



CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, October 5, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Gennaro Arcamone, Norman Mugford, Larry Pulos, Dean Roberts, Vincent Sullivan, Andrew Dodzik, Geraldine Wright

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: J. Giffin Chumley

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Compliance Supervisor Mendez, , Code Enforcement Officers, Romeo, Lott, Olivarria, Nunez, Risch, MacDonald, Reilly, Paterno, Local Business Tax Inspector Michael Giuliano, Code Enforcement Clerk Filipe, City Counsel Jennifer Nix

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with seven (7) members present.

C. Approval of the September 7, 2022 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None.

E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.

F. Withdrawn Cases

AI #3 CASE #2022071119 – 3 Larchmont Place

AI #4 CASE #2022070198 – 1 Linda Place

AI #10 CASE #2022021340 – 59 Llama Trail

AI #12 CASE #2022050693 – 27 Zoeller Court

AI #13 CASE #2022050696 – 27 Zoeller Court

AI #15 CASE #2022031240 – 5 Cherrytree Court

AI #21 CASE #2022051299 – 4 Rainstone Lane

AI #27 CASE #2022051614 – 178 Bayside Drive

AI #29 CASE #2022040715 – 58 Pebblestone Lane

AI #32 CASE #2022060225 – 4 Slogan Place

AI #52 CASE #2022041096 – 5 Pontiac Lane

AI #57 CASE #2022071141 – 26 Forest Grove Drive

G. Continued Cases

AI #9 CASE #2022030585 – 1 Eastwick Place

AI #16 CASE #2022041379 – 6 Cherrytree Court

AI #49 CASE #2022041809 – 65 Pine Haven Drive

1. AI# 61

CASE NO. 2021110925

LM

City of Palm Coast vs. Brian Lynch/ Real Recovery House LLC

48 Forrester Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 16-37 No Local Business Tax Receipt)

Michael Giuliano, Local Business Tax Inspector presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Inspector Giuliano testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charge and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Business Tax Inspector; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI# 47

CASE NO. 2022061484

REPEAT (1)

BR

City of Palm Coast vs. James L & Arlene L Motta Life Estate

42 Pebble Beach Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. James Motta, Respondent, and tenant, Justin Campbell, presented their side.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same

violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 22, 2022; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 21, 2022; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – No
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion carried 6/1.

3. AI# 19

CASE NO. 2022050972 RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Corey C Walsh
100 Coral Reef Court N
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Corey Walsh, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesaid date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI# 51

CASE NO. 2022070114 RECURRING (3) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Anurag & Aditi Atre
190 Point Pleasant Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Property Manager, Cyd Weeks, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI# 22

CASE NO. 2022031418 MASSEY DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Bozena Kajewska Pielarz
21 Raleigh Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of no-compliance and Administrative Costs. Bozena Kajewska, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 26, 2022 to October 4, 2022; totaling \$3,550.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI# 34

CASE NO. 2022050345 RECURRING (2) HO
City of Palm Coast vs. Takaharu & Pennie M Nakashima
10 Squash Blossom Court (B)
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Olivarria testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Takaharu Nakashima, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI# 60

CASE NO. 2022070175 RECURRING (3) BS
City of Palm Coast vs. Robert John Gordon III
79 Smith Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Robert Gordon, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI# 35

CASE NO. 2022040788

AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Danilo & Judite Gaitan

5 Pine Hurst Lane (B)

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Sandra Bishop, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI# 46

CASE NO. 2022051466

RECURRING (3) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. John P Simes
55 Utica Path
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Mariah Russo, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI# 8

CASE NO. 2022070786 RECURRING (4) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Cheryl M Blanko & Patsy P Bombaci
135 Wynnfield Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Pasquale Bombaci, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI# 14

CASE NO. 2022061621 RECURRING (2) RL
City of Palm Coast vs. David J & Jacqueline Visser
27 Bunker Lane (B)
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Jeff Maenner, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI# 2*

CASE NO. 2022060915 RECURRING (1) BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Alexander Goncharov c/o Masha Bach, Esq
91 Burroughs Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(1) Parking Boat/ Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Jarek Czekai, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI# 23

CASE NO. 2022060188

DR

*City of Palm Coast vs. William Mendez & Rev. Laurie Sandra Mendez
6 Renworth Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/Wall Maintenance)*

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. William Mendez, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than fifteen (15) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI# 30

CASE NO. 2022041423 RECURRING (3) HO

City of Palm Coast vs. Jaclyn D Sanders

21 Seaton Valley Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Olivarria testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Jaclyn Sanders, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI# 24

CASE NO. 2022060356 RECURRING (3) DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Mario J & Maria A Sardo

105 Rickenbacker Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Tenant, Paul Rodriguez, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI# 53

CASE NO. 2022060230 RECURRING (4) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Katina D Perry

29 Potomac Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Katina Perry, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI# 5

CASE NO. 2022050081 REPEAT (2) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Pam P Marques

58 Wheeling Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on May 3, 2022; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on May 2, 2022. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI# 6

CASE NO. 2022080088 RECURRING (3) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Nicholas W Meyer & Kristen Guendel

28 White Star Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI# 7

CASE NO. 2022031465 MASSEY CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Marco M Costa

*27 Woodford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 31, 2022; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 21, 2022 to August 30, 2022; totaling \$2,050.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI# 1

CASE NO. 2022071060 BMD
City of Palm Coast vs. Karl Schlachter & Nancy Schlachter
9 Birchtree Way
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI# 11

CASE NO. 2022070668

JP

City of Palm Coast vs. Dmitriy & Nadezhda Tomashevskiy

6 Ziegfeld Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI# 17

CASE NO. 2022061220

REPEAT (1)

RL

City of Palm Coast vs. David A Rosenberg Life Estate

116 Cimmaron Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 18, 2022; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 22, 2022 to August 17, 2022, totaling \$2,850.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI# 18

CASE NO. 2022061221 RL
City of Palm Coast vs. David A Rosenberg Life Estate
116 Cimmaron Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance-Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI# 20

CASE NO. 2022061127 **REPEAT** (4) DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Jean Alice McMorrow
70 Rae Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 15, 2022; that a \$400.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on June 14, 2022; totaling \$400.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI# 25

CASE NO. 2022060518 DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Sfr Jv-2 Property LLC
24 Royal Palm Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI# 26

CASE NO. 2022060049 **REPEAT** (1) DR
City of Palm Coast vs. James E Armstrong III

26 Ryan Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 4, 2022; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 31, 2022 to October 4, 2022, totaling \$12,500.00 and that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI# 28*

CASE NO. 2022051129

HO

City of Palm Coast vs. Christina Rose Fredricks

30 Brice Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2)(f) Stagnant Pool)

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Olivarria testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$250.00 per day will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI# 31

CASE NO. 2022041421 REPEAT (1) HO

City of Palm Coast vs. Property Owner

40 Seaton Valley Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Olivarria testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 27, 2022; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on April 21, 2022; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI# 33

CASE NO. 2022041336 REPEAT (4) HO

City of Palm Coast vs. Erika L Clark & Coleman L Robinson

68 Smith Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Olivarria testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on June 17, 2022; that a \$450.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between April 22, 2022 and June 10,

2022; totaling \$2,250.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$71.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI# 36

CASE NO. 2022030675 REPEAT (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Peter Ruiz & Jessica Bacon
52 Sea Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on March 22, 2022; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 15, 2022; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI# 37

CASE NO. 2022030679 REPEAT (7) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph G & Christal Casanova
53 Seattle Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on March 22, 2022; that a \$1,050.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 15, 2022; totaling \$1,050.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$72.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI# 38

CASE NO. 2022030680 REPEAT (6) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Joseph G & Christal Casanova
53 Seattle Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on March 22, 2022; that a \$850.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 15, 2022; totaling \$850.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$72.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI# 39

CASE NO. 2022010551 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Sheryl Ann Melick
18 Sentinel Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI# 40

CASE NO. 2022031445 REPEAT (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Frank & Heather Ann Colombo
22 Seven Wonders Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking in Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on April 4, 2022; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on March 29, 2022; totaling \$250.00. Respondent shall pay administrative costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI# 41

CASE NO. 2022030857 RECURRING (3) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Wendy Ann Rumpitz

104 Sloganeer Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI# 42

CASE NO. 2022050297 RECURRING (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Joanna Ellen Mckasy

102 Slumber Meadow Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement

Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI# 43

CASE NO. 2022020423 MASSEY AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Erika L Clark & Coleman L Robinson
68 Smith Trail
(Land Development Code 4.01.08 No Pool Fencing)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 13, 2022; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from May 11, 2022 to July 12, 2022, totaling \$15,750.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI# 44

CASE NO. 2022020303 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Erika L Clark & Coleman L Robinson
68 Smith Trail

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI# 45

CASE NO. 2022051261 RECURRING (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Angela Antoinette Williams

21 Ullman Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

40. AI# 48

CASE NO. 2022050815 BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Sonia Soares Chaves
73 Pilgrim Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

41. AI# 50

CASE NO. 2022061167 **RECURRING** (1) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. James C Fischer
32 Pittwick Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

42. AI# 54

CASE NO. 2022010990 MASSEY BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Theresa Martone Cohens

18 Reid Place

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 13, 2022 to October 4, 2022; totaling \$5,700.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property comes into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

43. AI# 55

CASE NO. 2022010969 MASSEY BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Palm Coast Rosecroft LLC

1 Rosecroft Lane (A)

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./ Trailer In Residential District)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of the City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from June 13, 2022 to October 4, 2022; totaling \$5,700.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property comes into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

44. AI# 56

CASE NO. 2022071553 RECURRING (3) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Viveiros & Jennifer J Gillis
12 Sea Beacon Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(1) Parking Boat/ Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes
Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes
Mr. Roberts - Yes
Mr. Arcamone - Yes**

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

45. AI# 59

CASE NO. 2022070650 REPEAT (1) BS

City of Palm Coast vs. Dmitry Trusov

2 Sebastian Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 14, 2022; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 13, 2022; totaling \$50.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Pulos – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

Mr. Arcamone - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

NEW BUSINESS:

Mr. Arcamone expressed he would like to see Respondents, who show clear signs of distress, to have their cases expedited and heard first.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on November 2, 2022 at 10:00 am.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:11 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

**Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.