



CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
Wednesday, November 5, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.
Jon Netts Community Wing
Palm Coast City Hall
160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Geraldine Wright, Paulette Hatfield, Andrew Dodzik, Dean Roberts, Gennaro Arcamone, Merritt Zucca

ABSENT: Robert Calabrese

BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT: Christina Epperson

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Code Enforcement Manager Grossman, Code Compliance Supervisor Mendez, Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo, Code Enforcement Officers Caccavale, Lott, Nunez, Moricone, Murray, Risch, Romeo, Reilly, Code Enforcement Specialist II Filipe, City Counsel John Steinmetz

A. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance.

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mr. Roberts followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum.

Roll was called. A quorum was met with six (6) members present.

D. Approval of the October 1, 2025 Meeting Minutes

The Minutes were unanimously approved.

E. Disclosure of Ex-Parte Communications.

None.

F. Swearing in of Respondents and Staff

The respondents and staff who were present were sworn in by Christine Epperson, Counsel for the Code Board.

G. Withdrawn Cases

AI #2 CASE #2025090182 – 40 Eton Lane

AI #3 CASE #2025081048 – 106 La Mancha Drive

AI #8 CASE #2025050610 – 37 Willow Street

AI #11 CASE #2025010477 – 35 Frenora Lane

AI #12 CASE #2025051001 – 35 Frenora Lane

AI #18 CASE #2025080871 – 23 Pickcane Lane

AI #23 CASE #2025071567 – 43 Russell Drive

AI #27 CASE #2025060129 – 80 Comanche Court

AI #34 CASE #2025011541 – 85 Cypress Point Parkway

AI #35 CASE #2025011542 – 1499 Palm Coast Parkway NW

AI #41 CASE #2025030973 – 9 Porcupine Drive

AI #42 CASE #2025030974 – 9 Porcupine Drive

AI #45 CASE #2025070823 – 12 Seriema Place

H. Continued Cases:

AI #22 CASE #2025070492 – 24 Rocket Lane

AI #29 CASE #2024100842 – 1 Fanwood Court

1. AI #1*

CASE NO. 2025040060 MASSEY JB

City of Palm Coast vs. Cedar Brook Properties Funding 2 LLC

55 London Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Hazard Tree(s))

Code Compliance Supervisor Mendez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Supervisor Mendez testified this is a Massey case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 7, 2025; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between August 19, 2025 and October 6, 2025; totaling \$4,900.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

2. AI #48

CASE NO. 2025080711 AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Kenneth L & Celia C Rosa

72 Sloganeer Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Kenneth Rosa, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code

Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

3. AI #43

CASE NO. 2025091155 BR
City of Palm Coast vs. Wanda A & Melvin E Tisdale
5 Portia Lane
(Land Development Code 9.06.01.A. Lot Grading)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine - Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Melvin Tisdale, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

4. AI #13

CASE NO. 2025070449 REPEAT (1) CM
City of Palm Coast vs. Walter Bailey
75 Karas Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Moricone presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Moricone testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Walter Bailey, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Zucca moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 16, 2025; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 9, 2025; totaling \$50.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

5. AI #40*

CASE NO. 2025070927 RECURRING (3) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Ana T & Antonia Perdigoto

33 Pony Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends No Fine Standing Order - Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Ana Perdigoto, Respondent, presented her side.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

6. AI #38

CASE NO. 2025071115 REPEAT (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Thomas G Wasinger

2 Piermount Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Thomas Wasinger, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 21, 2025; that a \$10.34 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between July 23, 2025 and August 20, 2025; totaling \$300.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

7. AI #53

CASE NO. 2025080020 RECURRING (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Rui M Da Silva Trustee

20 Union Run Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Stefanie Delk, tenant, presented her side.

Mr. Zucca moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

8. AI #39

CASE NO. 2025061176 RECURRING (1) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Gustavo & Erika Rodriguez

11 Pinwheel Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Erika Rodriguez, Respondent, presented her side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Arcamone seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

9. AI #20

CASE NO. 2025080106 DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Anderson Bertrand & Ingrid Bertrand

42 Raemoor Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-39(a) Residential Rental Prog.)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs. Anderson Bertrand, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date.

Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

10. AI #32

CASE NO. 2025061021 RL
City of Palm Coast vs. Gabriel Galisin
15 Potomac Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs. Gabriel Galisin, Respondent, presented his side.

Luis Mendez, Code Compliance Supervisor, requested this case be dismissed.

Mr. Dodzik moved to dismiss this case. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

11. AI #4

CASE NO. 2025090864 **RECURRING** (3) JC
City of Palm Coast vs. Amy Ruth & James Gagliano
27 Lewis Drive
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Caccavale presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Caccavale testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. James Gagliano, Respondent, presented his side.

Mr. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

12. AI #54

CASE NO. 2025080822 REPEAT (1) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. John P Simes Trustee

55 Utica Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Mr. Blackwater, tenant, presented his side.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 16, 2025; that a \$75.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 15, 2025; totaling \$75.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

13. AI #21

CASE NO. 2025030236 MASSEY DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Marina & Andrey Chalova

4 Rainbow Lane

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Reilly testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs. Marina Chalova, Respondent and daughter Sophia presented their side.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board's Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between July 15, 2025 and November 4, 2025; totaling \$5,650.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

14. AI #51

CASE NO. 2025061254 RECURRING (2) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Neville A & Barbara Kelly
32 Squash Blossom Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The evidence was shown to the Respondent. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs. Neville Kelly, Respondent, presented his side.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

15. AI #5

CASE NO. 2025080966 RECURRING (1) JC

City of Palm Coast vs. James Timmins Jr.

129 Lindsay Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Caccavale presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Caccavale testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

16. AI #6

CASE NO. 2025090477 REPEAT (3) CR

City of Palm Coast vs. Pam P Marques

58 Wheeling Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on September 19, 2025; that a \$125.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between September 9, 2025

and September 18, 2025; totaling \$875.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

17. AI #7

CASE NO. 2025090258 REPEAT (1) CR

*City of Palm Coast vs. Elyssa Hope Hughes & David J Hughes
14 Whetstone Lane*

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Risch presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Risch testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and an Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on October 7, 2025; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between September 4, 2025 and October 6, 2025; totaling \$1,950.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

18. AI #9

CASE NO. 2025081216 REPEAT (1) JM

*City of Palm Coast vs. Michael Andrews
59 Blare Drive*

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Murray presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Murray testified this is a repeat case and the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Dodzik moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 29, 2025; that a \$75.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between August 21, 2025 and August 28, 2025; totaling \$600.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

19. AI #10*

CASE NO. 2025090143 RECURRING (4) JM
City of Palm Coast vs. Joanna Reeley Life Estate
55 Flemingwood Lane
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Murray presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Murray testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than three (3) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$25.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

20. AI #14

CASE NO. 2025070288 RECURRING (4) CM
City of Palm Coast vs. Eric P Herrmann & Amy J Herrmann Trustees
10 Kathleen Trail
(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Moricone presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Moricone testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Zucca moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

21. AI #15

CASE NO. 2025070385 RECURRING (4) CM

City of Palm Coast vs. Danielle Appignani, Donald Appignani & Mary Ann Appignani

32 Llewellyn Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Moricone presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Moricone testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

22. AI #16

CASE NO. 2024091041 MASSEY CM

City of Palm Coast vs. Ishkhan Hunanyan

7 Zebra Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-1(FBC[A]105.1) No Permit)

Code Enforcement Officer Moricone presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Moricone testified this is a Massey case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$50.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between February 2, 2024 and November 4, 2025; totaling \$12,700.00; and that a fine of \$50.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

23. AI #17

CASE NO. 2025061393 RECURRING (1) CM

City of Palm Coast vs. Brittany W & Jeremiah J Marschka

52 Zebulahs Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Moricone presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Moricone testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

24. AI #19

CASE NO. 2025080872 REPEAT (1) DR

City of Palm Coast vs. Laurie & Ernest Mikos

23 Pickcane Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Reilly presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Reilly testified this is a repeat case and the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of October 31, 2025; that’s a \$75.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between August 15, 2025 and October 31, 2025; totaling \$900.00; that a fine of \$75.00 per day shall continue to run until the property comes into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Not present
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

25. AI #24*

CASE NO. 2025070591 RECURRING (2) RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Palmer Jr.

2 Campbell Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

26. AI #25

CASE NO. 2025060456 RECURRING (3) RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Laura Boggs McClannahan

28 Cochise Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(d)(2) Parking Boat/Trailer/RV)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

27. AI #26

CASE NO. 2025071169 RECURRING (6) RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Robert Mercado

36 Collingwood Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-33(b) Parking in Swale Between 1 AM & 6 AM)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

28. AI #28

CASE NO. 2025060151

RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Alfred W Leonhardt

80 Comanche Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(1) Nuisance - Seawall)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a Fine – Violation Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent is in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent correct the violation no later than thirty (30) days after this Order is entered in writing; that, in the event the Respondent does not comply with the Order, a fine in the amount of \$50.00 will be imposed for each and every day the violation continues past the aforesated date. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.00. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

29. AI #30*

CASE NO. 2025060013 REPEAT (1) RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Joan L Galt

20 Ferguson Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 35-76(d)(2) Nuisance - Accumulations)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified this is a repeat case the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance as of November 4, 2025; that’s a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between June 3, 2025 and November 4, 2025; totaling \$3,875.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property comes into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. The Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

30. AI #31

CASE NO. 2025060145 RECURRING (3) RL

City of Palm Coast vs. Joan L Galt

20 Ferguson Court

(Palm Coast Code Section 15-108(d) Weeds/Overgrowth)

Code Enforcement Officer Lott presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Lott testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

31. AI #33

CASE NO. 2025030583 MASSEY BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Linda R Norris

2 Bren Mar Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 17-58(a) Registration Of Abandoned Property)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified this is a Massey case the property remains in violation. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged; that the Respondent failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Board in the Board’s Order entered into evidence in this case; that the Respondent has not brought the property into compliance; that a \$25.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance between August 21, 2025 and November 4, 2025; totaling \$1,900.00; that a fine of \$25.00 per day shall continue to run until the property is brought into compliance and an Affidavit of Compliance has been filed by the Code Enforcement Officer. Respondent is further ordered to contact the Code Enforcement Officer to verify compliance with this Order. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

32. AI #36

CASE NO. 2025081310 RECURRING (3) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Kurt & Rhonda McGilton

2 Palm Lane

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presents case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

33. AI #37

CASE NO. 2025070521 RECURRING (4) BR

City of Palm Coast vs. Hector Alonzo

229 Parkview Drive

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Sr. Lead Code Enforcement Officer Romeo presents case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Lead Officer Romeo testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Mr. Dodzik seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

**Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes**

**Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes**

Motion unanimously carried.

34. AI #44

CASE NO. 2025080938 RECURRING (3) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Ronald & Elizabeth Woods

90 Seattle Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(c) Parking of Commercial Vehicle/Trailer in Residential District)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presents case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Hatfield moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

35. AI #46

CASE NO. 2025080281 REPEAT (2) AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Edison Puentes Jr & Maria C Puentes

72 Seven Wonders Trail

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 12, 2025; that a \$100.00 per day fine is imposed for the period on August 6, 2025 and August 11, 2025; totaling \$200.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.00. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes

Mr. Roberts – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes

Mr. Zucca – Yes

Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

36. AI #47

CASE NO. 2025070193 RECURRING (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Gideon P & Jordyn K Bloyer
11 Slipper Flower Path East
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order – Recurring Violation and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation was corrected; that the violation recurred; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

37. AI #49

CASE NO. 2025071332 REPEAT (3) AN
City of Palm Coast vs. Kelsie Reiter
27 Slowdrift Turn
(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(f) Improper Parking)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Zucca moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on July 30, 2025; that a \$125.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on July 29, 2025; totaling \$125.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$70.50. Ms. Wright seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

38. AI #50

CASE NO. 2025071538

AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Jorge Johamil Alicano Santiago & Leidy Soranlly Caicedo Garcia

2 Squash Blossom Trail

(Land Development Code 4.01.03. Garages: Attached & Detached)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a No Fine Standing Order and Administrative Costs.

Ms. Wright moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in violation of City Code as charged and failed to correct the violation by the time specified for correction by the Code Enforcement Officer; that the violation is now corrected; that any violation of the same Code by Respondent within five (5) years of the date of the Order shall be treated as a repeat violation as defined by State Law for which a fine of up to \$5,000.00 per day may be imposed. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$53.50. Ms. Hatfield seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

39. AI #52

CASE NO. 2025080018

REPEAT (1)

AN

City of Palm Coast vs. Property Owner

39 Undershire Path

(Palm Coast Code Section 44-34(i) Parking In Median)

Code Enforcement Officer Nunez presented case history, paperwork and photos into evidence. The Respondent was not present. Officer Nunez testified this is a repeat the property is in compliance. Staff recommends a fine for the period of non-compliance and Administrative Costs.

Mr. Arcamone moved to find in this case that the Respondent was in repeat violation of City Code as charged based on the Board’s prior Order entered against the same Respondent for the same

violation; that the Respondent brought the property into compliance on August 2, 2025; that a \$75.00 per day fine is imposed for the period of non-compliance on August 1, 2025; totaling \$75.00. Respondent shall pay Administrative Costs to the City in the amount of \$69.50. Mr. Zucca seconded the motion.

Roll was called:

Ms. Wright – Yes
Mr. Roberts – Yes
Ms. Hatfield – Yes

Mr. Dodzik – Yes
Mr. Zucca – Yes
Mr. Arcamone – Yes

Motion unanimously carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

None to report.

OLD BUSINESS:

None to report.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING:

The next meeting of the Code Enforcement Board will be held on December 3, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 11:49 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne Robinson

Yvonne Robinson
Secretary to the Board

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact Virginia Smith at (386) 986-2570 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or visit Palm Coast City Offices, 160 Lake Avenue, Palm Coast, FL 32164. If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the Code Enforcement Board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made. The City of Palm Coast is not responsible for any mechanical failure of recording equipment.

All pagers and cell phones are to remain OFF while the Code Enforcement Board hearing is in session.