent all pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS

Chairman Mugford advised the Board of a recommendation that multiple code cases for the same address should be heard one at a time to avoid confusion for all parties involved.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on February 3, 2021 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:24 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

**Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.