

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, August 4, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts, Vincent Sullivan, Andrew Dodzik

**BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED:** Geraldine Wright, Gennaro Arcamone, Larry Pulos,

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** J. Giffin Chumley

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers, Lott, Kocorowski, Paterno, Shelley, Stafford, Nunez, Code Enforcement Clerk Filipe, Stormwater System Coordinator Troha, City Counsel William Reischmann

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with five (5) members present.

**C. Approval of the July 7, 2021 Meeting Minutes**

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

**D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

There were no ex-parte communications.

**E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.

**F. Withdrawn Cases**

**AI #4 CASE #2021020116 – 35 Louisville Drive**  
**AI #6 CASE #2021051237 – 42 Blakemore Drive**  
**AI #16 CASE #2021050770 – 3830 Old Kings Road**  
**AI #17 CASE #2021040973 – 29 Rainstone Lane**  
**AI #18 CASE #2021041114 – 29 Rambling Lane**  
**AI #20 CASE #2021031581 – 21 Royal Leaf Lane**  
**AI #23 CASE #2021050527 – 37 Braddock Lane**  
**AI #24 CASE #2021030877 – 21 Eastwood Drive**  
**AI #25 CASE #2021040361 – 109 Laguna Forest Trail**  
**AI #26 CASE #2021040382 – 10 Llestone Path**  
**AI #27 CASE #2021020368 – 47 Pine Crest Lane**  
**AI #28 CASE #2021030806 – 16 Zodiacal Place**  
**AI #42 CASE #2020100296 – 2 Cedarford Court**  
**AI #44 CASE #2021050907 – 14 Courtney Place**  
**AI #48 CASE #2021050349 – 364 Parkview Drive**  
**AI #49 CASE #2021031005 – 9 Pineapple Drive**

**G. Continued Cases**  
**AI #35 CASE #2021040695 – 32 Squash Blossom Trail**

1. AI# 11  
**CASE NO. 2021060241 RECURRING** (3) CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Zeng Wan*  
18 Woodbury Drive  
(*Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth*)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Zeng Wan, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

2. AI# 34  
**CASE NO. 2021040950 RECURRING** (5) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Vladimir & Mitchele C Jean-Francois*  
59 Slowdrift Turn  
(*Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM*)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Vladimir and Mitchele C. Jean-Francois, Respondents presented their side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with the order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00.**

**After further discussion, Mr. Roberts amended the motion to allow fifteen (15) days for correction of the violation. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

3. AI# 54

**CASE NO. 2021031124 APPEAL** PA

*City of Palm Coast vs. Theresa Atkins*

54 Perkins Lane

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(e) Imminent Public Health Threat - Hazard Tree)*

Code Enforcement Officer Arena presented case history into evidence. Officer Arena testified this is an Appeal case regarding a violation notice and subsequent charge for the removal of an imminent threat on the Respondent's property. Theresa Atkins, Respondent, presented her side and wishes to appeal the imminent public health threat of hazardous tree and the invoice cost of \$250.00.

**Mr. Roberts moved to deny the appeal request. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – No  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes  
Mr. Mugford - Yes

**Motion carried 3/1.**

4. AI# 53

**CASE NO. 2020080674 MASSEY** LM

*City of Palm Coast vs. J W Flagler Inc*

5935 State Highway 100 E

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of violation and Administrative Costs. John Barry, Attorney for Respondent, presented his side and requested for the fine to be abated as of the permit application date, which is June 18, 2021.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance, that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 21, 2021 to August 3, 2021; totaling \$3,750.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 46**

**CASE NO. 2021051248 RECURRING (5) JS**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Slava & Elizabeth Osherov*

77 London Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Alexander Medvedovsky, Property Manager, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**  
**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**6. AI# 47**

**CASE NO. 2021021244 BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jennifer M Wandelt*

177 Parkview Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Jennifer Wandelt, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State law for**

which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 3

**CASE NO. 2021051037 RECURRING** (2) BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Olga Smirnoff*

63 Lema Lane

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Olga Smirnoff, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 10

**CASE NO. 2021060168 RECURRING** (4) CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Randall T & Michelle A Smith*

**116 White Hall Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Michelle Smith, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as**

defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 7

**CASE NO. 2021050561** RS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Bethany Connors*

97 Blare Castle Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Sagala testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Bethany Connors, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 1

**CASE NO. 2021051330 REPEAT** (1) JP

*City of Palm Coast vs. Lydia E Minor*

92 Kalamazoo Trail

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Paterno testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Lydia Minor, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 25, 2021; that a \$100.00 per**

**day fine is imposed for the period of May 24, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 2**

**CASE NO. 2021041535 REPEAT (1) BMD**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jay Russell Stappenbeck*  
6 Burgess Place  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 29, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 28, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**12. AI# 5**

**CASE NO. 2021050339 REPEAT (1) RS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael D Schroeder*  
21 Blairmore Place  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Sagala presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Sagala testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of August 3, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 6, 2021 to August 3, 2021; totaling \$9,000.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought**

into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 8

**CASE NO. 2021060079 REPEAT (2) CR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jessica Lynn Laks*  
23 Westridge Lane  
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 30, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 29, 2021; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 9

**CASE NO. 2021060060 REPEAT (2) CR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Linda Ascione*  
41 Westridge Lane  
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential Dist.)

Code Enforcement Office Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same**



**violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 29, 2021; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 28, 2021; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2021050212 REPEAT (2) BS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Reynold & Margaret Bascombe*  
25 Farrington Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 5, 2021; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 4, 2021; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 13**

**CASE NO. 2021051520 RECURRING (3) BS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Christina & Joseph Rosa*  
19 Flemingwood Lane (B)  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by**

**Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2021051238 RECURRING (5) BS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph & Jacquelyn J Ribertelli*  
25 Forsythe Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 15**

**CASE NO. 2021051086 RECURRING (1) BS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. James Franklin Guthrie*  
77 Freeport Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Shelley presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Shelley testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be**

**imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 19**

**CASE NO. 2021031465 JK**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Daniel Lusinski*  
22 Renn Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(h) Storage Pod)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts move to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**20. AI# 21**

**CASE NO. 2021050578 RECURRING (3) JK**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Tatiana Kozlovskaya & Alexey Fedunov*  
45 Rymer Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Kocorowski presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Kocorowski testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

21. AI# 22

**CASE NO. 2021050521 REPEAT** (4) RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J & Christina A Sapienza*  
37 Braddock Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76 (d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violations; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of August 3, 2021; that a \$400.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 12, 2021 to August 3, 2021; totaling \$33,600.00; that a fine of \$400.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

22. AI# 29

**CASE NO. 2021041281 RECURRING** (4) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Earlene Anthony*  
8 Rosecroft Lane  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photo into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

23. AI# 30

**CASE NO. 2021041164 RECURRING** (3) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Penny Jones & Ashley E Willey*  
81 Sea Trail  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

24. AI# 31

**CASE NO. 2021040704 REPEAT** (1) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Gypsy Longoria*  
46 Sedgwick Trail  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 1, 2021; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 13, 2021 to April 30, 2021; totaling \$900.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 32**

**CASE NO. 2021031021 AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. James F Jr & Harlena A McHugh*  
2 Sled Court  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**26. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2021041011 RECURRING (3) AN**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Casey C & Brooke Manfredi*  
11 Slowdrift Turn  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**27. AI# 36**

**CASE NO. 2021031318 RECURRING (1) AN**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Toni Spencer*

8 Squirrel Place

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108h Inoperable Vehicle)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

28. AI# 37

**CASE NO. 2021050552 REPEAT** (3) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews*

59 Blare Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 20, 2021; that a fine of \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 13, 2021; totaling \$250.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

29. AI# 38

**CASE NO. 2021050791 REPEAT** (2) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews*

59 Blare Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 29, 2021; that a fine of \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between May 13, 2021 and July 28, 2021 for a total of eleven (11) days, totaling \$1,650.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**



30. AI# 39

**CASE NO. 2021041234 REPEAT** (4) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J & Christina A Sapienza*

37 Braddock Lane

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 29, 2021; that a fine of \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 22, 2021 for a total of \$450.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

31. AI# 40

**CASE NO. 2021050822 RECURRING** (5) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Darryl & Julie James*

72 Braddock Lane

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

32. AI# 41

**CASE NO. 2021051250 REPEAT** (2) JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. Dennis Sleighter*

6 Burroughs Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 27, 2021; that a fine of \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 20, 2021 for a total of \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

33. AI# 43

**CASE NO. 2021040034** JS

*City of Palm Coast vs. John Arthur & Cora Ellyn Cascone*

117 Colechester Lane

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**34. AI# 45**

**CASE NO. 2020110501 MASSEY JS**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Gaisford Life Estate*  
53 Fawn Lane  
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-114 Rubbish & Garbage)

Code Enforcement Officer Stafford presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Stafford testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from April 22, 2021 to August 3, 2021; totaling \$5,650.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**35. AI# 51**

**CASE NO. 2021051117 REPEAT (1) BR**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Nicole Desriviere*  
20 Porter Lane  
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 19, 2021; that a fine of \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 18, 2021 for a total of \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes  
Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

36. AI# 52

**CASE NO. 2021031249 RECURRING** (3) BR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Rajeev P Talreja & Haribai Bhambhani*

27 Potomac Drive

*(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)*

Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on September 1, 2021 at 10:00 am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:05 pm.

**Respectfully submitted,**

***Yvonne Robinson***

**Yvonne Robinson**

**Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.*

*To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*