

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, December 1, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Dean Roberts, Andrew Dodzik, Gennaro Arcamone, Vincent Sullivan, Geraldine Wright, Norman Mugford

**BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:** Larry Pulos

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** J. Giffin Chumley

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Lead Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers, Lott, Risch, Shelley, Stafford, Nunez, Paterno, Kocorowski, MacDonald, Code Enforcement Clerk Filipe, Stormwater Operations Manger Schragger, City Counsel Nix

- A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**  
The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
- B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**  
Roll was called. A quorum was met with six (6) members present.
- C. Approval of the November 3, 2021 Meeting Minutes**  
The Minutes were unanimously approved.
- D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**  
None.
- E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**  
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.
- F. Withdrawn Cases**  
**AI #1 CASE #2021060234 – 5 Colorado Drive**  
**AI #2 CASE #2021100155 – 57 Blare Castle Drive**  
**AI #5 CASE #2021082052 – 3 Zephyr Lily Place**  
**AI #11 CASE #2021080305 – 23 Regent Lane**  
**AI #14 CASE #2021081729 – 31 Rylin Lane**  
**AI #17 CASE #2021070366 – 9 Bracken Lane**  
**AI #19 CASE #2021081207 – 172 Bren Mar Lane**  
**AI #20 CASE #2021081332 – 20 Brushwood Lane**  
**AI #21 CASE #2021090583 – 51 Wellesley Lane**  
**AI #22 CASE #2021081727 – 39 Westgrill Drive**  
**AI #24 CASE #2021100473 – 26 Westland Place**  
**AI #35 CASE #2021081085 - 121 Flagler Plaza Drive**  
**AI #36 CASE #2021061697 – 31 Pineapple Drive**  
**AI #45 CASE #2021070735 – 5 Uniontin Court (B)**  
**AI #48 CASE #2021070786 – 92 Wheatfield Drive**

AI #52 CASE #2021080824 – 65 Perthshire Lane  
AI #53 CASE #2021090742 – 111 Pine Circle Drive  
AI #56 CASE #2021081844 – 92 Princess Ruth Lane  
AI #62 CASE #2021071199 – 44 Filbert Lane  
AI #64 CASE #2021061644 – 222 Coral Reef Court North

1. AI# 37\*

**CASE NO. 2021070748 REPEAT (1) AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Russell Kiraly Trustee*  
**4 Ponderosa Lane (A)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Russell Kiraly, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 6, 2021; that a \$100.00 fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 23, 2021 and July 30, 2021; totaling \$200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 63\*

**CASE NO. 2021090685 RECURRING (3) BS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Angela Mace*  
**32 Round Thorn Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Angela Mace, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation**

as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI# 51

**CASE NO. 2021090555 RECURRING** (1) BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Nicole Kleis-Hattani*

**26 Pennsylvania Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Nicole Hattani, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 61\*

**CASE NO. 2021071469** BS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Ana R Carlos*

**3 Fenwick Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-159(a) Swale Maintenance*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Don Schrage, Stormwater

Operations Manager, also provided testimony. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Ana Carlos, Respondent and Dora Kelly, daughter of Respondent, presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2021090324      RECURRING (4) JK**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Diedre Meddaugh*

***1 Robinson Drive***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Diedre Meddaugh, Respondent and Urick Ulicki, son of Respondent, presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

6. **AI# 31**

**CASE NO. 2021080730** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. LBS Construction Services Inc*  
**14 Fanwood Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-205(g) Illicit Discharge)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Don Schragger, Stormwater Operations Manager, also provided testimony. Thomas McDermott, representative for Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$100.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Ms. Wright – Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**  
**Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

7. **AI# 32**

**CASE NO. 2021080737** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. John & Angela Whalen*  
**14 Fanwood Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 24-205(g) Illicit Discharge)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford requested to continue this case, until the next available Code Enforcement Board meeting. There were no objections from the Board.

8. **AI# 47**

**CASE NO. 2021071304 REPEAT (2)** AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Darren A & Marcy A Flores Kannan*  
**36 Upshire Path**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Darren Kannan, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 24, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 23, 2021. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**9. AI# 42**

**CASE NO. 2021071173      RECURRING (2) AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. John G Graper*  
**29 Slipper Orchid Trail East**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation. John Graper, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2021091370      REPEAT (1) CR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Martha Fedele*  
**139 Westgrill Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff

recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Daniel Fedele, son of Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on \*\*October 24, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on \*\*October 23, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**\*\*Violation and compliance dates amended as follows:**

**Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 24, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 23, 2021. Correct dates reflected on the signed Board Order.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 54**

**CASE NO. 2021090360 REPEAT (2) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt Lawrence*  
**24 Pine Hill Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Kurt Lawrence, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 4, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 3, 2021; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

12. AI# 3\*

**CASE NO. 2021090413      RECURRING (1) RS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Mark W Croy Sr*

**25 Blyth Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continue past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

13. AI# 4

**CASE NO. 2021081730      JP**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Armor S Moraes*

**47 Elder Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**



Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 6\*

**CASE NO. 2021091498      RECURRING** (2) BMD  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Victor L Haveman & Rhonda J Haveman*  
**39 Bird of Paradise Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Code Enforcement Officer Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 7

**CASE NO. 2021091398      REPEAT** (1) BMD  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Lagare Land Trust*  
**74 Burroughs Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 24, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 23, 2021; totaling \$50.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 8**

**CASE NO. 2021091400      RECURRING** (2) BMD  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Lagare Land Trust*  
**74 Burroughs Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 9\***

**CASE NO. 2021091332      RECURRING** (4) BMD  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Steven Moseley*  
**15 Luther Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 10\*

**CASE NO. 2021080328 REPEAT (1) JK**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jean Alice McMorrow*  
**70 Rae Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 13, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 6, 2021 to August 12, 2021; totaling \$350.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 13

**CASE NO. 2021091418 RECURRING (3) JK**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Nicole J & Megan C Cosgrove*  
**89 Roxboro Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as**

defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 15

**CASE NO. 2021041528** RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Dillan L Walls*  
**24 Barring Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 16

**CASE NO. 2021060417** **MASSEY** RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Angela & Scott Lapete*  
**140 Beechwood Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from September 15, 2021 to November 30, 2021; totaling \$3,850.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**22. AI# 18**

**CASE NO. 2021090313** RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J & Christina Sapienza*  
**37 Braddock Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**23. AI# 25**

**CASE NO. 2021100707** REPEAT (1) CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Ervlyn G Lippus-Bratton Life Estate*  
**31 White House Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 17, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from October 14, 2021 to October 16, 2021; totaling \$300.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 26**

**CASE NO. 2021090797** CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Dorsey & Carol Richardson*  
**7 Wilkins Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 27**

**CASE NO. 2021091217** CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. U.S. Bank National Association*  
**42 Woodlawn Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**26. AI# 28**

**CASE NO. 2021090524      RECURRING** (5) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Polina, Alexander & Rita Rozenberg*  
**132 Berkshire Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presents case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**27. AI# 29**

**CASE NO. 2021090140      RECURRING** (3) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Christian Smith*

**19 Black Alder Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent \within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**28. AI# 30**

**CASE NO. 2021090979      REPEAT** (5) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews*

**59 Blare Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Repeat case the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 23, 2021; that a \$650.00 per day is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 16, 2021; totaling \$650.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**



**Motion unanimously carried.**

**29. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2021090142      RECURRING** (4) JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey & Kimberly Guarin*  
**12 Fayette Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**30. AI# 34**

**CASE NO. 2021040089      MASSEY** JS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Nuno A & Paula Aquiles Alves*  
**45 Felshire Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 14, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between September 16, 2021 and October 7, 2021; for a total of three (3) days and \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**31. AI# 38**

**CASE NO. 2021061491** AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Maryam Mursalova Life Estate*  
**18 Price Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**32. AI# 39**

**CASE NO. 2021070336** AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Vincent Peter Cantone & Mackenzie Sandlin*  
**51 Seathorn Path**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**33. AI# 40**

**CASE NO. 2021070605 REPEAT (1) AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Frank & Heather Ann Colombo*  
**22 Seven Wonders Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 14, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 13, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**34. AI# 41\***

**CASE NO. 2021060030 AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Clemente & Jessica Tullio*  
**5 Slidell Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 43

**CASE NO. 2021070229      RECURRING** (2) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Colin M Dougherty*  
**51 Slocum Path**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 44

**CASE NO. 2021070522      RECURRING** (5) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Dennis Baskin*  
**15 Squirrel Place (A & B)**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation**

as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**37. AI# 45**

**CASE NO. 2021070736** AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Dale Courville*

**5 Uniontin Court (B)**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**38. AI# 49**

**CASE NO. 2021090730 REPEAT (1)** BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Addison & Carli Alexandria Wieda*

**186 Parkview Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same**

violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of November 30, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from September 11, 2021 to November 30, 2021; totaling \$8,100.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**39. AI# 50**

**CASE NO. 2021090884 REPEAT (2) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Robert M & Eileen R Logue*  
**364 Parkview Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 15, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 14, 2021; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**40. AI# 55**

**CASE NO. 2021081686 RECURRING (2) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. John Dant*  
**71 Presidential Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**41. AI# 57**

**CASE NO. 2021090368** BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Ck Properties of Naples LLC*  
**185 Cypress Edge Drive**  
*(Land Development Code 3.03.03 - Table 3-4 Truck Stop Prohibited)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**42. AI# 58**

**CASE NO. 2021090857** BS  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Ck Properties of Naples LLC*

**185 Cypress Edge Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**43. AI# 59**

**CASE NO. 2021090222      RECURRING (4) BS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey & Kimberly Guarin*

**12 Fayette Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**



**44. AI# 60**

**CASE NO. 2021090579 REPEAT (4) BS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Charles & Anita Blum*

**18 Felter Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 10, 2021; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on September 9, 2021; totaling \$450.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Ms. Wright – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes  
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

None to report.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

Ballots were distributed to the Board members to appoint a Chairman and Vice Chairman to the Code Enforcement Board for the year 2022. Code Board Attorney Mr. Giffin-Chumley tallied the ballots and the results were as follows:

- Chairman – Norman Mugford
- Vice Chairman – Dean Roberts

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on January 5, 2022 at 10:00 am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:27 p.m.

**Respectfully submitted,**

*Yvonne Robinson*

**Yvonne Robinson**  
**Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*