

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, October 6, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Dean Roberts, Andrew Dodzik, Larry Pulos, Gennaro Arcamone, Vincent Sullivan, Geraldine Wright, Norman Mugford

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: J. Giffin Chumley

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers, Lott, Risch, Shelley, Stafford, Nunez, Paterno, MacDonald, Code Enforcement Clerk Filipe, City Counsel Reischmann

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**
The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**
Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.
- C. Approval of the September 1, 2021 Meeting Minutes**
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**
None.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases**
 - AI #9 CASE #2021070112 – 34 Furness Place**
 - AI #11 CASE #2021060788 – 226 Palm Coast Pkwy SW**
 - AI #12 CASE #2021080103 – 27 Woodford Lane**
 - AI #16 CASE #2021061166 – 36 Rolling Sands Drive**
 - AI #17 CASE #2021061066 – 125 Rolling Sands Drive**
 - AI #20 CASE #2021060605 – 162 Barrington Drive**
 - AI #21 CASE #2021051016 – 56 Bracken Lane**
 - AI #23 CASE #2021061752 – 56 Bracken Lane**
 - AI #25 CASE #2021070437 – 104 Bridgehaven Drive**
 - AI #30 CASE #2021051509 – 107 Blare Drive**
 - AI #35 CASE #2021060943 – 25 Conley Court**
 - AI #49 CASE #2021050662 – 229 Underwood Trail**
 - AI #51 CASE #2021050619 – 55 Utica Path**
 - AI #53 CASE #2021060257 – 26 Pennsylvania Lane**
 - AI #54 CASE #2021060590 – 26 Pennsylvania Lane**
 - AI #55 CASE #2021071565 – 28 Plateau Lane (B)**
 - AI #56 CASE #2021050799 – 2 Poinbury Drive**

1. AI# 28

CASE NO. 2021061498 RECURRING (6) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Judy Jull

8 Blaine Tree Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Judy Jull, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2021010773 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Tricia K Rampersad

17 Cold Spring Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Dock in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Tricia Rampersad, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than twenty (20) days after this Order is entered in writing, that in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed or each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2021050376 RECURRING (4) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Alexander & Michelle Woods

32 Sleepy Hollow Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Alexander Woods, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2021071440 RECURRING (6) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Antonio Vincenzo Dahm

10 Rybar Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Cristal Thayer, wife of Respondent, presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2021070357 RECURRING (4) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Sergey Tagintsev

48 Fenwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Pavel Tagintsev, brother of Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2021040695 REPEAT (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Neville A & Barbara Kelly

32 Squash Blossom Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Neville Kelly, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same

violation; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 13, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 15

CASE NO. 2021070576 REPEAT (1) JK

City of Palm Coast vs. Sheila J Hinds

110 Renshaw Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Sheila Hinds, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 21, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 14, 2021 to July 20, 2021, for a period of seven (7) days; totaling \$700.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2021050378 REPEAT (2) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Louiman & Christianiste Thomas

4 Senseney Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Louiman Thomas, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 8, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 7, 2021 for a period of one (1) day; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2021050377 REPEAT (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Louiman & Christianiste Thomas
4 Senseney Path
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Louiman Thomas, Respondent presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 8, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 7, 2021, for a period of one (1) day; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 27

CASE NO. 2021020368 MASSEY RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy Zawilski
47 Pine Crest Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Dorothy Zawilski, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 20, 2021; that the original fine in the amount of \$50.00 per day be reduced to \$4.84 per day; that the fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 20, 2021 to August 19, 2021; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2021060234 JB
City of Palm Coast vs. Pamela Gilyard
5 Colorado Drive
(Land Development Code 11.03.01(A) General Landscaping Requirements)

Code Enforcement Manager Barbara Grossman requested this case be continued for thirty (30) days by City.

Mr. Roberts moved to continue this case for thirty (30) days. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 2

CASE NO. 2021060969 BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. San P River LLC
25 Bunker Hill Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 3

CASE NO. 2021080354 RECURRING (1) BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Larissa Johnson
7 Linton Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 4

CASE NO. 2021060207

JP

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert James & Diana Dailey

1 Ehrly Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2021020497

MASSEY JP

City of Palm Coast vs. Harris & Lillie Mae Jones

5 Pritchard Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 23, 2021 to October 5, 2021; totaling \$3,750.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2021071239 BS
City of Palm Coast vs. 22 F Land Trust
22 Felter Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Appliances)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 8

CASE NO. 2021070236 **RECURRING** (1) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. George R III & Tong Parker
51 Forest Hill Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation

as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 10

CASE NO. 2020121190 MASSEY CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Kevin & Katherine Allio
113 Beacon Mill Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 9, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 22, 2021 to September 8, 2021; totaling \$7,000.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 13

CASE NO. 2021040756 CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Edward Christopher Turner
32 Woodlawn Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(3) No House Number)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2021070798 REPEAT (1) JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Felix Sr & Icxia Baez
4 Rainstone Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 30, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 7, 2021 to July 29, 2021; totaling \$650.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2021071215 REPEAT (1) JK
City of Palm Coast vs. Braian & Jade Merriman Adler
8 Rybar Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 3, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 23, 2021 to August 2, 2021; totaling \$1,650.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# CASE NO. 2021051018 REPEAT (1) RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Kay Y Johnston
56 Bracken Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 5, 2021; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 17, 2021 to October 5, 2021; totaling \$3,550.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 24

CASE NO. 2021070436 RECURRING (10) RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Egbert H & Shirley F Profitt
104 Bridgehaven Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2020050814 MASSEY RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Linda J Pillar
27 Patricia Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Broken Elements)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 25, 2021 to October 5, 2021; totaling \$6,700.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 29

CASE NO. 2021061095 REPEAT (4) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews

59 Blare Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 24, 2021; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of one (1) day of non-compliance on June 17, 2021; totaling \$450.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2021050253 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Zachary H & Megan N Miller

27 Brewster Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case, history and paperwork into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be

imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 32

CASE NO. 2021030089 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Twocaribect LLC
2 Caribe Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(13) Broken Elements)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case, history and paperwork into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2021030087 JS
City of Palm Coast vs. Twocaribect LLC
2 Caribe Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(1)(h) Roof in Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case, history and paperwork into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2021060781

JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Phyllis Rotunno & Phillip A Rotunno

32 Farraday Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2021070042 RECURRING (4) JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Phyllis Rotunno & Phillip A Rotunno

32 Farraday Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2021050265 JS

City of Palm Coast vs. Anthony & Sarah Lynn Page

65 Luther Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2021041571 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Property Owner

40 Seaton Valley Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2021050358 **RECURRING** (5) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Jolene Denton

8 Slogan Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2021051027 RECURRING (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Us Bank Trust c/o Resicap
64 Smith Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2021051242 REPEAT (1) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Robin B Peterson & Robert M Young III
66 Smith Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 21, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 20, 2021 totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2021050362 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer
8 Squirrel Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommended a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2021050204 **RECURRING** (1) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir D & Lyudmila Slobodkin
89 Ulturn Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer;

that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2021050417 RECURRING (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Zachary S Mahaney

80 Universal Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 52

CASE NO. 2021070036 BR

City of Palm Coast vs. International Carpet of Florida LLC

85 Cypress Point Parkway

(Land Development Code 12.03.02(q) Sign Ordinance Violation)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 57

CASE NO. 2021040174

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Yueh Yee & Yueh Hai Wong

23 Point Pleasant Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 58

CASE NO. 2021061049

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Cassandra Realty Inc

22 Prosperity Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than two (2) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Dodzik - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS:

Mr. Arcamone asked for clarification on why one case did not list the property owner. Supervisor Mendez explained that if a person is listed on the Flagler County Property Appraiser’s website as “confidential”, the City cannot release their name (police, firefighter, etc.). The address can be listed but not the name.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on November 3, 2021 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson
Yvonne Robinson

Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.