CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, November 6, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.
Intracoastal Room
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	**Norman Mugford, Dean Roberts, Geraldine Wright, Andrew Dodzik, Gennaro Arcamone**
BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED:	**Larry Pulos**
BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT:	**Julie Zolty**
STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	**Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Compliance Supervisor Mendez, Sr. Lead Code Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers, Lott, Moricone, Caccavale, Murray, Nunez, Reilly, Risch, Paterno, Code Enforcement Specialist II Filipe, City Counsel Marcus Duffy**

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.
The meeting was called to order at 10:04 a.m. by Mr. Mugford, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.
Roll was called. A quorum was met with five (5) members present.

D. Approval of the October 2, 2024 Meeting Minutes
The Minutes were unanimously approved.

E. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.
None.

F. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff
The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Julie Zolty, Counsel for the Code Board.

G. Withdrawn Cases

AI #5 CASE #2024060593 – *86 Zephyr Lily Trail*
AI #11 CASE #2024070305 – *28 Pierce Lane*
AI #15 CASE #2024050372 – *6 Pony Lane*
AI #17 CASE #2024060223 – *9 Prince Anthony Lane*
AI #20 CASE #2024080554 – *8 Waywood Place*
AI #22 CASE #2024081385 – *8 Waywood Place*
AI #23 CASE #2024071360 – *178 Westhampton Drive*
AI #24 CASE #2024071686 – *2 White Hawk Place*
AI #27 CASE #2024060976 – *9 Radford Lane*

AI #28 CASE #2024061066 – *9 Radford Lane*
AI #29 CASE #2024060601 – *41 Richmond Drive*
AI #34 CASE #2024060463 – *21 Rosecroft Lane*
AI #48 CASE #2024080192 – *28 Bassett Lane*
AI #51 CASE #2024081453 – *1 Bennett Lane*
AI #56 CASE #2024080068 – *2 Bren Mar Lane*
AI #57 CASE #2024070909 – *16 Burning Ember Lane*

H. Continued Cases:

AI #16 CASE #2024071008 – *41 President Lane*
AI #58 CASE #2024080222 – *20 Sea Flower Path*

---

1. **AI #9***
CASE NO. 2024080120 **REPEAT** (2) JP
City of Palm Coast vs. Olga Smirnoff
63 Lema Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Paterno testified this is a repeat case the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Olga Smirnoff, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of November 5, 2024; that a $100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 1, 2024 to November 5, 2024; totaling $9,600.00; that a fine of $100.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. The Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $70.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – No
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – No
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion carried 3/2.

2. **AI #10***
CASE NO. 2024080123 JP
City of Palm Coast vs. Olga Smirnoff
63 Lema Lane

(Land Development Code 4.01.02.A.3 Fences - Design and Materials)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Paterno testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Olga Smirnoff, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

3. **AI #41***
   CASE NO. 2024071207 **RECURRING** (2) RL
   City of Palm Coast vs. Southern Prop 1001 LLC
   3 Clement Court
   (Palm Coast Code Section 15-114(c) Rubbish and Garbage)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Nicholas Vitucci, representative for Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

4. **AI #37**
   CASE NO. 2024070617 RL
   City of Palm Coast vs. Noris Henry & Bonnye E Henry
   7 Brian Lane
   (Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Norris and Bonnye Henry, Respondents, presented their side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

5. **AI #40**
   CASE NO. 2024070856 RL
   City of Palm Coast vs. Justin L Guglielmi Boufford
   16 Cherrytree Court
   (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Justin Boufford, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

6. **AI #2**
   CASE NO. 2024080013 **RECURRING** (4) CM
   City of Palm Coast vs. Sergio Rodriguez & Marisol Rodriguez
   29 Llama Trail
   (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Murray presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Murray testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Marisol Rodriguez, Respondent presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. **AI #59**
   CASE NO. 2024080455 AN
   City of Palm Coast vs. Mark Daniel Montano
   7 Upsala Place
   (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Sherri Montano, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Not present

Motion unanimously carried.

8. **AI #46**
   CASE NO. 2024080051 **RECURRING** (1) RL
   City of Palm Coast vs. Junior & Meichelle Dunstan-Richards
   141 Laramie Drive
   (Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Junior Richards, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. **AI #14**
   CASE NO. 2024070568 **RECURRING** (3) BR
   City of Palm Coast vs. Raulita Gonzalez & Luis Roque Cabrera

14 Pine Brook Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Caccavale presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Caccavale testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Luis Roque, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI #7*
CASE NO. 2024071718 **RECURRING** (4) JP
City of Palm Coast vs. Jeffrey Paul Deffinbaugh
121 Frankford Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Paterno testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Jeffrey Deffinbaugh, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI #31*

CASE NO. 2024070717 **RECURRING** (4) DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Dudley S Buchanan & Tannia M Elliott-Buchanan
38 Rolling Sands Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Dudley Buchanan, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI #32

CASE NO. 2024070880 **RECURRING** (3) DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Dudley S Buchanan & Tannia M Elliott-Buchanan
38 Rolling Sands Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Dudley Buchanan, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be

treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

13. **AI #4**
CASE NO. 2024051404 CM
City of Palm Coast vs. Gabriel Lynn
34 Zebulahs Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Gabriel Lynn, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

14. **AI #19**
CASE NO. 2024080555 **RECURRING** (2) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Jose G & Mayra S Vargas
9 Waystone Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine

Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Lydia & Nicholas Monteyo, tenants, presented their side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI #53
CASE NO. 2024070043 **RECURRING** (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Patrick Shaler
13 Blasdell Court
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork, and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testifies the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Jill Shaler, wife of Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI #1

CASE NO. 2024071038 RECURRING (3) CM
City of Palm Coast vs. Walter Bailey
75 Karas Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Lead Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Walter Bailey, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI #8

CASE NO. 2024080471 REPEAT (1) JP
City of Palm Coast vs. Wilfredo & Maritza Velazquez
22 Freeland Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable/ Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Paterno testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Wilfredo Velazquez, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 21, 2024; that a $100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 3, 2024 to October 20, 2024; totaling $7,900.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Amendment to the motion was made by Mr. Dodzik to reduce the fine to $1,000.00 plus the Administrative Cost of $69.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI #38

CASE NO. 2024071070 **RECURRING** (1) RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Suk Ha Li
10 Brownstone Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Shaun Mulvey, tenant, presented his side.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI #39

CASE NO. 2024071071 **RECURRING** (3) RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Suk Ha Li
10 Brownstone Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Shaun Mulvey, tenant, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI #3

CASE NO. 2024080770 **RECURRING** (5) CM
City of Palm Coast vs. David Thomas Dickenson
33 Lloyd Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Caccavale presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Caccavale testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI #6

CASE NO. 2024080313 **REPEAT** (1) JP
City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews
59 Blare Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Paterno presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Paterno testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 7, 2024; that a $100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 6, 2024 totaling $100.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI #12
CASE NO. 2024020928 **MASSEY** BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Viktor Khudaynatov & Yelena Berger
11 Piermount Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Program)

Code Enforcement Officer Murray presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Murray testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 28, 2024; that a $25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 22, 2024 to October 27, 2024; totaling $1,675.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI #18*

CASE NO. 2024080551 RECURRING (3) BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Lioubov C Adams
72 Princeton Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Murray presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Murray testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of $25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI #21

CASE NO. 2024081384 RECURRING (2) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. 8 Waywood Place LLC
8 Waywood Place
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**

Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI #25

CASE NO. 2024080441 **RECURRING** (1) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Bowers & Lisa McKoskey
44 White Star Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI #26

CASE NO. 2024090349 **RECURRING** (1) CR
City of Palm Coast vs. Richard Bowers & Lisa McKoskey
44 White Star Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day

may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI #30

CASE NO. 2024071058 **RECURRING** (3) DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Clayton S Byrd
12 Rolling Sands Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI #33

CASE NO. 2024030201 **MASSEY** DR
City of Palm Coast vs. Sfr Jv-2 2023-1 Borrower LLC
19 Rosecroft Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(3) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent in this case was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a $50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from July 15, 2024 to November 5, 2024; totaling $5,700.00; and that a fine of $50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI #35

CASE NO. 2024070722 **REPEAT** (2) DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Jesus Perez Doval & Mayra Beatriz Mata Perez

26 Zinnia Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 9, 2024; that a $150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between July 12, 2024 and August 2, 2024; totaling $600.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI #36

CASE NO. 2024070723 DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Jesus Perez Doval & Mayra Beatriz Mata Perez

26 Zinnia Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI #42*

CASE NO. 2024040019 **MASSEY** RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Stanley & Christine Cichowski

37 Cleveland Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(g) Dock In Disrepair)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a $250.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 9, 2024 to November 5, 2024; totaling $22,250.00; and that a fine of $250.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.50. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI #43*
CASE NO. 2024071494 RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Ibrahim M & Maha Aly Gurna
76 Club House Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 24-254 Abandoned or Derelict Vessels - Prohibited Acts)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than fourteen (14) days after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of $250.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI #45*
CASE NO. 2024061111 RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Teresa Cicero
46 Covington Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 41-11(a) Trash Containers)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than one (1) day after this Order is entered in writing; that in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of $25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforestated date; that the Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $69.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI #47

CASE NO. 2024081531 **REPEAT** (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Teri A Robinson
28 Ballenger Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(h) Inoperable/ Unlicensed Vehicle)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and an Administrative Cost.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of November 5, 2024; that a $150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance from August 29, 2024 to November 5, 2024; totaling $10,350.00; that a fine of $150.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $70.00. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI #49

CASE NO. 2024071517 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Anthony E Tabasso

103 Beauford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/ Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI #50

CASE NO. 2024071519 **RECURRING** (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Anthony E Tabasso

103 Beauford Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI #52
CASE NO. 2024080172 **RECURRING** (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Jorge L De La Cruz Custodio & Yessica V De La Cruz
253 Bird of Paradise Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes **Mr. Dodzik – Yes**
Mr. Mugford – Yes **Mr. Roberts – Yes**
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI #54
CASE NO. 2024071168 AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J & Christina A Sapienza
37 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/ Trailer/ RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to $5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent

shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI #55

CASE NO. 2024071620 **REPEAT** (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Philip J & Christina A Sapienza
37 Braddock Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/ Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Roberts moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board's prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 18, 2024; that a $150.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between July 26, 2024 and October 1, 2024; totaling $4,800.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of $70.00. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Mugford – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes
Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

NEW BUSINESS:

Mr. Mugford advised the Board the election to nominate the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson for 2025 will take place at the December 4, 2024 Code Enforcement Board meeting.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on December 4, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 12:08 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson
Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Virginia Smith at (386) 986-2570 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.