



**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD**  
**Wednesday, March 1, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.**  
*Intracoastal Room*  
*Palm Coast City Hall*  
**160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Norman Mugford, Larry Pulos, Dean Roberts, Vincent Sullivan, Andrew Dodzik

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Geraldine Wright, Gennaro Arcamone

**BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:** J. Giffin Chumley

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Compliance Supervisor Mendez, Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers Lott, MacDonald, Nunez, Paterno, Reilly, Risch, Olivarria, Code Enforcement Clerk Filipe, City Counsel Jennifer Nix

**A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.**

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.**

Roll was called. A quorum was met with five (5) members present.

**C. Approval of the February 1, 2023 Meeting Minutes**

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

**D. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.**

None.

**E. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff**

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by J. Giffin Chumley, Counsel for the Code Board.

**F. Withdrawn Cases**

**AI #5 CASE #2022110502 – 6 Rybell Place**  
**AI #7 CASE #2022111161 – 14 Kaufman Place**  
**AI #9 CASE #2022110623 – 84 Berkshire Lane**  
**AI #11 CASE #2022110772 – 44 Blakemore Drive**  
**AI #13 CASE #2022071173 – 37 Botany Lane**  
**AI #16 CASE #2022071264 – 9 Carol Court**  
**AI #30 CASE #2022111268 – 28 White Star Drive**  
**AI #32 CASE #2022101435 – 97 Pine Circle Drive**  
**AI #36 CASE #2022081914 – 12 Puritan Lane**  
**AI #42 CASE #2022111244 – 36 Seathorn Path**

AI #44 CASE #2022110739 – 2 Selden Court  
AI #45 CASE #2022090198 – 8 Squirrel Place  
AI #48 CASE #2022100972 – 2 Uniontin Court

**G. Continued Cases**

AI #29 CASE #2022110831 – 92 Wheatfield Drive

**1. AI# 3**

**CASE NO. 2022070927 MASSEY DR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Bozena Kajewska Pielarz*

**21 Raleigh Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance ad Administrative Costs. Bozena Kajewska Pielarz, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Dodzik made a motion to continue this case to the next available hearing. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion. After further discussion and clarification by City and Board Counsel, the motion was withdrawn.**

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on January 3, 2023; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 14, 2023 to January 3, 2023, totaling \$2,550.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**2. AI# 27**

**CASE NO. 2022081293 REDUCTION CR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jessica Lynn Laks*

**23 Westridge Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

**This case was withdrawn by Code Enforcement Manager Grossman.**

**3. AI# 28**

**CASE NO. 2022120380 REPEAT (1) CR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jessica Lynn Laks*

**23 Westridge Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Jessica Laks, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 28, 2022, that a \$7.50 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 8, 2022 to December 27, 2022; totaling \$150.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**4. AI# 34**

**CASE NO. 2022120398 RECURRING (4) BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Victor J & Beth Ann Rollin*

**60 Prince Eric Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Beth Rollin, Respondent presented her side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**5. AI# 41**

**CASE NO. 2022111243 REPEAT (1) AN**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Deborah Ann Russomanno*

**30 Seathorn Path**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Deborah Russomanno, Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 6, 2022; that a \$100.00 fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on November 29, 2022; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**6. AI# 17**

**CASE NO. 2022120045 RL**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Daniel T Boone Jr Life Estate*

**6 Cerrudo Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Rose Burke Boone, wife of Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than five (5) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$250.00 per day will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the**

aforestated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**7. AI# 12**

**CASE NO. 2022120645**

HO

*City of Palm Coast vs. Armenio Barbosa da Costa & Eugenia Maria Marques Antunes Costa  
Life Estate*

**32 Brunett Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Olivarria testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Holly Treat, representative for Respondent, presented her side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**8. AI# 38**

**CASE NO. 2022111203 RECURRING** (1) AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Erica & Nicholas Sedeyn*

**37 Sea Front Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Nicholas Sedeyn, Respondent, presented his side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**9. AI# 25**

**CASE NO. 2022110992 RECURRING (2) CR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Johnny L Crockett*

***132 Westgrill Drive***

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle(s))*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Reggie Crockett, son of Respondent and fiancé Shyteaya Mcloin, presented their side.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**10. AI# 26**

**CASE NO. 2022110993 RECURRING** (1) CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Johnny L Crockett*

**132 Westgrill Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Reggie Crockett, son of Respondent and fiancé Shyteaya Mcloin, presented their side.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**11. AI# 1**

**CASE NO. 2022120891 REPEAT** (1) BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Karl Schlachter & Nancy Schlachter*

**9 Birchtree Way**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of February 28, 2023; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from December 20, 2022 to February 28, 2023; totaling \$3,500.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**12. AI# 2**

**CASE NO. 2022101049**

BMD

*City of Palm Coast vs. Jimmy & Christine Lackey*

**7 Burma Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Shed/Fence/ Wall Maint.)*

Code Enforcement Officer MacDonald presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer MacDonald testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**13. AI# 4**

**CASE NO. 2022101513**

DR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Hpa III*

**9 Riddle Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement**

**Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**14. AI# 6**

**CASE NO. 2022111279 RECURRING (3) JP**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Peggy A Aleem Life Estate*  
**14 Empire Lane**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date; that Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Pulos seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**15. AI# 8**

**CASE NO. 2022120029 RECURRING (4) JP**  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Jesus Perez Doval & Mayra Beatriz Mata Perez*  
**26 Zinnia Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**16. AI# 10**

**CASE NO. 2022120299 RECURRING (1) HO**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Steven Joseph Dorestan*

**8 Blackthorn Court**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle(s))*

Code Enforcement Officer Olivarria presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Olivarria testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than ten (10) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**17. AI# 14**

**CASE NO. 2022120047 RECURRING** (2) RL

*City of Palm Coast vs. Charmaine Gonzales*

**144 Boulder Rock Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**18. AI# 15**

**CASE NO. 2022120050 REPEAT** (2) RL

*City of Palm Coast vs. Daleko Group LLC*

**185 Boulder Rock Drive**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a Repeat violation and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance\*\* and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 23, 2023\*\*; that a \$150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance for the period between December 1, 2022 and January 26, 2023; totaling \$600.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**  
**\*\*Amended from Feb, 2022 to Feb, 2023\*\***

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**19. AI# 18**

**CASE NO. 2022081398 RECURRING** (3) RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Stephen M Smith & Ryan David Smith*  
**41 Club House Drive**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle(s))*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**20. AI# 19**

**CASE NO. 2022100681 RECURRING** (3) RL  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Louis P & Elsa Granda*  
**13 Fletcher Court**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be considered a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be**

imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**21. AI# 20\***

**CASE NO. 2022111295 RECURRING** (3) CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. John W & Tracy V Mountain*

**24 Faith Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 41-17(a)(5) Trash Containers)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be considered a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

Roll was called:

Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

**22. AI# 21**

**CASE NO. 2022120737 RECURRING** (1) CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy I & Anson L Christian*

**18 Fariston Place**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be considered a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**23. AI# 22**

**CASE NO. 2022120739 RECURRING** (2) CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy I & Anson L Christian*  
**18 Fariston Place**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle(s))*

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall be pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**24. AI# 23**

**CASE NO. 2022120740 RECURRING** (1) CR  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Dorothy I & Anson L Christian*

***18 Fariston Place***

***(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Unlicensed Vehicle(s))***

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall be pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**25. AI# 24**

**CASE NO. 2022111270 REPEAT (1) CR**

***City of Palm Coast vs. Brian Lynch***

***48 Forrester Place***

***(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)***

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a Repeat violation and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine or the period of non-compliance \*\*and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of February 28, 2023; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 29, 2022 to February 27, 2023\*\*; totaling \$4,600.00; that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**\*\*Amended from Feb, 2022 to Feb, 2023\*\***

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Not Present**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**26. AI# 31\***

**CASE NO. 2022120003 REPEAT (1) BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Krzysztof & Anna Wojcik*

**29 Panei Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on December 6, 2022; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on December 5, 2022; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**27. AI# 33**

**CASE NO. 2022111048 REPEAT (1) BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Benjamin & Virnetta Folk*

**31 Pine Croft Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable Vehicle(s))*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of February 28, 2023; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 22, 2022 to February 28, 2023; totaling \$9,900.00; that a fine of \$100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code**

**Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**28. AI# 35**

**CASE NO. 2022111283 REPEAT (1) BR**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Rebecca Fries & Linda Yushko*

**7 Prince Kaarel Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 30, 2022; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on November 29, 2022; totaling \$100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**29. AI# 37**

**CASE NO. 2022101227 REPEAT (2) AN**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Earlene Anthony*

**8 Rosecroft Lane**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on February 17, 2023; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between October 27, 2022 to February 10, 2023, 2022; totaling \$1,050.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**30. AI# 39**

**CASE NO. 2022111145 RECURRING** (4) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Danielle Collins & Michael Runyan*  
**51 Sea Front Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes  
Mr. Pulos – Yes  
Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes  
Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**31. AI# 40**

**CASE NO. 2022110078 REPEAT** (3) AN  
*City of Palm Coast vs. Peter Ruiz & Jessica Bacon*  
**52 Sea Trail**  
*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Sullivan moved to find in this case that Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on November 8, 2022; that a \$250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on November 1, 2022; totaling \$250.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**32. AI# 43**

**CASE NO. 2022030682 MASSEY AN**

*City of Palm Coast vs. Henry Josefow*

**117 Seattle Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance\*\* and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from November 15, 2022 to February 28, 2023\*\*; totaling \$5,300.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.**

**\*\*Amended from Feb., 2022 to Feb., 2023\*\***

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**33. AI# 46**

**CASE NO. 2022101196 RECURRING** (3) AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Ramonica & Jimmie Flagler*

**346 Underwood Trail**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Veh./Trailer in Residential District)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**

**Mr. Pulos – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**

**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**34. AI# 47**

**CASE NO. 2022110518** AN

*City of Palm Coast vs. Rose Gardner*

**9 Unimay Court**

*(Palm Coast Code Section 15-110(a)(2) Exterior Structure In Disrepair)*

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

**Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.**

**Roll was called:**

**Mr. Sullivan – Yes**  
**Mr. Pulos – Yes**  
**Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

**Mr. Mugford - Yes**  
**Mr. Roberts - Yes**

**Motion unanimously carried.**

**NEW BUSINESS:**

Mr. Mugford inquired with Code Manager Grossman about licensing requirements for the installation of fences. Code Manager Grossman advised per new State legislation, no licensing is required for contractors to install fencing. In addition, per the building division, permits are no longer required for fences and sheds; citizens just need fill out and sign an acknowledgement to indicate he/she knows the City requirements pertaining to the installation of fences and sheds on properties.

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:**

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on April 5, 2023 at 10:00 am.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

**Respectfully submitted,**

***Yvonne Robinson***

**Yvonne Robinson**  
**Secretary to the Board**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Human Resources, at 386-986-3720 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.*

*All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.*